Appeal of MIP6 Consensus Call

Thomas Narten narten@us.ibm.com

Misc Points

- Consensus was rough, would be nice if there was clearer agreement
- We don't formally vote, votes best used to get sense of community and to verify/find areas of agreement
- "Packing the room" is something we should watch for, but must also be careful about calling out:
 - Lurkers can be very informed
 - Posters can be underinformed
 - Counting company votes can also be problematical

Original Consensus Call

• Should we standardize the authentication protocol specified in I-D draft-ietf-mip6-authprotocol-00.txt as an alternative (to the IPSec mechanism specified in RFC3775/6) means to securing the BUs and BAcks between the MN and HA. Note that this solution is an additional mechanism for doing registration with an HA and does not deprecate the currently specified solution.

draft-ietf-mip6-auth-protocol-00.txt

• Choices:

- Do not publish at all
 - Has consequences for relevance of IETF
- Publish on Standards Track
 - Sends signal that this is a recommended way forward, has IETF support, etc.
- Publish as Informational/Experimental
 - Does get IETF review
 - Has been done in other situations (e.g, 3GPP)