Baseline Encoding for PCN

Draft-moncaster-pcn-baseline-encoding-02

Toby Moncaster
Bob Briscoe
Michael Menth

Requirements

- Aim to provide sufficient functionality to make PCN work
- Should be extensible to allow both experiments and charter extension
- Should minimise use of DSCPs
- Has to work within current tunnelling constraints
- Ideally should allow ECN to be carried end-to-end in some manner

Current Proposal (as at 02)

	not-ECN	ECT(0)	ECT(1)	CE
	00	10	01	11
DSCP \	Not-PCN	NM	NM	M

- Current suggestion uses both ECT codepoints as Not Marked
- DSCP1 might be Voice-Admit
- Marked state is interpreted locally depending on marking scheme in use
- ECN would need to be tunnelled

Intended Change for 03

	not-ECN	ECT(0)	ECT(1)	CE
	00	10	01	11
DSCP \	Not-PCN	NM	EXP/LU	M

- Suggestion is to change ECT(1) to EXP/LU
- This allows for multiple experimental extensions (see later)
- Marked state is still interpreted locally depending on marking scheme in use
- ECN would need to be tunnelled

Next Steps

- Will release updated version to reflect the change shown on previous slide
- Would like to see this adopted as WG draft
- Feel this is simplest possible baseline encoding that gives greatest possible extensibility
- This would give a clear unambiguous standard that would not need to be replaced (just updated) should any experiment prove successful

Questions?

- toby.moncaster@bt.com
- bob.briscoe@bt.com
- menth@informatik.uni-wuerzburg.de