# **Tunnel Configuration BOF Solution space analysis**

Pekka Savola

### How to do tunnel link configuration?

- □ What could be configured?
  - OMTU, authentication, encryption, encapsulation, ..., ?
- ☐ How to configure that?
  - OHave sane default settings
  - Adjust as appropriate ("PMTUD")
  - ONegotiate before setting up the link ("out-of-band of the data channel")

## How to do IP configuration?

- □ Existing mechanisms: DHCPv6, RS/RA, ... ("inband")
  - Run over an established link
  - Mechanisms are already specified, used, and deployed
- □ Integrated with link-configuration ("out-of-band")
  - ORun at the same time as tunnel link configuration
  - May allow to optimize the set-up latency
  - Concerns about reinventing DHCPv6..?
    - ▶There could be more and more extensions to the IP configuration protocol..

#### Main paths for a solution

- □ Generic solution
  - It doesn't make sense to reinvent L2TP, which is a generic solution
  - If we really need a very generic solution..
    - ▶Use L2TP or try to optimize it slightly..?
- □ Specific solution
  - Addressing only IPv6-over-(UDP)-IPv4 and maybe IPv4-over-IPv6
    - ⊳v6-over-v6 and v4-over-v4 belong to the VPN problem space (encryption, etc.)
  - OHow to do IP configuration (previous slide)?
    - ▶ Re-use existing mechanisms
    - ▶Invent something new

#### Main approaches

- □ Just use L2TP ("do nothing")
  - Or add minor tweaks to optimize it
- □ Use TSP or an optimized version of it ("out-of-band")
  - Olssue: is the new IP and link configuration protocol a problem?
- □ Create a "collapsed" in-band mechanism
  - Olssue: must assume a bit about the link properties
  - OHCPv6, RS/RA, etc. can be used without modifications
    - ▶We only need to specify how to set up the link!
  - No implementation experience
    - Experience would be useful especially on feasibility of implicit tunnel set-up

#### A few considerations

- □ NAT detection by the client/server
  - ODoes not belong here, already-solved problem
  - Let's assume there is a NAT unless otherwise configured
- Encapsulation types
  - ○IP-in-IP, UDP, or others?
    - ▶There is no major reason to support GRE(?)
    - ▶ More efficient demultiplex based on a key rather than IP address+port
  - If we specify both IP-over-IP and UDP...
    - ⊳In-band link setup gets more complicated
    - ⊳Some implement one, the others the other
    - ▶ Almost all implementations will need to support both in any case
  - It seems to make sense to pick just one, the more generic UDP
- □ Authentication of the tunnel
  - In many networks, IPv4 is already authenticated
  - ISPs may implement spoofing prevention
  - Authentication must be supported but only needed when roaming?