draft-ietf-decade-reqs-00

Y. Gu, D. A. Bryan, Y. R. Yang, R. Alimi

IETF-79, Beijing China, November 11, 2010

Changes since previous version

- Significant changes since previous version (draft-gudecade-reqs-05)
- These were made mostly in response to comments at IETF-78, so reflect direction from WG, but would like comments on changes
- Refocused on just the clear, true requirements
 - Architectural issues/concepts have instead been moved to draft-alimi-decade-arch-01
 - A few non-requirement issues from previous version specifically discussed by WG remain, but moved to implementation considerations
- The requirements have been split into requirements for the protocol and requirements for the underlying storage system

Format of Talk

- Will list authors' open questions
- Do not plan to go step-by-step through all requirements, but have them in slide form if we need to discuss particular issues or the WG wants to discuss them individually

Open Issues (1)

- Is the break down of issues correct?
 - Current proposed structure
 - DECADE Requirements
 - Protocol requirements
 - Requirements on underlying storage
 - Implementation considerations
 - Is this approach reasonable to the WG?

(Note: implementation considerations may be moved to another document later, but collecting them here until we have a better location)

Open Issues (2)

- The WG has indicated a desire to allow for applications (such as distributed VoD) that closely resemble the data dissemination patterns of P2P, while not building a generic network storage system
 - Application-Independent API: The DECADE IAP MUST provide a simple, application-independent API for P2P applications to access in-network storage
- We propose to revise to use a more generic term that is still within the charter constraints
- Need to explicitly state not building a generic network storage system?

Open Issues (3)

- The WG has indicated a desire to allow for applications to do duplicate data removal within a server
 - Have added this as a implementation detail
- Do we want to do duplication removal across multiple servers?
 - This would require protocol considerations

Open Issues (4)

- Discovery
 - We currently do not have requirements for this, but clearly a discovery mechanism is required
 - Looking for input on requirements for discovery
 - (Note: Discovery doesn't include selecting "best" server – explicitly out of scope!)
- Mobility
 - We don't have many requirements around mobility
 - What is the interest?
 - What do we need for *specific* requirements?

Open Issues (5)

- Do we need a new requirement to explicitly caution against putting the "smarts" of the system into DECADE?
- Approach in other places has been to provide generic mechanism, offload specific complexity to application
- Should we clarify this, and how do we capture specifically?

Additional Slides

Specific Requirements Follow

Protocol Requirements

- Overall Protocol Requirements
- Connectivity Concerns
- Error and Failure Conditions
- Transfer and Latency Requirements
- Data Access Requirements
- Data Management Requirements
- Resource Control
- Authorization

Overall Protocol Requirements

- Application-Independent API: The DECADE IAP MUST provide a simple, applicationindependent API for P2P applications to access in-network storage.
- Cross-platform Access: If DECADE supports the ability to store metadata associated with data objects, the DECADE protocol(s) MUST transmit any metadata using an operating system-independent and architectureindependent format.

Connectivity Concerns

- NATs and Firewalls: DECADE SHOULD be usable across firewalls and NATs without requiring additional network support (e.g., Application-level Gateways).
- Connections to Clients: DECADE SHOULD NOT require that network connections be made to DECADE clients (e.g., from a server to a DECADE client or from a DECADE client to another DECADE client).

Error and Failure Conditions

- Overload Condition: In-network storage, which is operating close to its capacity limit (e.g., too busy servicing other requests), MUST be able to reject requests.
- Insufficient Resources: DECADE MUST support an error condition indicating to a DECADE client that resources (e.g., storage space) were not available to service a request (e.g., storage quota exceeded when attempting to store data).
- Unavailable and Deleted Data: DECADE MUST support error conditions indicating that (1) data was rejected from being stored, (2) deleted, or (3) marked unavailable by a storage provider.

