# Endpoint Congestion Management (ECM) Working Group

48th IETF, Pittsburgh, USA

July 31, 2000

Vern Paxson, chair

vern@aciri.org

**Proposed Agenda:**

- Agenda bashing (chair, 5 minutes).
- Document status (chair, 5 minutes).
- Overview and pending issues with `draft-ietf-ecm-cm-01.txt` (Hari Balakrishnan, 90 minutes).
- Moving forward on scheduler document (chair, remainder).

**Document status:**

- Congestion control principles: done (in RFC pipeline).
- Abstract CM API: `draft-ietf-ecm-cm-01.txt` completed WG last call, sufficient support not clear due to prevailing silence.
- Description of required behavior of CM: does `ecm-cm-01.txt` already take care of this?
- Informational example(s) of CM scheduler behavior: work has not yet begun.

**Proposal for moving forward with** `draft-ietf-ecm-cm-01.txt`**:**

1. Add opaque (scheduler) data when creating macroflow.
2. Add specification of how to compute RTT variation.
3. Change CM_PERSISTENT to CM_LOST_FEEDBACK, etc.
4. Comment re experience w/ scheduling API, possible changes.
5. Resolve sending multiple packets.

With these changes, one more Last Call.

Do we have consensus for this plan?

(Will translate to consensus for document once Last Call successfull completes.)

**Informational example(s) of CM scheduler behavior:**

In the context of the CM scheduler API, describe how to implement several scheduling policies.

Volunteer to start scribbling?
Volunteer(s) for particular policies?

Editor?

Timeline: it's already overdue . . .