IETF81 BGP Add-Paths Best Practices

draft-ietf-idr-add-paths-guidelines-01

J. Uttaro P. Francois V. Van den Schrieck A. Simpson R. Fragassi P. Mohapatra uttaro@att.com pierre.francois@uclouvain.be virginie.vandenschrieck@uclouvain.be adam.simpson@alcatel-lucent.com roberto.fragassi@alcatel-lucent.com pmohapat@cisco.com

Status

- Since draft-uttaro-idr-add-paths-guidelines-03 (IETF79)...
 - WG adoption
 - Changed status to Standards Track
 - Restricted focus to IBGP (EBGP later...)
 - Added deployment section describing a method of introducing Add-Paths into an existing network (more on this in the next slides)
 - Minor editorial changes

Network Migration to Add-Paths

- Frequently-asked questions:
 - On which routers should I enable Add-Paths and in what sequence?
 - Do (router A) and (router B) need to run the same path selection mode, with the same parameters?
 - Does Add-Paths replace Best-external?
- New section in the draft will attempt to address these topics
 - draft-01 proposes an Add-Paths migration strategy for a network that may already use Best-external for path diversity

Example Migration Strategy



New Path Selection Modes?

- Too many path selection modes will complicate operations & interoperability
- But some newly considered modes may be useful...
 - Advertise N best external
 - When the best overall path is internal, remove from consideration all internal paths (e.g. from the same member-AS or cluster), and advertise the best N remaining paths
 - Use cases seem better addressed with Add-N
 - Advertise N best with community value
 - Select the N best routes with a particular community value
 - Community could imply 'uncongested', advertising POP ID, special content, or service/customer
 - May be a very useful OPTIONAL mode. More analysis needed

Limiting the Number of Rx Paths

- How can a BGP speaker limit the number of paths it receives from a peer?
- Inbound filtering can cause routing inconsistencies and loops
- Signaling N to the sending peer so it can use Add-N path selection mode would be ideal...
 - Option A (most logical): Modify the currently-defined ADD-PATH capability to include a request for max paths
 - Option B: Create a new capability (proposed in some drafts)
 - Option C: New BGP message type. Avoids capability re-renegotiation with Option A/B, but may allow too frequent changes to N which could overload the sending peer.