draft-ietf-conex-concepts-uses

B. Briscoe, Ed.

R. Woundy, Ed.

A. Cooper, Ed.

IETF 82

Status

- Major rev from -02 to -03
- -03 published Oct 31
- List feedback since then

-02 Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Concepts
 - 2.1. Definitions
 - 2.2. Non-Goals of ConEx and Common Misconceptions
- 3. Traffic Management
 - 3.1. Existing Approaches to Traffic Management
- 4. Exposing Congestion
 - 4.1. ECN a Step in the Right Direction
- 5. ConEx Use Cases
 - 5.1. Inform the Operator's Traffic Management
 - 5.2. Consequence: Incentivise Scavenger Transports
 - 5.3. Consequence: User-Controlled Intra-Class Quality of Service (QoS)
 - 5.4. Other Use-Cases
- 6. Deployment Arrangements
 - 6.1. Incremental Deployment Features of the Protocol

Mechanism

- 6.2. Per-Network Deployment Concepts
- 6.3. Single Receiving Network Scenario
- 6.4. Other Initial Deployment Scenarios
- 7. Potential Issues or Non-Issues
 - 7.1. Congestion as a Commercial Secret
 - 7.2. Self Congestion
- 8. Security Considerations
 - 8.1. Information Security

-03 Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Concepts
 - 2.1. Congestion
 - 2.2. Congestion-Volume
 - 2.3. Rest-of-Path Congestion
 - 2.4. Definitions
- 3. Core Use Case: Informing Traffic Management
 - 3.1. Use Case Description
 - 3.2. Additional Benefits
 - 3.3. Comparison with Existing Approaches
- 4. Other Use Cases
- 5. Deployment Arrangements
- 6. Security Considerations

3 Core Use Case: Informing Traffic Management

3.1 Use Case Description

- Borrowed congestion policer language from abstract-mech
- Added DSL example
- 3.2 Additional Benefits (formerly use cases)
 - Incentivizing scavenger, facilitating intra-class QoS
- 3.3 Comparison with Existing Approaches
 - Consolidated -02 intro text and section about existing approaches

4 Other Use Cases

- Added informing capacity provisioning use case
- Removed preventing congestion collapse use case

5 Deployment Arrangements

 Replaced -02 text with discussion of minimum deployment requirements and pointer to draftbriscoe-conex-initial-deploy-00

6 Security Considerations

Punted to -abstract-mech

Removed since the -02

- Non-goals and misconceptions
- Discussion of ECN insufficiency
- Potential issues or non-issues: trade secrecy of congestion, self-congestion

Open issues

- Is congestion policer description too much mechanism for this draft? (ticket 4)
- Sec 3.1 could use a numeric example (ticket 6)
- Congestion policer definition missing (ticket 3)
- Terminology nits (tickets 1, 2, 5)

Next step

• WGLC