Benchmarking Methodology WG (bmwg) 81st IETF

- Thursday, July 28, 2011, 1300-1500 EDT (Eastern Daylight Time, GMT-4:00)
- Chairs:
 - Al Morton (acmorton@att.com)

• If you are not subscribed to the BMWG mailing list and would like to be, please go to https://www1.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/bmwg

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Policy

The IETF intellectual property rights rules are defined in RFC 3979, "Intellectual Property Rights in IETF Technology" (updated by RFC 4879, "Clarification of the Third Party Disclosure Procedure in RFC 3979").

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in any IETF documents or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

IETF Contribution: any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an Internet-Draft or RFC (except for RFC Editor Contributions described below) and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity. Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- * the IETF plenary session,
- * any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- * the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf or the IESG,
- * the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- * any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
 - * the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function (except for RFC Editor Contributions described below).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this document.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

BMWG Agenda

(Any Bashing needed?)

Note-Taker(s), Jabber, IPR, Blue Sheets

1. WG Status

Re-Charter Complete and Milestones

RESET benchmarks: RFC 6201

Benchmarking Link-State IGP Data Plane Route Convergence

Benchmarks For Data Center Bridging Devices

Methodology for benchmarking MPLS protection mechanisms,

2. IP Flow Accounting and Export Benchmarking Methodology Presenter: Al for Jan Novak

3. SIP Benchmarking Drafts: brief updates and status Presenter: Vijay Gurbani

4. Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology status

Presenter: Greg Cauchie

5. Benchmarking Methodology for Content-Aware Network Devices

Presenter: Mike Hamilton

New Work Item Proposals (status):

6. IMIX Genome Presenter: Al 7. RFC 2544 Applicability Statement Presenter: Al

BMWG Activity

Working Group Documents:				
Draft name	Rev.	Dated S	Status	Comments, Issues
Active:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-meth	-03	2011-03 A	ctive	Revised Draft Needed
draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-term	-03	2011-03 A	ctive	Revised Draft Needed
draft-ietf-bmwg-ipflow-meth	-03	2010-12 A	ctive	2 nd WGLC - end 6/20
Recently Expired:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-protection-meth	-07	2009-12-21	Activo	e WG Consensus (Exp)
Long Expired:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-igp-dataplane-conv-ap	p -17	2009-03-09		Expired (in term)
draft-ietf-bmwg-ipsec-meth	-05	2009-07-28		Expired
draft-ietf-bmwg-ipsec-term	-12	2009-07-28		Expired
IESG Processing:				
draft-ietf-bmwg-igp-dataplane-conv-mo	eth -23	2010-11 A	ctive	IESG Action
draft-ietf-bmwg-igp-dataplane-conv-ter		2010-11 A	ctive	IESG Action
RFC-Editor's Queue (IESG APPROVE	ED!):			
draft-ietf-bmwg-protection-term	-09	2010-07 A	ctive	

BMWG Activity

- New RFC:
 - RFC 6201 Device Reset Characterization
- Charter Update
 - Complete 2010
- Supplementary BMWG Page
 - See http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/BMWG/

BMWG Activity

Related Active Documents (not working group documents):

Draft name	Rev.	Dated	Status	Comments			
draft-hamilton-bmwg-ca-bench-me	eth -07	2011-7	Active				
draft-hamilton-bmwg-ca-bench-ter	m -00	2011-3	Active				
draft-player-dcb-benchmarking	-03	2010-10) Active				
draft-manral-bmwg-power-usage-0	2.txt	2011-1	Active				
draft-morton-bmwg-imix-genome-	02	2011-6	Active	Adopt?			
draft-baker-bmwg-testing-eyeball-happiness-04 2011 Active (Done?)							
draft-chairs-bmwg-2544-as-00		2011-2	Active	Adopt?			

LDP – need to resurrect these for new charter item...

Standard "Paragraph" (intro/security)

specified in the sections above.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and MUST NOT be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network, or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the DUT/SUT.

Special capabilities SHOULD NOT exist in the DUT/SUT specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the DUT/SUT SHOULD be identical in the lab and in production networks.

Current Milestones

- Done Terminology For Protection Benchmarking to AD Review
- DONE! Networking Device Reset Benchmark (Updates RFC 2544) to IESG Review
- Dec 2010 Methodology For Protection Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Terminology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Methodology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jul 2011 Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology to IESG Review.
- Feb 2011 Methodology for Flow Export and Collection Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Methodology for Data Center Bridging Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Terminology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Methodology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Terminology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Methodology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review

Work Proposal Summary Matrix

Work Area > Criteria ∨	Power	IMIX Genome	Happy Eyeballs	RFC2544 AS	U [ida¢ e Time	
Proposal	Y	Y	Y	Y		
In Scope of Charter? (acm)	Y	Y	Y	Y	?	
Draft(s)	Y	Y	Y	Y		
Sig. Support at meetings		Supporting comments IETF-79+80	Y	Supporting comments IETF-79+80		
Sig. Support on List	Several comments	Sufficient Review?	Several comments			
Dependencies/ Notes			Going Individual			