

The Minimum Rank Objective Function with Hysteresis

draft-ietf-roll-minrank-hysteresis-of-01

Omprakash Gnawali and Philip Levis

IETF 80
Prague, Czech Republic

The Minimum Rank Objective Function with Hysteresis (MRHOF)

- Applicable metrics
 - Listed in `I-D.ietf-roll-routing-metrics`
 - Additive
 - Global
 - Metric minimization as routing objective
- Based on experiences with ETX
 - Might be applicable to latency and other metrics

Metric Minimization

- Compute the Path metric and parent
 - At the root
 - $\text{cur_min_path_metric} = \text{MIN_PATH_METRIC}$
 - On other nodes
 - $\text{candidate_min_path_metric}(i) =$
Link metric to a candidate i + Path metric from i to the DAG root
 - $\text{cur_min_path_metric} = \text{Min}\{\text{candidate_min_path_metric}(1..n)\}$
 - Parent = candidate whose cost is equal to $\text{cur_min_path_metric}$
- Examples
 - ETX: Paths with the smallest expected transmit count
 - Latency: Least latency paths

Hysteresis

- Link metric can have jitter
 - Example: ETX jitter due to changing link quality
 - Can cause churn in the topology
- Hysteresis delays the effects
 - Short-term and small changes in link properties should not trigger path recomputation
- Change parent if the new path metric is better by at least PARENT_SWITCH_THRESHOLD

Computing Rank from Cost

Metric	Rank
Node Energy	$255 - \text{Cost}$
Hop Count	Cost
Latency	$\text{Cost}/65536$
Link Quality Level	Cost
ETX	Cost

- Node state and attributes
- Throughput
- Link color

Discussions

- Follows DIO processing rules in RPL
- Parent set size
 - “Stretch” of rank from preferred parent rank?
 - Configuration parameter?

Parent set size concern

- For a neighbor to be in the parent set, the node must maintain its Rank
 - Additional 2 bytes per parent per DAG
 - State/efficiency tradeoff
- Increasing Rank (and available parent set) can require obtaining Rank values
 - DIS?
 - Link-local multicast DIS?

Implementation of RPL

Gnawali

IETF 80

Prague, Czech Republic

Goal

- timers, optional mechanisms, buffer sizes, ...
- Guidelines for efficient implementation
 - Collect the experiences from experiments and deployments

performance

- Minimum Interval
 - ~~Minimum Interval~~
 - Small value encouraged
- ~~The larger the better~~
 - Maximum Interval
 - Experience with the max interval of several hours
 - Experiences with max interval of several hours
- Redundancy Constant

Route Poisoning

- Does not prevent loops
- Does not prevent loops

Lossy

links

- Tradeoff: complexity for incremental expedience in topology repair
 - It is possible to detect and repair loops in tens of

Flushing Neighbor Information

- Should avoid flushing neighbor information as much as possible
- Can take a lot of time (and energy) to rebuild
- Do not flush the table if the route is lost
 - Link qualities to the neighbors might still be valid

Data-path Slowdown During Repair

packet transmission during repair

- Yields the channel to control traffic
 - Greatly improves inconsistency repair
- Can lead to higher latency and buffer overflow at the edges

Path Cost Minimization vs Stability

- Minimize/stabilize path cost (Rank) instead of maximizing path stability
 - But very rapid path changes to minimize cost can also be harmful (MRHOF) -- tradeoff