

draft-eisler-nfsv4-impid-00.txt draft-adamson-nfsv4-spkm3-00.txt

IETF-64 2005-11-08 Mike Eisler email2mre-ietf@yahoo.com

NetApp[®] draft-eisler-nfsv4-impid-00.txt

- Problem: "NFSv4.0 has no method for allowing clients and servers to provide to each other their implementation identities"
- Why is this a problem?
 - Interoperability will continue to be an ongoing problem
 - Modern operating environments automate collection of customer problem report data
 - collecting this data manually is error prone
 - Even if interoperability is not problem, understanding what customers are using helps
 - The number of client operating environments is declining, but the rate of change among remaining clients between revisions is high:
 - AIX 5.2 versus 5.3, Linux 2.4 versus 2.6, Solaris 9 versus 10
 - The number NFS server implementations is growing
 - 11 different implementers submitted SPEC SFS 97 results from 1997-2001
 - 20 different implementers submitted SPEC SFS 97 R1 results from 2001-2005

NetApp^{*} draft-eisler-nfsv4-impid-00.txt

- Solution: new operation for exchanging IMPID strings between client and server
- Objections:
 - Use "signature" approaches:
 - This could be harder than implementing NFSv4
 - Not as simple as performing an md5 on arguments
 - Collecting field data to decide which implementations to test against can obscure importance of different applications
 - That's why statisticians, accountants, and actuaries exist

Image: NetApp*draft-eisler-nfsv4-impid-00.txt:Objections (continued)

- SSH has IMPIDs and implementers have abused them (complex matrices exist in SSH clients and servers for tailoring behaviors)
 - SSH asked for this problem. From draft-ietf-secsh-transport-24.txt:
 - "The 'softwareversion' string is primarily used to trigger compatibility extensions and to indicate the capabilities of an implementation."
 - Compare this to <u>draft-eisler-nfsv4-impid-00.txt</u>:
 - "An NFSv4 client or server MUST NOT interpret the implementation identity information ... Because it is likely some implementations will violate the protocol specification ... Implementations MUST allow:
 - the EXCH_IMPL_IDENTS4 operation to be disabled.
 - ... users ... to set the contents of ... [the] nfs_impl_id structure to any value"



RFC 2025 – Defined GSS mechanisms, SPKM-

- 1, and -2
- Assumed an enterprise-wide PKI with certificates stored in a directory service

RFC 2847 – Defined SPKM-3

- Like TLS, does not require an enterprise or global directory for storing certificates
- RFC 2847 also added LIPKEY a simple GSS mechanism for sending a username/password over an encrypted channel

SetApp*What's in draft-adamson-nfsv4-spkm3-
00.txt?

Major Changes

- Explicitly call out mutual authentication so that clients can use X.509 credentials
- Resolution of issues:
 - Mapping of X.509 Distinguished Names to GSS name types
 - Key size specifications
 - ASN.1 encoding ambiguities

• Where do Andy and Olga want to go with it?

- NFSv4 should be capable of leveraging existing Grid X.509 infrastructure current in use by Globus GSI
- Provide open source code to implement it

UCONetApp°S

Objections to draft-adamson-nfsv4spkm3-00.txt

- We've not seen many NFS v4 over SPKM implementations
 - If we don't get two independent interoperable implementations, then SPKM-3 will be dropped from the NFSv4 spec once it advanced to Draft

DTLS

- This looks promising, but no one has volunteered specify and implement it
- By comparison there has been two SPKM-3s and have been two SPKM-[12]s implemented, so the proof of concept exists
 - Adamson and Kornievskaia are implementing SPKM