

Go further, faster[™]

NFSv4 and Sub-File Caching

Mike Eisler

2009-03-25



© 2009 IETF Trust All rights reserved.

Data Caching today in NFSv4

- Whole file, via delegations
- Exclusive (writer) or shared (reader)
- Delegations are recalled when a conflicting OPEN is received
 - OPEN for READ or WRITE recalls an exclusive delegation
 - OPEN for WRITE recalls a shared delegation
- Work best for read-only or single instance write workloads
 - Many (most?) single instance write workloads use locking
 - Exclusive delegations are good for caching byte range locks

Why Consider Sub-File Delegations Now?

- Our experience with pNFS shows that we know how to deal with sub-file organization
 - A blocks (SCSI) layout is sort of like a sub-file delegation
 - read layouts conflict with read/write layouts
- Critical applications need sub-file sharing
 - byte range locks aren't good enough
 - not recallable
 - advisory in many cases
 - consistency not ensured
- Flash memory is a game changer
 - The benefits of flash are best closest to the application
 - Unlike disks, aggregating flash storage devices does little to improve latency and throughput
 - NFS server vendors: embrace it or else

Requirements, Non-Requirements, & Maybes

Requirements

- Sub-file consistency
- Enable applications that are already splitting data into fixed size power of 2 blocks
 - database
 - hypervisor
- Compact Representation
- Optimize for big files
 - existing delegations serve small files fine
- Deal with striping
- Deal with de-duplication

Non-Requirements

- Arbitrary byte ranges
 - We don't have to fix all gaps with POSIX (at least not now)

Maybe

- True sub-file coherency
 - If there is high contention on a block, the cost direct I/O from/to NFS server is probably less than thrashing on cache token

It turns out ...

- ... draft-eisler-nfsv4-pnfs-dedupe-00.txt deals addresses many of the requirements:
- Sub-file consistency
 - I-D has a ddl_change_attr array in layout
 - If absent, server is promising to send CB_LAYOUTRECALL on the affected block
 - Trivial to allow client to tell server return layouts with ddl_change_attr absent
- Enable applications that are already splitting data into fixed size power of 2 blocks
 - I-D uses bit maps to represent blocks
- Compact Representation
 - ditto
- Optimize for big files
 - I-D uses hierarchical bit maps to represent blocks
 - But I-D works well with small files too
- Deal with striping
 - On a per block (or per block range) basis, I-D's protocol can refer client to a layout type
- Deal with de-duplication
 - − It has "dedupe" in the I-D name ☺

Maybe

- True sub-file coherency
 - If we want to go there, trivial to allow client to demand coherency
 - If we want to go there

Why not add sub-file delegation operations?

- Might turn NFSv4.2 into another death march like NFSv4.1
- We've added a lot of extensibility to NFSv4.1
 - Let's see if we can use it



- Post a Requirements I-D
- Begin a discussion
- Post draft-eisler-nfsv4-pnfs-dedupe-01.txt
 - add block level caching



Thanks Q/A

Or I can reprise the draft-eisler-nfsv4-pnfs-dedupe-00.txt presentation from Minneapolis

De-Duplication Awareness: What I am asking of NFSv4 WG

- Primary request
 - Add de-duplication awareness to the NFSv4 charter
 - virtualization is the justification
- Secondary request
 - Start with draft-eisler-nfsv4-pnfs-dedupe-00.txt
 - Seems to fit with known de-duplication schemes



- Magnetic disk is cheap
- And yet customers are driving storage vendors toward eliminating redundancy
 - first it was whole files
 - now it is blocks within files
- NFS clients cache data from storage arrays in DRAM and flash
 - DRAM and flash are expensive
- Ergo, de-duplication in NFS clients matters
- The hypervisors are doing it already
 - So storage arrays should give hypervisors the de-duplication maps

The proposal at a glance

- Does not require a new minor version of NFSv4
- Requires new layout types
- Use bit maps to indicate if a range of data in a file is a duplicate from another file
- Supports hierarchical (e.g., clones, snapshots), inline, and background de-duplication
- Supports cross-storage-node de-duplication
 - Can integrate with existing files, objects, and blocks layouts
- Limited to regular files
 - De-duplication awareness of directories is reasonable,
 - but perhaps best captured in a separate document

NetApp[®] Concepts

- Source file:
 - the file that contains the de-duplicated data.
- Target file:
 - the file the client has opened.
- Block:
 - the smallest unit of de-duplication that the server is willing to support.
- Slab:
 - a byte range that refers to lists of smaller slabs or blocks
- Regular file:
 - An object of file type NF4REG or NF4NAMEDATTR
- Indirect layouts contain slabs
 - Refer to indirect layouts or leaf layouts
- Leaf layouts contain blocks
 - Leaf layouts indicate the source files



Leaf Layout NetApp^{*} Hierarchical De-duplication (snapshot, clone)



Leaf Layout Non-Hierarchical De-duplication (inline, NetApp background) block map first off: 643 block 1, 1, 1, 2, 0, 0, 1, <u>1</u>, control info MB 5001 size: 00 67 $\mathbf{0}$ last off: 644 8192 B MB 2nd block: target offset 674242560 ddll_fhlist[] - source files - { 0x12, 0x67, 0x43 } source fh of 2nd block: 0x67 source offset of 2nd block: 100 * 8192 = 819200 ■target offset: 674242560 = 643*1024*1024 + (2-1)*8192

Leaf Layout NetApp^{*} Cross-Node De-duplication

