Kerberos Security Model for SNMPv3

> Rajaram Pejaver Yiu Lee Wes Hardaker Ken Hornstein

November 2010



Outline

□ Introduction: Kerberos Security Model for SNMPv3

U Why we need a new security model

Use cases driving this proposal

New requirements for security model

Proposed security model

Elements of Procedure

□ Next steps



KSM for SNMPv3

Introduction

□ About the authors

- Rajaram Pejaver Comcast Cable
- Yiu L. Lee Comcast Cable
- Wes Hardaker SPARTA, Inc.
- Ken Hornstein
 US Naval Research Laboratory

□ Previous Submission: draft-hornstein-snmpv3-ksm-00

➢ Ken Hornstein & Wes Hardaker, June 25, 1999.

Untrusted Managed Devices

- Examples: Modems, Set Top Boxes, Home Routers.
- > They can tampered with because they are physically located in customer's homes.
 - ✓ It may be possible for an attacker to replace and spoof one of these devices.
- > Any globally sensitive data sent to them may be compromised.
 - ✓ Example: SNMP administrator's SSH's username and password.

Low end Managed Devices

- Examples: Modems, Set Top Boxes, Home Routers.
- > They may not have the math processing capabilities to do PK operations quickly.
- > They may not be able to maintain session state due to memory limitations.

□ Large numbers of Managed Devices

- > Examples: there are millions such devices deployed in North America.
- > Devices will be periodically queried to retrieve device health & traffic load values.
- Automated Managers will poll multiple devices per second.
- Human administrators will access multiple devices while troubleshooting.

USM has its own local table of users.

□ RFC5592 + RFC5608 requires:

- Use SSH to establish a secure session between Network Management Application to the SNMP Engine/RADIUS Client.
- SSH may outsource the validation of a user's password via a local RADIUS client to a RADIUS server.
- > Upon successful authentication, SNMP stack may receive the groupName.
- This model requires the Network Management Application and SNMP Engine to form a SSH session.

□ Centralized Security Administration.

- For authentication of Kerberos users (device administrators)
 - ✓ Authentication is handled without interaction with the managed device
- For authorization of SNMP users (device administrators)
 - ✓ Addressed the same way as the I-D.ietf-isms-radius-vacm draft
- □ Strong Authentication (using two factor mechanisms.)
 - Enterprises typically require this for accessing sensitive Managed Devices.
 - Hardware security tokens sometimes require additional interactions with the user.
 - ✓ Not explicitly addressed by RFC5608, but could be extended.

□ Convenience

- Each subsequent device does not require user re-authentication.
- □ Efficiency
 - Does not require Managed Devices to save state between SNMP requests.
 - Does not require Managed Devices to perform excessive computations.
 - Minimizes the setup overhead before sending request.

Proposed security model: KSM

- □ Architectural placement of KSM
 - > This model is a peer to USM in the SNMP architecture.
 - > It uses VACM, and does not require any modifications to it.
 - It uses VACM just like I-D.ietf-isms-radius-vacm does.
 - It does not use or rely on any transport models.

Dependencies

- > This model requires a Kerberos KDC server.
- It uses an Authorization Database for centralized authorization mappings.
 - ✓ Specifically, it maps securityName → groupName.
 - ✓ For example:
 - Jack → ConfigurationMgr; Jill → Auditor; Joe → Assistant;
 - Jack gets write access; Jill gets read access; Joe gets nothing.
 - ✓ The groupName may also be thought of as a role, permissions, ...
 - ✓ This value of groupName must be recognized by the Command Responder.
- The KDC and Authorization Database will not be discussed here.

□ SNMPv3 Headers:

- > securityModel must contain a new value indicating KSM.
- > securityParameters must contain ksmSecurityParameters.
 - ✓ ksmSecurityParameters must contain Kerberos AP_REQ or AP_REP.
- > securityLevel must contain noAuthNoPriv, authNoPriv, or authPriv.



Proposed security model: KSM (cont)

KSM for SNMPv3

□ ksmSecurityParameters

```
ksmSecurityParameters ::= SEQUENCE {
    -- The Kerberos 5 checksum type used to checksum this message
    ksmChecksumType INTEGER(0..2147483647),
    -- The actual keyed checksum data returned by Kerberos
    ksmChecksum OCTET STRING,
    -- The Kerberos 5 message (AP_REQ or AP_REP)
    ksmKerberosMsg OCTET STRING
}
```

- Message is encrypted when the securityLevel is authPriv
 - ✓ *scopedPDU* is encrypted, resulting in a KRB_PRIV message.
- All messages are Integrity protected, except for noAuthNoPriv messages.
 - ✓ The entire message, including the SNMPv3 header, is protected.
 - ✓ Kerberos 'checksums' are actually keyed hashes, described in RFC 3961.

KSM notes

- Timeliness & replay detection are addressed by KRB_PRIV methods.
- SecurityNames for users and devices must be Kerberos Principal names.
 - ✓ Example: joe@example.com
- Each request and response must carry a Kerberos message (AP_REQ/P).

□ Procedure for Outgoing Requests

- Command Generator contacts the KDC server to retrieve the Kerberos ticket. The ticket contains the groupName and securityName.
- Command Generator hashes the SNMP's PDU and creates the ksmChecksum.
- Command Generator creates the ksmSecurityParameters and sends the request to the Command Responder.

□ Procedure for Incoming Requests

- Command Responder extracts the kerberos ticket, decrypts the PDU and extracts the groupName and securityName from the ticket.
- > Command Responder creates an entry in *vacmSecurityToGroupTable*:
 - ✓ vacmSecurityModel is KSM
 - ✓ vacmSecurityName is the extracted principle and realm (joe@example.com)
 - ✓ vacmGroupName is the extracted value
 - ✓ vacmSecurityToGroupStorageType is "volatile"
 - ✓ vacmSecurityToGroupStatus is "active"

Next steps

□ Status

> draft-pejaver-isms-kerberos-01 was published.

- \checkmark It needs more work.
- \succ Issues are open for discussion.

Demo of sample implementation.

□ Adopt KSM as a ISMS Working Group item

Discussion

