

Marc Linsner - marc.linsner@cisco.com John Schnizlein - john.schnizlein@cisco.com

Cisco.com

 If the end host is able to derive location on it's own or via it's access control mechanism, we have no problem and no need for LCP.

• If not.....

#### **The Problem Space**



#### **The Problem Space**



#### **The Problem Space**



#### **Internet Architecture**

Cisco.com

#### **Dumb network – Smart endpoints**



# Legacy Architecture(s)

Cisco.com

#### **Smart network – Dumb endpoints**



Cisco.com

• Should we copy the legacy architecture?

There are many reasons the old way is dying.

• Or should we use the Internet architecture?

Can anyone name a successful **Internet** application that requires **direct** communication with the access and/or network control plane(s)?

Now is **NOT** the time to regress!

Cisco.com

- A "sighting" protocol as defined in RFC3693
- Where the access provider operates a location server which has a mapping from IP address to location
- Driving Requirement(s)

Where implementing DHCP relay agents required for RFC3825/Civil09 is impossible

Where implementing DHCP for host configuration is impossible

Differences between DHCP & LCP

DHCP uses relay agent information as key for location lookup

LCP uses IP address as key for location lookup

LCP utilizes TCP as transport, with TLS as an option

Cisco.com

#### Works with NAT/PAT

Since there is no IP address information within the protocol data, normal address/port substitution mechanisms still work

#### Must execute prior to restricted tunnel initialization

Since source IP address is the key and tunnels would change source IP address, LCP must be executed prior to tunnel establishment

Cisco.com

#### Privacy/Security

**Uses IP address as identifier/key** 

Uses source IP address, no dependency on host provided data

TCP 3-way handshake mitigates simple source-address spoofing

**Recommend TLS to protect transport** 

### **List Comments**

- Not able to implement as written!
  - No data formats.
    - Client to server will be in -01
    - Server to client intended to support RFC3825 and Civil09 formats
- Doesn't HELD to this?
  - HELD does the same but forces layer violations and is more complex (as a sighting protocol).
- I was surprised to see this given past discussions
  ??
- Can LCP return the location object in PIDF-LO format? Currently studying – would like more comments