



6to4 Provider Managed Tunnels draft-kuarsingh-v6ops-6to4-provider-managed-tunnel-02

Victor Kuarsingh, Rogers Communications Inc

Level Set

- Native IPv6 is still the best option for IPv6 services
- Native IPv6 is "NOT" available or deployable everywhere right now (working on that)
 - Vendor Equipment/Software (Network Side)
 - CPE (install base, buggy IPv6 code)
 - Transition Technologies often requires CPE
- ▶ 6to4 is out there
 - Hosts, gateways/CPEs (in use)
- 6to4 is challenging for providers who have CGNs in place or soon will have (IPv4 depletion)
 - ▶ IPv6 connectivity does not solve the entire IPv4 challenges



Document Scope (Adjustments)

- Original scope of document included:
 - Unmanaged CPE with 6to4 Turned (Challenged connectivity)
 - CPEs with 6to4 Turned on Behind CGN
- Draft now concentrates on main use case of 6to4 behind CGN
 - Where Global IPv4 addresses are Used (Legitimate and less legitimate use cases)
 - Transparency not an issue here (broken anyways)
- Can still technically be used for non-CGN use case (Not Recommended)
- No direct participation required from external networks



Technical Points (Brief)

- ▶ 6to4-PMT operates northbound of 6to4 (RFC3068) clients
- Combines normal 6to4 with NAT66 operation
 - No Checksum Neutral mappings / dev code utilized RFC3022 Checksum Adjustment
- Translates 2002: ADDR to 2001:db8 (Provider Assigned) ADDR
- Low Cost
 - updates current 6to4 relays if Linux based as example in place upgrade
- If used in non-CGN model, may help alleviate challenges related to neighboring networks
 - draft-carpenter-v6ops-6to4-teredo-advisory (typo in draft reference)



Testing Results (PreProd Environment)

- The concept works as expected (RUNNING CODE, free to use - open)
 - Client to Server options operate well
- Able to "undo" challenges related to 6to4 behind CGN
 - Does not fix peer-peer 6to4 endpoint communication (broken anyway) – unless both sides do NAT
- ▶ Runs on same system as normal 6to4,
 - where only policy triggered endpoints are subject to translation (provider dependent)
- Some Considerations
 - Some peer to peer considerations (PMT to 6to4)
 - Referrals to local 2002:: from PMT subject host
 - Transparency if used in general (non-CGN) environment



Next Steps

- WG Document?
 - If yes then....
- WGLC
 - ▶ If no then...
- ▶ Chairs

