

# DNS Resolver Priming (and DNSSEC)

`draft-ietf-dnsop-resolver-priming-00.txt`

DNSOP@IETF69 — Chicago, US — 2007-07-24

Peter Koch

[pk@DENIC.DE](mailto:pk@DENIC.DE)

Matt Larson

[mlarson@verisign.com](mailto:mlarson@verisign.com)

## Where we came from

- Wish to add AAAA for the Root Servers
- Priming process not formally specified, no BCP document
- WG adopted individual submission

## Q1: Root Server Address Validation

- Do we want DNSSEC validation of the Root Servers' Addresses in the Priming Response?

**Pro:** Could protect crucial part of the process

**Con:** We've never done that

## Q2: Should the Priming Response be self contained?

- Should *all* information (full trust chain) be in the Priming Response?
  - Root NS RRSet
  - A and AAAA RRsets (up to 26)
  - *all* KSKs and ZSKs necessary (including RRSIGs)

## Q3.1: How much special casing for the Priming Response?

- Adding Root KSK/ZSK and all other keys to additional section?
- How does the server know it's a Priming Query? Does it matter?

## Q3.2: Prime by asking for the Root's DNSKEY?

- Query for Root DNSKEY gives
  - DNSKEY RRSets plus RRSIGs
  - NS RRSets in authority section,
  - addresses plus RRSIGs in additional section
- triggered by the presence of a Root Trust Anchor
- How to ensure completeness? (size *is* an issue)
- (still missing NET's, ROOT-SERVERS.NET's keys)

### Q3.3: Renaming the Root Servers ... ?

- If renaming the Root Servers would simplify 3.2, what would be the operationally optimal naming scheme?
- Move from NET to ARPA?
- Even move the names up the tree?

## Q4: Emphasize need for logging?

- Security section suggests logging inconsistent (with hints) Priming Response
- Relocate that to (new) section 3.3?



## Q5: TTL synchronization issue

- What happens if resolver expires Root Servers A and AAAA RRsets at different times?
- Can we avoid that? How and Where?

**Thank You!**