



Packet Reordering Metric for IPPM - Comments from WG Last Call

<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-ippm-reordering-07.txt>

*

Al Morton

Len Ciavattone

Gomathi Ramachandran

Stanislav Shalunov

Jerry Perser

November 9, 2004

Comments on draft 07 in Last Call

- **Section 2: Expectation of Order**
 - ➔ Order, like packet delivery, should not be assumed
- **Section 2.2 Goals and Objectives Metrics MUST**
 - ➔ have one or more uses? (this Has Been Helpful!)
 - ➔ work with Poisson and Periodic Streams?
- **Section 3: Simplify the Reordered Definition**
 - ➔ use message numbers only
- **Section 3: Duplicate Packets**
 - ➔ The Metric Definition is valid (not criticized)
 - ➔ any remaining discussion is about implementations
- **Section 4.4: Metric Sensitivity to Test Streams**
 - ➔ noted in this section on Buffer size est. and Section 6
 - ➔ watch-out for inferences - easy to get wrong

Comments on draft 07 in Last Call

- **Section 5: a Reordering Metric needs a TCP-like Stream to make inferences about TCP**
 - ➔ Otherwise, estimates are bogus (Point to BTC framework)
 - ➔ But, if all other metrics are valid with a BTC stream, what does this one add?
- **Section 5: Status w.r.t. Type-P-Reordered clarified**
- **Section 6: TCP Retransmits - why mention them?**
 - ➔ Only needed if Byte order is used as Sequence number
- **Section 6: Don't worry about Broken TCP**
 - ➔ sender exceeds window limit?

Summary

- **Last Call Comments Addressed**
 - ➔ (mostly, still working on a few, resolve this week with principals and then the list)
- **After 2+ years, here's a snapshot:**
<http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/>
- **Need a few more readers**
- **Any more Comments?**
 - ➔ (or are we ready for *another* Last Call?)