# Common API for Transparent Hybrid Multicast - Status Update –

#### draft-irtf-samrg-common-api-01

Matthias Wählisch, Thomas C. Schmidt Stig Venaas {waehlisch, t.schmidt}@ieee.org, stig@cisco.com







### History of the Draft

- o Version 00/01 presented at IETF 76, Hiroshima
- o Update version 02 presented at IETF 77, Anaheim
- o Update version 04 presented IETF 78, Maastricht
- o Updates presented IETF 79, Beijing
  - No version 05 wait for NBS BoF
- Adopted as WG document @ Beijing
- O Update version 05 submitted January 2011
- o Update version 06 submitted March 2011
- o First WG draft March 2011: draft-irtf-samrg-common-api

### Status of the Draft: Changes from last presentation

- o Several editorial improvements
- o Description of Common API uses pseudo code now
  - C signatures moved to appendix
- destroySocket renamed as deleteMSocket
- Notification of sender changes introduced
- Extension of update calls and functions replaced by event model

### **Extended Change Notification**

#### **Motivation:**

o Simplify programming

#### **Previous API versions:**

- Informs application only about changes of receiver and sender
- Programmer had to use Service Calls to identify details

#### **Current API version:**

 Gives detailed information about type of change by events

### New Data Structures and Functions

```
o event type {
   join event,
   leave event,
   new source event
 };
o event {
   event type event,
   Uri group name
 };
o enableEvents(); and disableEvents();
```

## Mapping

 This draft will not cover the general problem of multicast address mapping

- Too many aspects
- General discussions: IPv4-IPv6 Multicast Translation BoF
- o Draft will provide guidance for *canonical* mapping
  - Map IPv4 into IPv6 address space, for example
  - Example: ip://224.1.2.3:5000 mapped to "224.1.2.3
     UDP port 5000" or "ff0e::224.1.2.3 UDP port 5000"
- o Update will be included into version 02

### Thank you ...

- o Please, read the current version
- o Interest in the implementation project?
  - Listen to the next talk ;)
- More feedback is needed by RG members!