

HIP WG

IETF63, Paris

**"Host Identity Protocol"
"Using ESP Transport Format with
HIP"**

Petri Jokela
petri.jokela@ericsson.com

draft-ietf-hip-base-03

- **Modifications since -02**
 - The draft has been revised: easier to read
 - Only few technical modifications
 - CER packet removed: new draft
 - ... some smaller changes
- **WGLC during July (-> 31.7)**
- **Open issues: <http://hip4inter.net>**
 - ->documentation->roundup

draft-ietf-hip-base-03

- **#64: R2_SENT**
 - Possibility to get stuck on R2_SENT state
 - Solved on the list: R2_SENT state behaviour modified
- **#65: CLOSE_ACK received, send NOTIFY**
 - Send ICMP: The sender of CLOSE_ACK can get faster out of the CLOSING state
 - Do not send anything: Sender of CLOSE_ACK waits for timeout in CLOSING state
- **#66: NOTIFY; INVALID_SYNTAX (*editorial*)**
 - Text is unclear and must be edited

draft-ietf-hip-base-03

- **#67: NOTIFY: authentication failed (*editorial*)**
 - Text unclear; Do NOT send failure in response to a NOTIFY packet
- **#68: REKEYING state still in the text (*editorial*)**
- **#69: Parameter type order text unclear (*editorial*)**
 - Defined in subsection "TLV format"
- **#70: Puzzle hash function**
 - Define the puzzle hash function to be the same as for generating Responder's HIT

draft-ietf-hip-base-03

- **Old issue #56: HIT prefix (not yet re-opened)**
 - Discussion going on at the mailing list
- **#XXX: Appendix A and B**
 - Remove them or combine?
- **#XXX: Section 11**
 - Experimental spec: Do we need both Normative and Informative references?
 - What about dependencies that are not published? (e.g. BEET draft)

draft-ietf-hip-esp-00

- **WGLC during July (-> 31.7)**
- **Issue #14**
 - ESP_INFO text requires clarification; what to fill in parameter fields
- **Some new editorial comments**

Comments / input

- **Input: during this week / beginning of the next week**