The way forward in v6ops

10.11.2004

Jonne Soininen & Pekka Savola

V6ops – Washington D.C



Situation now...

- V60PS is even readier than in San Diego
 - Enterprise analysis has progressed
 - The three requirement documents are in pretty nice state
 - Maybe its time to get ready for some real work
 - That means protocols...
- ➤ It is time to decide the next steps



Proposed next steps

- Propose a new WG to write a new IPv6 over IPv4 tunneling configuration protocol
 - 1. Based on the tunneling requirements write one new protocol
 - 2. Work on two components of the solution:
 - a) method to discover the tunnel end-point
 - b) specification of the tunnel set-up protocol
- Recharter the v6ops on the real operational issues
 - Solicit feedback from IPv6 deployments
 - Provide feedback on operational issues to groups writing specs
 - E.g. IPv6 WG
 - Address security concerns document/advice
 - Document deployment scenarios/requirements propose action
 - IPv4-IPv6 Tunneling?
 - Mobility transitioning?
 - Provide a forum for IPv6 operational discussions...
- Note: Proposing protocols as individual submissions to IESG can be used!



Questions...

- 1. Is the proposal for the way forward clear enough?
 - 1. Yes?
 - 2. No?
- 2. Does the way forward seem acceptable for you?
 - 1. Yes?
 - 2. No?
- 3. Have we missed something?
 - What?



Going to more details...

- What to do with (v6-in-v4) tunneling requirements/goals documents?
 - 1. They have served their purpose, "let them rot in pieces"?
 - 2. Merge them in a single document?
 - Start in v6ops, but move over to a new WG?
 - 3. Keep some or all of them as separate?
- Note: Nit-picking the documents to death may take a looong time!

