IPv6 Prefix Delegation routing state maintenance approaches

draft-stenberg-v6ops-pd-route-maintenance-00

Ole Troan, Markus Stenberg Ralph Droms (presenting)

Problem Statement

- DHCPv6 Prefix Delegation (DHCPv6-PD) used to assign prefix(es) to routers
 - DR: delegating router (DHCPv6 server)
 - RR: requesting router (DHCPv6 client)
- RR assigns delegated prefixes to other links
- How are routes to these delegated prefixes inserted into the routing infrastructure?
 - Initial solutions proposed in dhc WG
 - However, there are also non-DHCPv6 solutions
 - Request to v6ops: input on which solution to settle on and develop



Problem details

- RFC 3633 describes DR as located in AR
- However, deployments will want centralized DR in provisioning system
 - DHCPv6-PD operates through relay agent in AR
 - RFC 3633 doesn't preclude operation with relay agent
- Two types of routing information
 - Global: routing through network to AR
 - Local: routing in AR to RR
- Routing information requires state in AR; requires:
 - Installation with prefix delegation
 - Deletion when prefix assignment ends (lease expiration)
 - Maintenance if AR loses state

Alternatives

- DR in AR
 - Local: DHCPv6 server manages internal routes
 - Global: AR injects routes for assigned prefixes or static aggregation
- Centralized DR: Either DHCPv6-PD server or AR can manage routes
 - DHCPv6-PD server has authoritative information
 - AR can obtain information from various sources
 - RR has authoritative information

Insertion by DHCPv6-PD server

- Global: DHCPv6-PD server interacts with routing infrastructure (routing protocols)
 - DHCPv6-PD server has authoritative information
 - No standard mechanism for interaction between server and routing protocol
- Local: DHCPv6-PD server uses control protocol to manage AR forwarding tables
 - What protocol?
 - How does server become aware that AR has lost state?



Insertion by AR

- AR can learn routing information
 - Local: manage local forwarding tables
 - Global: participate in routing protocol
- AR learns prefix assignment and expiration from DHCPv6 message transactions
 - Explicit relay agent message to set routes in AR (control protocol from DHCPv6-PD server to AR)
 - Implicit "gleaning" from messages to server
 - What happens when AR loses state?



Restoring State in AR

- DHCPv6 has *leasequery* function, through which relay agent can request information about prefix assignments
- Can be used to restore state; when does AR issue requests?
 - On demand: when AR receives traffic from/to unknown prefix
 - In bulk: when AR restarts and needs to restore state

Injection by RR

- RR knows current prefix state and can participate in routing protocol exchange with AR
 - AR inserts local forwarding information
 - AR injects routing information into routing infrastructure
- But, can this work for service providers?
 - Trust: how does AR trust routing updates from customer-managed RR device?
 - Scale: how does AR scale to 100,000 RRs?



Wrap up

- Issue is related to "CPE Default Route Detection" (Van de Velde, Brzozowski and Miyakawa)
- Problem posed to v6ops for discussion and guidance
- Questions?