EAI WG Meeting

IETF 68, Prague

Agenda

- 1520: Welcome, scribe selection, agenda bashing
- 1530: Framework
- 1540: SMTP extension
- 1555: UTF8Headers
- 1610: DSN
- 1620: Downgrade
- 1635: IMAP
- 1645: POP
- 1655: Mailinglist
- 1710: Any Other Business

Agenda

room

• 1520: Welcome, scribe selection, agenda bashing

1530: Framework - review of IESG-response changes

- 2007-01-11: Publication requested (-04)
- 2007-02-07: -05 issued
- 2007-03-17: Last DISCUSS cleared
- 2007-03-18: Approval published
- Some discussion on clarity of text describing MTA/mailstore split; no changes deemed necessary
- Finished.

1540: SMTP extension

- Issues:
 - Clarify need to not send UTF8 messages to non-UTF8SMTP hosts
 - Disposition of HDR=UTF8SMTP idea
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-smtpext-04

1555: UTF8Headers

- Issues:
 - No issues identified
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-utf8headers-03

1610: DSN

Issues:

- xtext encoding and utf8-enc encoding of addresses in ORCPT parameters
 - Resolution: Use utf8-enc (from list)
- Encoding with %utf8 or \uUnicode
 - No consensus (3-3) take to list
- application/utf8smtp vs message/utf8smtp
 - Consensus: message/utf8smtp
- Text/utf8headers vs message/utf8headers
 - Rough consensus: message/utf8headers
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-dsn-00

1620: Downgrade

Issues:

- Usefulness of "upgrading"?
 - See next slide
- Explicitly document that reverting is impossible? ("preserve signatures" keeps on resurfacing)
 - Yes
- How much information to preserve in Downgraded: headers
 - Useful for display & reply
- What to do about uFor in Received: headers
 - Remove for clause, not clear where to add removed info. Issue to list.
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-downgrade-03

Upgrade: Hum text

- 1. Upgrade in the network is needed.
 - Consensus: NO.
- 2. Upgrade is needed in a recipient system, for display, reply and for checking signatures.
 - Minority opinion
- 3. Upgrade is needed in a recipient system, for display and reply only.
 - Consensus.
- 4. Upgrade is not useful, concept should be removed.
 - Outlier
- Clarification: "end system" might be at delivery into mailstore, at message retrieval time (POP/IMAP), or at message display time.

1635: IMAP

- Issues:
 - Many places where UTF8 may be good
 - Choose between ENABLE and new commands
 - Consensus: Will base next draft on ENABLE.
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-imap-utf8-01

1645: POP

- Issues:
 - Possibility of mode switch in POP?
 - Don't.
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-pop-01

1655: Mailinglist

- Issues:
 - Definition of "UTF8SMTP mailing list"
 - You can't tell anything from the recipient list
 - A list that accepts & emits UTF8SMTP mail
 - UTF-8 addresses in list-* headers
 - mailto: needs upgrading; forward-looking pointer.
 - Return-path: ASCII or UTF8SMTP?
- Draft: draft-ietf-eai-mailinglist-01

1710: Any Other Business

- draft-ietf-eai-scenarios: publish, or hold until end?
- Charter timeline?