

# Final trans-mech-bis issue

draft-ietf-v6ops-mech-v2-04.txt issue

- Final issue raised at IESG evaluation
  - By Margaret Wasserman
- The doc specified simple DNS ordering for v4/v6 preference
  - This was considered to be overly simplistic
  - And we should (normatively) refer to RFC3484 instead
    - Would cause a dependency on RFC3484, and could not go to DS before that is advanced as well
- Possible ways to fix this
  - Convince the IESG that the simple ordering was not an issue
  - State that DNS ordering is out of scope (done right now)
    - Waiting for ACK from Margaret whether this is good enough
  - Create a dependency on RFC3484, and wait if necessary