

# **SIPCORE**

---

**Québec, QC, Canada**  
**Monday, July 21, 2011**

# Note Well

---

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  - the IETF plenary session,
  - any IETF working group or portion thereof,
  - the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
  - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
  - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
  - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details. Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio be made and may be available to the public.

# Administrative Tasks

---

- Blue Sheets
- Note Takers
- Emergency Backup Note Taker
- Jabber Scribe

# Agenda

---

**Monday, July 21, 2010; 1510 – 1610**

<b>Time</b>	<b>Length</b>	<b>Presenter</b>	<b>Topic</b>
1510 - 1520	10 min	Chairs	Agenda and Status
1520 - 1530	10 min	Adam Roach	Charter Item: Error corrections and clarifications to RFC3265
1530 - 1550	20 min	Mary Barnes	Charter Item: Delivering request-URI and parameters to UAS via proxy
1550 - 1610	20 min	Christer Holmberg	Charter item: Requirements for a mechanism to indicate proxy capabilities

# New SIPCORE RFCs

---

- **RFC 6216:** “Example Call Flows Using Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Security Mechanisms” (*secflows*)
- **RFC 6223:** “Indication of Support for Keep-Alive” (*keep*)
- **RFC 6228:** “Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Response Code for Indication of Terminated Dialog” (*199*)

# Drafts in IESG Review

---

- event-rate-control: IESG wants better clarity around “rate” versus “interval.”
- location-conveyance: IESG wants better clarity around behavior of “Geolocation-Routing” header field.

# Charter Milestone Changes

---

- **Jul 2011** — Delivering request-URI and parameters to UAS via proxy to IESG (PS)
- **Aug 2011** — Error corrections and clarifications to RFC3265 to IESG (PS)
- **Aug 2011** — WGLC on requirements for a mechanism to indicate proxy capabilities to both endpoints and other proxies in the path of a REGISTER transaction or a dialog-forming transaction.