

# HMIPv6 – Last call comments

# Background and objective

- WG LC started on HMIPv6 and end on Nov 24
  - draft-ietf-mipshop-hmipv6-00
- Draft has been stable for the last 12 months
- Comments raised by Gabriel Montenegro and James Kempf

# Miscellaneous issues

- Technical comments:
  - The role of the P, I and V flags is not clear (?)
  - Remove section 9 which allows the MN to encapsulated the BU to the HA inside its BU to the MAP.
  - Add text to explain that a “further” MAP may provide better location privacy.
- Editorials:
  - Make sure the doc passes draft nits. Lots of formatting errors.
  - Section numbering (section 2) is not correct.
  - Remove the reference to Hierarchical MIPv4
  - Clarify the similarities between the MAP and HA
  - Other minor editorials.

# Resolution

- Issues were resolved on the list and agreed on.
- Editorials will be handled in the next revision.
- Next revision to appear after completion of LC.