PSAMP Framework Document draft-ietf-psamp-framework-02.txt

Duffield, Greenberg, Grossglauser, Rexford: AT&T Chiou: Avici Claise, Marimuthu, Sadasivan: Cisco

Summary of Changes

□ Architecture

- □ Redefinition of "Measurement Process"
- Selection Process
- □ Reporting Process
- □ Export Process

Architecture

- □ Change definition of "Measurement process"
- □ Selection Process -> Reporting Process -> Export Process



□ PSAMP measurement proc. analogous to IPFIX metering process

- □ Multiple parallel measurement processes
 - + Can feed single export process
 - + E.g. router with multiple line cards
 - Per line card measurement processes
 - Single export process on router

Selection Operations

Output of selection operation is selected packet

- + Previously: output was binary selection decision
- + Now: easier to express ordered composite selection operations
- □ Selector Sequence Number
 - + Each selector keeps counter of input packets
 - + Counter value reported as sequence number for selected packets
 - + Used at collector to infer attained sampling rate (c.f. sFlow)
 - attained sampling rate needed to infer actual traffic rate
 - robust with respect to loss of reports after sampling

4

Selection Operations

Count based vs. Timer based

- + Timer based: simpler to implement? Useful for IPPM support
- + Count based: more accurate for single packet statistics (Claffy 93)
- □ Simple random sampling:
 - + generalization: n from N random sampling
- □ Systematic 1 in N sampling
 - + generalization: n from N periodic
- □ Hash-based
- □ Stratified, non-uniform probability
 - + Probably too complex to specify at first cut
 - + No big demand from applications

Filtering

□ Selection of packets based on packet fields, packet treatment

- + Demand from applications, e.g. drill-down
- Don't expect all PSAMP devices to support filtering
 - + filter mist first parse fields, then filter on them
- □ Feasible for many existing devices
 - + routers already parsing fields and filtering for ACLs
 - + packet treatment also available at sufficiently low rate
- □ Filtering for measurement can be simpler than filtering for ACL
- □ Simple Proposal
 - + Filter on each of set of fields:
 - Single match/mask (IP addresses, TCP flags, ...)
 - Single range (TCP/UDP port numbers, AS numbers,)
 - + Select packet if it passes all field filters
 - + Simple to configure in MIB
 - \cdot No attempt to reproduce complexity of general ACL specification

PSAMP WG

IETF, March 2003

Composite Selection Operations

- □ Application: drill down, e.g.,
 - + Baseline 1 in 10,000 sampling: notice "interesting" traffic
 - + Configure filter onto interesting traffic, 1 in 100 sampling

□ Proposal:

- + Allow composition of filtering with sampling, either order
 - Filtering -> Sampling
 - Sampling -> Filtering
- + Advantageous to put first the operation that thins traffic most
 - Allowing either order extends domain of utility

7

Multiple Parallel Measurement Processes

- □ Multiple measurement processes acting on same traffic stream
- □ Application: drill down, e.g.,
 - + Baseline 1 in 10,000 sampling: notice "interesting" traffic
 - + Configure filter onto interesting traffic, 1 in 100 sampling
- □ Want to be able to drill down while continuing base measurements

8

Which sampling operations?

□ Capability Model

- + Standard specifies each sampling method
- + Implementers decide which to support
- + Marketplace decides which are important

□ Conformance Levels

- + MUST/SHOULD/MAY
- + Standards decide minimum PSAMP capabilities
- + Clearer understanding of minimum PSAMP capabilities in practice
- + What are the criteria to decide?
- + Difficult to place newer sampling methods in correct level

Current Draft Proposal: 2 Conformance Levels

D MUST

- + one of 1 in N systematic, or 1/N simple random
 - both are currently available from vendors

□ SHOULD

- + both options above
- + n from N systematic sampling
- + hash-based selection
- + filtering (see slide 6)
- + composite selection operations (see slide 7)
- + at least 2 parallel selection processes

Packet Reports

Mandatory Reports:

- + Report first n bytes beyond link level header
 - No protocol and field parsing required
 - Burden of interpretation falls in collector
- + Report sequence numbers from selection operation(s)
- + Report PSAMP device interfaces used by packet
- + Any additional fields calculated during sampling e.g. hash, timestamps
- Optional Reports:
 - + Report configurable combination of selected fields instead of n bytes
 - + Saves bandwidth, less burden of interpretation for collector
 - + Should not be hard for a device that already filters on fields
- □ Either should be compatible with IPFIX, suitably tweaked

Export Process

- □ No substantive changes, yet
- □ Requirements
 - + Congestion avoiding
 - + Not onerous on PSAMP device
 - + Reliability not required, avoid overhead (buffers, ack processing)
- Candidate export protocols
 - + Collector based rate renegotiation
 - + Protocols in development (DCCP, PR-SCTP?)
 - + Whatever IPFIX decides
 - NetFlow v 9 basis, + TCP, unreliable transport TBD (PS-SCTP?)

□ Other proposals?