

# DKIM Working Group

IETF 75

Stephen Farrell, Trinity College Dublin  
Barry Leiba, Huawei Technologies

# Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- \* The IETF plenary session
- \* The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- \* Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- \* Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- \* The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- \* The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Agenda

The primary purpose of the meeting is to talk about next steps, which may include 4871bis work.

1. Administrative: agenda review, WG status review, etc. (5 mins)
2. Discussion of next steps. (the rest of the time)

# Status

- “errata” (draft-ietf-dkim-rfc4871-errata) is in the RFC editor queue
- ADSP (draft-ietf-dkim-ssp) is in the RFC editor queue
- Overview (draft-ietf-dkim-overview) is now RFC 5585
- Deployment (draft-ietf-dkim-deployment) is in working-group last call (through 7 Aug)

# Specific topics for discussion

- Is there enough energy to update DKIM base (RFC 4871) & go to draft standard?
- Is DKIM base ready for that? Do we have enough experience to know it's stable?
- Should we eliminate features in the process, to streamline?
- If so, what features?
- Do we have good statistics on what features are used on each side?