Multicast Addressing Architecture

Overview of the Internet Multicast Addressing Architecture

draft-savola-mboned-addrarch-00.txt

Pekka Savola, CSC/FUNET

Multicast Addressing Architecture

Background

- □ No current documentation on multicast addressing
 - However, there are some confusing or wrong earlier documents
 - We need to have short overview
 - ▶ For ourselves -- multicast people (especially new-comers to MBONED!)
 - ⊳For the rest of the IETF
 - ⊳ For those might be considering multicast -- etwork operators, app designers/deployers
 - ▶ Useful for IANA to point to...
 - Seems like an IMDOC -like document
- ☐ Hence, a BCP document seems to be needed

Addressing Architecture

Addressing Architecture (1/3)

- □ Terminology
 - Assignment vs Allocation
 - ▶Usually used interchangeably in DHCP community
 - ▶ But these are very different to the operational community
- □ Address allocation (to networks)
 - Easily derivable (no alloc structure needed)
 - ⊳GLOP for v4
 - ▶Unicast-prefix based for v6 (and maybe later for v4)
 - Scope-relative
 - Static IANA allocation
 - ⊳ Not really done, but e.g. NASDAQ-like apps are close
 - Dynamic Allocation
 - ▶ MASC and AAP abandoned; no dynamic efforts

Addressing Architecture

Addressing Architecture (2/3)

- □ Multicast address assignments (to hosts/apps)
 - Derived: v6 link-scoped addresses
 - OSSM
 - Manually configured
 - Static IANA assignment
 - Dynamic assignment
 - ⊳E.g., MADCAP, DHCPv6 proposals
- □ Multicast address discovery (SLP, ...)
 - A challenge, but discussion might not belong in this document?

Addressing Architecture

Addressing Architecture (3/3)

- □ Future actions
 - More work is needed on address discovery
 - ▷So that static IANA assignments/allocations would not be needed
 - IPv6 multicast DAD/prefix communication could be analyzed
 - Consider whether some part of space could be used for local apps
 - ▶ Possibly in local-scope for local apps
 - ▶To prevent unnecessary leaking
 - IETF could lock down the IANA alloc/assignment policy

□Open issues

- Is making RFC2908 historic appropriate?
- Is the assignment vs allocation terminology separation useful?
- O Do we want v4 unicast-prefix based addressing?
- O Do we need a separate similar document on routing?

Multicast Addressing - Way Forward

What next? □Is this a useful document? □Should it be a WG document? ○BCP? □Are there opinions on the open issues/directions?