
Defending DKIM IETF 65

Threats and Strategies

Douglas Otis

Doug_Otis@trendmicro.com

<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-otis-dkim-options-00.txt>

Trust Still at Risk with Base DKIM

- Resource Intensive Assessments!
- Not all Users are Secure and Trustworthy!
- Message Replay Abuse!
- Denial of Service Attack!
- Weak Visible Recognition of Email-Address!

Ascribing Bad Signers

- Limited to Message Content
 - Malware
 - Misleading Links
 - Misleading Information
 - Invalid Encompassed Header Fields
- Evaluation is Resource Intensive
- Undesired Messages Ignored

Reducing Resource Expenditures

- Use of Sub-Domains Adds Confusion
- Any Message Source Might Impact Trust
- Key Group Tags Can:
 - Indicate Unvetted Sources
 - Reduce Evaluation Costs
 - Retain Signing Domain Trust
 - Condition Message Level Precautions

Safe Recipient Assurances

- Message Annotation Can Overcome:
 - RFC 2047, 3490-3492 Unicode Repertoires
 - Unverified Display-Names
 - Confusing DNS Hierarchy
 - Visually Similar Characters or Ideograms
 - Non-Allied Email-Addresses
 - Lack of Email-Policy
- Annotation May Note Allied Email-Addresses

The Battle of the Zombies

- Zombies are a Primary Delivery Vehicle
- Rate Restrictions Countered with Replay
- Key Revocation is Not Practical
- Opaque-ID Convention for Reporting
- Self Opaque-ID Block-Listing for Scaling

DKIM Denial Of Service Attack

- EHLO Verification for Immediate Acceptance
- Signer Association with EHLO via PTR

```
_oa._smtp.<domain> PTR isp.net.  
* .
```

```
_dkim._smtp.<domain> PTR isp.net.  
ads.com.
```

```
_dkim._smtp.<domain> PTR .
```

“*.” Open-ended, “.” Empty & Closed-ended

Third-Party Signature Association

Not describing the EHLO path has less value but...

Does email-address domain permit Third-Party Signers?
(Rather than SSP yes/no assertion.)

```
_tps._smtp.<email-domain>. PTR <dkim-domain>.  
                                <dkim-domain>.  
                                “* ”  
                                .
```


Limited Signature Roles Limit DoS Attack

Signature field w= b:(Role+Binding)

Key field w= <group>

Cached binding checked before conflict rejection:

<group>._dkim-group.<domain> A 127.0.0.2 (binding)✓

Group name conventions:

admin: (restricted access)

user: (general access)

guest: (unrestricted access)

list: (list)

auto: (auto-response)

info: (promotional or general status information)

test: (for test only)

void: (no longer a valid group)

Signing Roles & Exclusivity Assertions

Signature Parameter 'w='

- Source of Signatures using two characters <source><exclusivity>
For example, Sig Header: w=Sb
- SsMmDd/bn
 - (S) MSA Primary (Default)
 - (s) MSA Secondary
 - (M) Mediator Primary
 - (m) Mediator Secondary
 - (D) MDA Primary
 - (d) MDA Secondary
- Exclusivity Assertions (binding):
 - (b) Domain Always Signed (broad)
 - (n) Email-Address Always Signed (narrow)

Opaque-ID

Opaque-Identifier (persistent/sequential)

Signature field u=<p/s>-<redemption>-<uid>

<u>._dkim-revoke.<domain> A 127.0.0.2

Checks to Avoid DoS Attack

- If EHLO does not verify → Delay Acceptance (wl)
- If EHLO != DKIM-Domain → Check EHLO Association
 _dkim._smtp.<dkim-domain> PTR for EHLO parent
- If No EHLO Association → Delay Acceptance (wl)
- If Delayed Acceptance Check for OID Revocation
 <u>._dkim-revoke.<dkim-domain> for record
- If OID Revocation Record → Reject Message