

PCN

Congestion and Pre-congestion Notification

IETF 68 – Prague
Monday 2007-03-19

Administrivia (1)

- Chairs:
 - Scott Bradner <sob@harvard.edu>
 - Steven Blake <steven.blake@ericsson.com>
- Mailing list:
 - <pcn@ietf.org>
 - <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/pcn/index.html>
- PCN homepage:
 - <http://www.ietf.org/html.charters/pcn-charter.html>
- Meeting materials:
 - https://datatracker.ietf.org/public/meeting_materials.cgi?meeting_num=68

Administrivia (2)

- Blue sheets
- Note takers
- Jabber scribe
 - pcn@jabber.ietf.org
- Agenda bash

Agenda

- 10 min chairs Administrivia
- 30 min chairs Charter review
- 30 min Kwok-Ho Chan Overview of prior work
- 20 min Joy Zhang Performance Evaluation of CL-PHB
Admission and pre-emption Algorithms
[draft-zhang-pcn-performance-evaluation-01](#)
- 20 min Anna Charny Pre-Congestion Notification Using Single
Marking for Admission and Pre-emption
[draft-charny-pcn-single-marking-01](#)
- 20 min Jozef Babiarz Explicit PCN Marking
[draft-babiarz-pcn-explicit-marking-00](#)

Charter Review (1)

“The Congestion and Pre-congestion Notification (PCN) working group develops mechanisms to protect the quality-of-service of established inelastic flows within a Diffserv domain when congestion is imminent or existing.”

Charter Review (2)

- “The focus of the WG is on developing standards for the marking behavior of the interior nodes and the encoding and transport of the congestion information.”
- “Reaction mechanisms at the boundary consist of flow admission and flow termination.”

Charter Review (3)

- PCN will specify the following components:
 - (1) A general architecture for flow admission and termination based on aggregated (pre-)congestion information
 - (2) A specification of conditions under which interior nodes generate (pre-)congestion information
 - (3) Encoding and transport of (pre-)congestion information between the interior and domain egress
 - (4) Metering of (pre-)congestion information at the domain egress
 - (5) Encoding and transport of (pre-)congestion information between the egress and the controlling domain ingress
 - (6) Ingress node control mechanisms for flow admission or termination, based on aggregated (pre-)congestion information

Charter Review (4)

- PCN initial scope is restricted by the following assumptions:
 - A) These components are deployed in a single Diffserv domain, where all boundary and interior nodes are PCN-enabled and trust each other for correct PCN marking, encoding, transport and aggregation
 - B) All flows handled by these mechanisms are inelastic and constrained to a known maximum rate through policing or shaping
 - C) The number of flows across any potential aggregation bottleneck is sufficiently large for stateless, statistical mechanisms to be effective
 - D) Flows may have different precedence, but the applicability of the PCN mechanisms for emergency use (911, GETS, WPS, MLPP, etc.) is out of scope

Charter Review (5)

- Goals and Milestones (revised):
 - Nov 2007: Submit "Flow Admission and Termination Architecture within a Diffserv Domain" (Informational)
 - Nov 2007: Submit "Survey of Encoding and Transport Choices of (Pre-)Congestion Information within a Diffserv Domain" (Informational)
 - Mar 2008: Submit "(Pre-)Congestion Detection within a Diffserv Domain" (Proposed)
 - Mar 2008: Submit "Requirements for Signaling of (Pre-) Congestion Information from Egress to Ingress in a Diffserv Domain" (Informational)
 - Jul 2008: Submit "Encoding and Transport of (Pre-) Congestion Information from within a Diffserv Domain to the Egress" (Proposed)

Charter Review (6)

- Goals and Milestones (continued)
 - Nov 2008: Submit “Encoding and Transport of (Pre-) Congestion Information from the Domain Egress to the Ingress” (Proposed)
 - Jul 2008: Submit “Suggested Flow Admission and Termination Boundary Mechanisms” (Informational)

Charter Review (7)

- “The architecture document will be comprehensive, and include security, manageability and operational considerations.”
- “The WG may produce a small number of informational documents that describe how specific quality-of-service policies for a domain can be implemented using these mechanisms.”

Charter Review (8)

- Things PCN **may** consider **after** completing the charter milestones:
 - Concatenated Diffserv domains
 - PCN-aware application mechanisms
 - Additional (pre-)congestion response mechanisms (e.g., flow-rate adaptation)
 - Details of these work items are **out of scope** for PCN at this time.
 - PCN “may consider their requirements to design components that are sufficiently general to support such extensions in the future.”