v6ops

v6ops working group

IETF-60 San Diego

Monday & Thursday 2nd and 5th Aug 2004

Co-chairs:

Pekka Savola <pekkas@netcore.fi>
Jonne Soininen <jonne.soininen@nokia.com>

Agenda for 1st session

Agenda: Monday 2nd Aug, 1930-2200

- ○(NOTE: more verbose agenda on the web!)
- Introduction and agenda bashing 5 mins, Chairs/Soininen
- Document status 15 mins, Chairs/Savola
- VLAN Usage for IPv6 Transition 5 mins, Chown
- Enterprise solution case study 20 mins, Chown
- Assisted Tunneling Requirements 15 mins, Durand
- O Moving forward with Mechanisms 45 mins, Chairs/ADs
- OSecure IPv6 Tunneling 10 mins, Graveman
- ○IPv6 Security Overview 10 mins, Savola
- Tunnel-endpoint discovery 10 mins, Palet
- OWhat to do with NAT-PT applicability statement 5 mins, Chairs/Savola

Agenda 2nd session

Agenda: Thursday 5th Aug 0900-1130

- ○(NOTE: more verbose agenda on the web!)
- ○IPv6 replacement for NAT boxes 10 mins, van de Welde?
- O Moving forward, take two 60 mins, Chairs/ADs
- Transition mechanisms update 5 mins, Chairs/Savola
- ○IPv6 Mobility Scenarios 10 mins, Williams
- "Auto-transition" 10 mins, Palet
- Objective Distributed v6 security reqs etc. 10 mins, Palet
- OAdvanced L3 IPv6 Exchange Model 10 mins, Palet
- OMeasurement of misbehaving DNS servers 5 mins, Savola

WG Status - progress (1/2)

WG Status - progress (1/2)

- □ What have we achieved with WG items since IETF59?
 - OB IPv4 survey documents published as RFCs
 - Unmanaged scenarios published as RFC
 - Unmanaged analysis in RFC-ed queue
 - A lot of documents basically done (past IESG evaluation)
 - ▶3GPP analysis
 - ▶ISP scenarios & analysis
 - ▶6to4 security analysis
 - ▶ Application transition
 - ▶Transmech-bis
 - ▶ Renumbering procedure
 - Enterprise scenarios sent to the IESG
 - IPv6-on-by-default issues docs sent to the IESG
 - ▶But require waiting for "official fixes" to be worked out
 - Assisted tunneling requirements is at WG LC

WG Status - progress (2/2)

- WG Status progress since IETF59
 - □ What have we achieved with consensus?
 - WG Consensus to go for PS for Teredo & 6PE
 - ▶ Routing ADs have agreed to advance 6PE
 - ▶Internet ADs have agreed to advance Teredo
 - Lots of good discussion about ISATAP/tunnel-server model
 - □ What has been achieved with non-WG items?
 - OA simplified ISATAP spec is in RFC-editor's queue
 - O Draft on secure v6-in-v4 is out
 - Draft on ways to replace NATs/private addressing in progress
 - Oraft on tunnel-endpoint discovery etc. is out & revised
 - Oraft on enterprise solutions case study is out
 - oEtc.
 - □ What do we still have to achieve?
 - Decision(s) on ISATAP, assisted tunneling, etc.
 - Decision(s) (by IESG) where to continue the work (new WG?)
 - Enterprise analysis doc?
 - Continue the energtional concete

Document Status: RFCs

- **Document Status: RFCs**
 - □Trans-mech
 - OPS, revision almost done.
 - □NAT-PT and SIIT
 - Would require applicability statement. To be discussed.
 - □6TO4 and 6to4 Anycast
 - The security analysis mostly done
 - □ 8 Survey of IPv4 Addresses -documents
 - □3GPP and Unmanaged scenarios

Document Status: WG documents (1/2)

- Document Status: WG documents (1/2)
 - □ In RFC editor's queue
 - Unmanaged analysis
 - □ Done, waiting for AD to send to RFC editor
 - 6to4 Security Analysis
 - Application Transition
 - ISP Scenarios & Analysis
 - ☐ Almost Done, past IESG evaluation
 - ○3GPP analysis
 - ○Transmech-bis
 - Renumbering Procedures

Document Status: WG documents (2/2)

Document Status: WG documents (2/2) □ Publication requested from the AD Enterprise scenarios ☐ Still requiring more work ○ IPv6-on-default & On-link by default ▶IESG comment: must not be published until the fixes have been officially ratified □ At WG Last Call Assisted Tunneling Requirements □ Not started yet Enterprise analysis; a case study to be presented though. □ Future work items? Secure IPv6 tunneling ("IPv6-in-IPv4 IPsec") ○IPv6 Transition Security Overview & other work