Multicast Mobility in MIPv6: Problem Statement Update

- draft-schmidt-mobopts-mmcastv6-ps-01.txt -

Thomas C. Schmidt, Matthias Wählisch

{schmidt, mw}@fhtw-berlin.de

HAW Hamburg & link-lab



Hochschule für Angewandte Wissenschaften Hamburg Hamburg University of Applied Sciences



- ⑦ Status of the Draft
- Recall: Mobile Multicast Key Issues
- Mobile Source Problem
- Characteristics of Multicast Routing Trees under Mobility
- Solution Space
- ① Deployment Issues



Hochschule für Angewandte Wissenschaften Hamburg Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

Status of the Draft

- o Several reviews on version 00
- o New version 01 in Oct. 06:
 - Incorporates all reviews
 - New sections on deployment & routing tree characteristics
 - Largely extended section on solutions & bibliography
- o First reviews on version 01:
 - Request to address initial CoA distribution for SSM sources
 - Request for application specific aspects (adaptations, ...)
 - Request to address nemo
 - Request to include further solutions
 - Editorial issues



Mobile Multicast: What is the Problem?

- ! Enable seamless session continuity
- Preserve multicast nature of packet distribution
- ! Approximate optimal routing (in concordance with mcast routing protocol)
- ! Unreliable, but avoid extra packet loss bicasting o.k.
- → Address duality logical (HoA) & topological ID (CoA), mcast apps. & SSM routers source address aware!
- \rightarrow Decoupling of sources & receivers
- → Rapid movement vers. protocol convergence



ule für Angewandte Wissenschaften Hamburg Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

Multicast Receiver Mobility

o Multicast Routing is 'Mobile' :

- On handover listeners may re-subscribe to multicast group (ASM & SSM)
- o Problem: Multicast routing not seamless, but slow
 - Branch construction up to seconds
- o Problem: Ensure multicast reception in visited networks without multicast support
- o Problem: Realize native forwarding, whenever possible
- o Routing: Experience 'leave' on detachment



Multicast Mobility Approaches

o Remote Subscription

- Show all movement by local multicast subscription
- o Bi-directional Tunneling
 - Hide all movement by tunneling via Home Agent

o Agent Based

- Compromise: Intermediate agents shield Mobile
- Approaches: Extend unicast expediting schemes M-FMIPv6, M-HMIPv6, context transfer, dynamic agents ...

Multicast Source Mobility

o Distribution Tree (somehow) rooted at source:

- Collapses after movement
- Reconstruction slow (protocol dependent)
- RPs may facilitate mobility (as they are static) but: triangular routing (like BT) or active source discovery problem

o Address Duality Problem:

- Logical ID: HoA at socket layer
- Topological ID: CoA at routing layer (RPF checks!)
- o Decoupling Problem on Handover:
 - Source has no feedback from receivers



Hochschule für Angewandte Wissenschaften Hamburg Hamburg University of Applied Sciences

Multicast Source Mobility: SSM + + Problems

SSM requires Source Filters:

o Receivers need to Subscribe to Source Addresses:

- HoA & current CoA needed at Receiver
- Receivers need to re-subscribe to nCoA
- o Routers Maintain Source Specific States:
 - HoA & current CoA semantics at Routers
- o Decoupling Source cannot Control Receiver Initiated Updates:
 - May loose receivers on handover

o SSM should remain a 'lightweight' solution 🗮 🛛 🗞 link-lab

Characteristics of Multicast Routing Trees: Chuang and Sirbu Scaling Law

o Empirical Scaling Law (Chuang and Sirbu 1998/2001):

 $L_M(m) \approx \langle L_U \rangle * m^{0.8}$

- This means: multicast shortest path trees are of self-similar nature with many nodes of small, but few of higher degrees
- Trees are shaped rather tall than wide
- o Exponent found to be topol.-independent
- o Saturation due to full network exploration
- o Van Mieghem et al. (2001):
 - Cannot hold in general
 - Reasonable approximation for current Internet size



Properties of Shortest Path Trees

o Assume: *m* multicast receivers are uniformly chosen out of *N* network nodes*, then

If the link weights are iid., exponential with mean 1, the Shortest Path Tree is a Uniform Recursive Tree

- URTs are well studied self-similar trees
- Relevant quantities can be derived analytically: Average hopcount, path weights, stability ...
- Allows to answer á priori deployment questions, e.g. cost efficiency of multicast ...

* This assumption has been theoretically and empirically justified, cf. P. Van Mieghem, *Performance Analysis of Communication Networks and Systems*, Cambridge University Press, 2006

Analysis of 'Moving' Distribution Trees

- o Multicast Distribution Trees subsequent under Mobility are highly correlated
- o Previous and Next Tree overlap from receivers downward
- o Coinciding subtrees: self-similar URT
- o Results in frequent re-use of Mcast Router States
- o Two characteristic measures
 - 'Step-Size': pDR-to-nDR Distance
 - Tree evolvement: Number of Receivers



Evolution of Distribution Trees



Simulation Study: Tree Coincidence wrt. pDR-nDR Distance



Source - Schmidt & Wählisch: <u>Morphing Distribution Trees – On the Evolution of Multicast States under</u> <u>Mobility and an Adaptive Routing Scheme for Mobile SSM Sources</u>, In: Telecommunication Systems, Oct. 2006

Simulation Study: Tree Coincidence wrt. Tree Evolvement



Source Mobility – Solution Space

o Statically Routed Trees:

- Bidirectional Tunnelling (Xylomenos & Plyzos)
- Rendezvous Points (mobility aware): Interdomain Backbone (Romdhani et al.)
 For *SSM*: Add HoA-record to MRPs to account for RPF check
- o Reconstruction of Distribution Trees:
 - Agent-assisted Handovers: RBMOM (Lin et al.),
 M-HMIPv6 (Schmidt & Wählisch) +++
 - For SSM: Listener-Initiated Tree reconstruction based on HA-centred Control Tree (Thaler) Agent-assisted Tree Anchors + SDR announcements (Jelger & Noel)

Source Mobility – Solution Space (2)

- o Tree Modification Schemes:
 - Tree Extension for new source locations in DVMRP SPTs (Chang & Yen)
- o SSM Tree Modification Schemes:
 - Add RPF-redirect Hop-by-Hop Header to Mcast data in RPbased routing (O'Neill)
 - Extend previous tree by source routing, inject State-Updates through Hop-by-Hop signalling + initiate shortcuts (Schmidt & Wählisch)
 - Signal new CoA state along HA-based Tree in State-Update
 messages (Lee et al.)

Deployment Issues

o Complexity versus Performance Efficiency

- IP Layer : Application Layer : Hybrids

o Keep Infrastructure in Mobility Agnostic State

- Restrict mobility management to end nodes (?)

o Security

- Preserve trust equivalent to unicast routes
- Prevent interference with unicast Binding Caches
- Care for SSM source admission control



Multicast Mobility at IETF

Thaler: www3.ietf.org/proceedings/01dec/slides/magma-2.pdf - 2001 draft-jelger-mssmv6-00.txt - 2002 draft-oneill-mip-multicast-01.txt – 2003 draft-suh-mipshop-fmcast-mip6-00 - 2004 draft-schmidt-waehlisch-mhmipv6-04.txt – 2005 draft-miloucheva-mldv2-mipv6-00.txt – 2005 draft-zhang-mipshop-multicast-dma-02.txt – 2006 draft-xia-mipshop-fmip-multicast-00.txt – 2006