

# IETF 81 MMUSIC WG

## draft-elwell-mmusic-ice-updated-offer

Thomas Stach  
John Elwell  
Andy Hutton

# Background

- Discussion during last year on barriers to implementing ICE
- Alternative proposals for IPv4/IPv6 negotiation
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-boucadair-mmusic-altc/>
  - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-hutton-mmusic-icemicrolite/>
- Bar BoF at IETF#78
- Draft discusses a couple of issues not raised at the time
- Purpose: to get feedback on whether these issues need to be addressed and how
- Discussed at IETF#80
- -01 contains updates reflecting that discussion

# Next steps

- The present draft concludes:  
“According to discussions in Section 4, it seems to be the case that the updated offer is needed, in practice, in very few environments, and therefore consideration should be given to relaxing the requirement in [RFC5245].”
- Should we do this?
- If so, are there any other updates to RFC 5245 in the pipeline, which this could be combined with?