The HIP Diet Exchange HIP DEX

Robert Moskowitz
Verizon Telcom and Business
Innovation Group

March 29, 2011

rgm@labs.htt-consult.com

Purpose of this presentation

- An update on HIP DEX progress
 - Status of Draft
 - Issues with new HIP Parameters
 - Implication of 'loss' of HIP Packet signing
 - Only MACing available
 - Next steps

Status of HIP DEX?

- Draft updated 05.txt
 - An another in the pipe
- Need to reconcile common text with 5201-bis
 - Many paragraphs to review
- Need review of KDF function based on CMAC
 - E.G. Additional info part of extract phase which is not included in draft SP800-56C
- Need to solidify HIT derivation
- Need additional review
 - Welcome a co-author

Status of HIP DEX?

- Added Pair-wise and Group Security Association management
- HIP generated keying material ONLY used to protect HIP packets
 - Increases longevity of keying material
- Key wrapping of 'session' keys
 - Need review of wrapping

Issues with HIP Parameters

- A number of new Parameters
 - Variants/replacement for BEX Parameters
 - Frequently the same function but MACing replacing SIGNing
 - Is text needed to explain this phenomenon?
 - Type assignments?

Implication of loss of SIGNing

- DEX does NOT provide for SIGNing

 SIGNing REQUIRES a Cryptographic Hash
 - Existential Forgeries
- Replacing SIGNing with MACing results in
 - Loss of non-repudiation
- Managed in Base exchange
- Major impact to UPDATE packets
 - Note that UPDATE packets are now used to distribute pair-wise and group keys

CORE

- CORE is the application protocol for sensors running over 6lowpan
 - Basically a subset of HTTP
- CORE has selected DTLS for their security protocol over ESP, as the app has direct knowledge of the presence or lack of security
- If certificates are supported in the sensor, then EAP-TTLS will be used for the KMP
- If no certificates then DTLS-PSK will be used
- CORE MUST specify a KMP for DTLS-PSK

CORE

- Work on CORE bootstraping of DTLS PSK using HIP DEX
- More an issue of how to use HIP in general for CORE bootstraping
 - 'Mother-Duckling' model
 - HIP Rendezvous server as the 'Mother'?
 - HIP Registration using DEX limitations?
 - Add this into HIP DEX draft or separate document?
- If selected by CORE will require HIP DEX to be Standards track
- Looking for participants in CORE
 - A couple already

- IEEE 802.15.4
 - 802.15.4 has a MAC security framework, but specifies the KMP as 'out of scope'
 - But still needs one
 - Zigbee specifies PANA EAP-TTLS for KMP
 - This is recognized as 'too big' for many sensors
 - Desire for a KMP that will work on battery powered, constrained, sensors

- IEEE 802.15.4
 - Addendum 15.4e adds Information Elements to 15.4
 - Basically a TLV in management frames
 - Using IEs can make adding HIP DEX for 15.4 KMP a 'recommended practice' document, not an addendum
 - Need to deal with HIP packets carried over a set of 15.4 management frames
 - This actually is a broader issue in 15.4g

Questions?