Transfer and Latency Requirements

- Low-Latency Access: DECADE SHOULD provide "lowlatency" access for application clients. DECADE MUST allow clients to specify at least two classes of services for service: lowest possible latency and latency noncritical.
- Indirect Transfer: DECADE MUST allow a user's innetwork storage to directly fetch from other user's innetwork storage.
- Data Object Size: DECADE MUST allow for efficient data transfer of small objects (e.g., 16KB) between a DECADE client and in-network storage with minimal additional latency required by the protocol.
- Communication Among Storage Elements: DECADE SHOULD support the ability for two in-network storage elements in different administrative domains to store and/or retrieve data directly between each other. If such a capability is supported, this MAY be the same (or a subset or extension of) as the IAP used by clients to access data.

Data Access Requirements

- **Reading/Writing Own Storage:** DECADE MUST support the ability for a DECADE client to read data from and write data to its own in-network storage.
- Access by Other Users: DECADE MUST support the ability for a user to apply access control policies to users other than itself for its storage. The users with whom access is being shared can be under a different administrative domain than the user who owns the in-network storage. The authorized users may read from or write to the user's storage.
- Negotiable Data Protocol: DECADE MUST support the ability for a DECADE client to negotiate with its Innetwork storage about which protocol it can use to read data from and write data to its In-network storage.
- Separation of Data Operations from Application Control: The DECADE IAP MUST only provide a minimal set of core operations to support diverse policies implemented and desired by Target Applications.

Data Management

- Agnostic of Reliability: DECADE SHOULD remain agnostic of reliability/fault-tolerance level offered by storage provider.
- **Time-to-Live for Stored Data:** DECADE MUST support the ability for a DECADE client to indicate a time-to-live value (or expiration time) indicating a length of time until particular data can be deleted by the in-network storage element.
- Offline Usage: DECADE MAY support the ability for a user to provide authorized access to its innetwork storage even if the user has no DECADE applications actively running or connected to the network.

Resource Control

- Multiple Applications: DECADE SHOULD support the ability for users to define resource sharing policies for multiple applications being run/managed by the user.
- Per-Peer, Per-Data Control: A DECADE client MUST be able to assign resource quotas to individual peers for reading from and writing particular data to its in-network storage within a particular range of time. The DECADE server MUST enforce these constraints.
- Server Involvement: DECADE client MUST be able to indicate, without contacting the server itself, resource control policies for peers' requests.

Authorization

- **Per-Peer, Per-Data Read Access:** A DECADE Client MUST be able to authorize individual peers to read particular data stored on its in-network storage.
- **Per-User Write Access:** A DECADE Client MUST be able to authorize individual peers to store data into its in-network storage.
- Authorization Checks: In-network storage MUST check the authorization of a client before it executes a supplied request. The in-network storage MAY use optimizations to avoid such authorization checks as long as the enforced permissions are the the same.

Authorization (continued)

- Credentials Not IP-Based: Access MUST be able to be granted on other credentials than the IP address
- Server Involvement: A DECADE client MUST be able to indicate, without contacting the server itself, access control policies for peers' requests.

Storage Requirements

- Explicit Deletion of Stored Data: DECADE MUST support the ability for a DECADE client to explicitly delete data from its own innetwork storage.
- Multiple Writing: DECADE MUST NOT allow multiple writers for the same object. Implementations raise an error to one of the writers.
- Multiple Reading: DECADE MUST allow for multiple readers for an object.

Storage Requirements (continued)

- Reading Before Completely Written: DECADE MAY allow readers to read from objects before they have been completely written.
- Writing Model: DECADE MUST provide at least a writing model (while storing an object) that appends data to data already stored.
- Storage Status: A DECADE client MUST be able to retrieve current resource usage (including list of stored data) and resource quotas on its in-network storage.

Storage Non-Requirements

• No Ability to Update: DECADE SHOULD NOT provide ability to update existing objects. That is, objects are immutable once they are stored.

Implementation Considerations

- Resource Scheduling: Need to consider the implications of scheduling on performance. Must be aware of applications' attempts to "game the system".
- Removal of Duplicate Records: Systems should be able to remove duplicate records by using shared data, but this is not protocol level decision, and applications should not be forced to do this.