



# Requirements for indication of features supported by a SIP proxy

IETF#81, Quebec City, Canada

**draft-ietf-sipcore-proxy-feature-reqs-00**

[Christer.Holmberg@ericsson.com](mailto:Christer.Holmberg@ericsson.com)

[Ivo.Sedlacek@ericsson.com](mailto:Ivo.Sedlacek@ericsson.com)

# NUTSHELL

---

- › Allowing SIP proxies to convey information relating to the proxy's supported features/capabilities.
- › (Similar to RFC 3840 mechanism for SIP UAs)

*“I CAN do this.”*

# HISTORY

---

## › **draft-holmberg-sipcore-proxy-feature-xx**

- Contained very few requirements
  - › Assumption that whatever applies to UAs implicitly also applies to proxies, and does not need to be specified.
- Contained protocol mechanism
- Contained use-cases based on 3GPP usage

## › **draft-ietf-sipcore-proxy-feature-reqs-00**

- Protocol mechanism removed
  - › Based on phone meeting with WG chairs and RAI ADs.
- Contains only use-cases and requirements
- Increased, and more detailed, number of requirements
  - › Based on comments/questions from Robert Sparks
  - › No more implicit assumptions that whatever applies to SIP UAs also applies to SIP proxies

# KEY POINTS

---

- › **REQ-4:** A SIP proxy **MUST NOT**, when indicating support of a feature/capability, make any assumptions that SIP entities in the signalling path that receive the indicator will support, or understand the meaning of, the feature/capability.
- › **REQ-9:** A feature/capability support indicator **MUST** only be used to indicate support of a feature/capability, and **MUST NOT** be used to indicate whether procedures associated with the feature/capability have been applied or not.

# COMMENTS

---

## › Dale Worley/John Elwell

Modify:

**REQ-4:** A SIP proxy **MUST NOT**, when indicating support of a feature/capability, make any assumptions that SIP entities in the signalling path that receive the indicator will support, or understand the meaning of, the feature/capability.

...to:

**REQ-4:** A SIP proxy **MUST** be able to indicate support of a feature/capability to other SIP entities in the signaling path, even if some SIP entities in the signaling path (possibly including the UAC and/or UAS) do not support, or understand the meaning of, the feature/capability, or even this mechanism as a whole.

# PROPOSAL TO THE WG

---

- › 1. AGREE ON REQUIREMENTS
  
- › 2. START WORK ON PROTOCOL MECHANISM
  
- › NOTE: INTENTION IS **NOT** TO AGREE ON PROTOCOL MECHANISM.

---

THANK YOU FOR  
LISTENING!