Issues with ENUM & E2MD

by Bernie Höneisen

Ucom Standards Track Solutions GmbH http://ucom.ch/

bernie@ietf.hoeneisen.ch

IETF-78, ENUM WG meeting 27 July 2010 Maastricht, The Netherlands

Goals

- Feedback to provide guidance to chairs and ADs
 - Is there future work needed on ENUM?
 - Is there an agreement on a problem statement?
 - Where would such potential work be carried out?

- Input to further E2MD activities
 - Bar-BoF on Thursday, 8 pm, MECCCafe

#11 ENUM's NAPTR Insufficent

- ENUM uses NAPTR and is successfully deployed
- Multiple problems with NAPTR / NAPTR was reported as wrong choice for ENUM
 - Something written up by IAB (Reference?)
 - What exactly is the problem with NAPTR in the ENUM context?
- Alternatives
 - Define new RR type(s)
 - Underscore prefixing used with SRV RR
 - Wildcards won't work as expected

#6 DNS Basis

- DNS has many benefits for E.164 numbers
 - DNS is a good way of distributing the responsibility (hierarchical model)
 - Fast due to "load balancing" and caching features
 - DNS proven to work for ENUM
- Some claim that DNS is not the right place for E.164 related stuff
 - Too many DNS hierarchy levels
 - Lot of other E.164 number related information is outside DNS
 - Potential for contradictions or unclear semantics

#10 DNS record size

- DNS limits on size per RR
- Use cases requiring large RRs are out-of-scope
 - Indirecting to be used instead
- Many NAPTR RR in DNS answers perceived as a problem
- Real deployments have not encountered such (e.g. .tel)
- Is this a real problem?

#14 Cases not specific to E.164

- Some use-cases are perceived to not to be specific to E.164, but general to DNS
 - In particular those that jump or cut the tree are perceived to be harmful if used outside E.164
- Clear applicability statement to avoid this might be needed

#5 Commonality among services

- NAPTR in a DNS response need to have anything in common?
- DDDS filtering happens on client side
 - DDDS is anyways broken in a sense that it only allows one entry as output, but used differently
- Is this a real issue?

#9 Security / Privacy Issues

- Some ENUM and E2MD use cases may require authenticated access. We have several possible fixes, such as:
 - Applicability statements to restrict subtype use to a private network
 - 2. Encrypting the sensitive data in its NAPTR
 - 3. Put a URI for the data into the NAPTR and use another protocol for AAA
- Is the presence or absence of any specific record type sensitive?
- ENUM discontinued the work on this topic?
 Milestone still open in the charter? Why?