### iSCSI – a SCSI over TCP mapping

London-August-2001

Julian Satran

IBM Research Lab in Haifa



# Status

- ◆ Open "chapters"
  - **◆** Security
    - ◆ 2 "teams" working
    - ◆ SRP+keying requires inventing a scheme
    - ◆ IKE+requires referencing a scheme
    - Encryption will probably have to be mandatory to implement
    - ◆ A separate RFC to be referenced by the main iSCSI doc
  - **◆** Framing
- ◆ Open items
  - ◆ NOP
  - ♦ Login
  - ◆ T10 ordering proposal
  - ◆ Recovery summary

## NOP (1)

- ◆ Issue NOP may close the command window
- ◆ Solution proposed simplify NOP
  - ◆ Remove the P bit
    - ◆ Ping Data if present indicate by DataSegment Length
  - ◆ Convey the answer need through ITT/TTT
    - ◆ No valid ITT/TTT no answer needed
  - ◆ Mandate Immediate if ITT is not valid



- ◆ ITT valid means Initiator wants answer
- ◆ TTT valid means Target wants answer
- ◆ ITT & TTT cannot be both valid in a Nop-In (to break the loop)
- ◆ ITT & TTT can be both valid on a Nop-Out (three way handshake)



- **♦** Issues
  - ◆ General Structure
  - **◆** Individual Parameters
- ◆ General Structure in 07
  - ◆ 2 phases
    - ◆ Implicit
    - ◆ Optional
  - ◆ Overall concern reduce number of handshakes and keep footprint low
  - ◆ Perceived programming complexity not a concern



- ◆ Proposals
  - ◆ SecurityContextComplete alone Eddy Quicksall
  - ◆ Mandatory Security Robert Russell
  - ◆ Both Explicit & Optional
    - ◆ Through brackets
      SecurityPhase/OperationalPhase=<start|end>
    - ◆ Through a binary this-phase/next-phase code and reuse of the final bit

# Lo

### Login (3)

- ◆ SecurityPhase/OpPhase =<start|end> are the "brackets"
- ◆ Parameters for one phase only
- ◆ Legal
  - ◆ I->T Login SecurityPhase=start,.... Parameters ...., SecurityPhaseEnd+F
    - T->Login SecurityPhase=start,....Parameters
    - ..., SecurityPhaseEnd+F



- ◆ Some details about the binary-phase and final/bit proposal
  - ◆ Byte 38 in Login & Text has 2 Nibbles Current/Next
  - ◆ Final bit means ready to move to next
  - ◆ Phases are 0-Security, 1-Op, 15-FF
  - ◆ Parameters are from one phase only
  - ◆ After the F bit Handshake they move on



- ◆ Miscellaneous
  - ◆ Common Header/Data CRC Negotiation (either both are on or both are off)
  - ◆ Drop Security Digest Negotiations
    - ◆ Vendors can use them as vendor specific
  - ◆ Drop Security Digests altogether
    - ◆ Nobody can use them
  - ◆ Hex/Decimal Leave only hex?

#### T10 – serialization interlock

- ◆ Current proposal Busy, Task Set Full and Reservation Conflicts become Check Condition generators under the control of bit in the LU Control Mode Page
- ◆ Issue in single queue (per multiple initiators) devices this can cause a Denial Of Service situation
- **♦** Solutions:
  - ◆ Leave as it is argue the case in T10
  - ◆ Use UA that with a recently proposed/adopted change can have the same serialization effect but limited to one initiator even on single queue devices
- ◆ Jim Hafner and Julian Satran will participate at the next T10 meeting attempting a closure on this issue



#### Interlock – Proposal Outline

- ◆ Add an Interlock Bit in the LU Control Page
- ◆ For Busy/Task Set Full/Reservation Conflict if a command form a specific initiator gets rejected the target has to "remember this event" per initiator (3 bits cleared also by some actions like resets)
- ◆ When the LU state changes AND the interlock bit is 1 AND the Busy/Task Set Full/Reservation Conflict reject-remembered is 1 the target enters a UA pending state for the specific initiator (the "remember" bits could be cleared here or later)
- ◆ This UA condition remains "active" until explicitly cleared by an appropriate command and prevents other commands being accepted



#### Interlock – Proposal Outline (cont.)

- ♦ How is it better:
  - ◆ Confined to one initiator
  - ◆ Currently executing commands are not blocked as in ACA (ACA mandates command to be blocked in order to avoid generating a second sense)
  - ◆ Successfully sent AER means (at the target getting ack!) see SAM-2



### Recovery (summary)

- ◆ SNACK is weak but useful
- ◆ The fast path price is paid
- ◆ A form of ACK might relax the need for data replay buffers at target