The Atom Project

Tim Bray, Sun Microsystems Paul Hoffman, IMC

Recent Numbers

On June 23, 2004 (according to Technorati.com):

- There were 2.8 million feeds tracked
- 14,000 new blogs were created
- 270,000 new updates were posted

Technology: How Syndication Works Now

- 1. Publication makes an XML document available at a well-known URI describing recent updates
- 2. Clients retrieve it regularly (slow polling)
- 3. That's all!

Technology: What's In a Syndication Feed

- One Channel: Title, URI, logo, generator, copyright, author
- Multiple Items: Author, title, URI, guid, date(s), category(ies), description (excerpt/summary/ full-text)

Species of RSS Currently Observed in the Wild

- RSS 0.9: Netscape, RDF-based
- RSS 0.91: Netscape, non-RDF
- RSS 1.0*: Ad-hoc group, RDF-based
- RSS 0.92*: UserLand, non-RDF
- RSS 2.0*: UserLand, non-RDF
- * significant market share

Data Format Problems

Too many formats, they're vaguely specified, there are technical issues with embedded markup, relative URIs, XML namespaces, and permanent identifiers. The personality & political problems are much worse. Scaling and security may be OK, because it's all HTTP.

The Protocol Landscape

The Blogger and MetaWeblog "APIs" are quick hacks based on XML-RPC. They lack extensibility, standards-friendliness, security, authentication and a future.

Atom, Pre-IETF

- Launched Summer 2003 by Sam Ruby
- Quick buy-in from major vendors
- Quick buy-in from backers of all RSS species, except RSS 2.0
- Active wiki at http://www.intertwingly.net/wiki/ pie

Atom in the IETF

- Charter
- Documents
- Mailing list
- Not meeting here

Starting the Atompub charter

- Floated in mid-April 2004
- Some tweaking but no major glitches from the first proposal
- Decided to have Sam Ruby be the WG secretary
- Was almost ready for the IESG to approve in mid-May, then....
- The W3C asked the IESG to hold off

W3C and the Atom community

- The W3C lobbied to have the Atom community go to the W3C instead of the IETF
- They showed where the W3C might be more useful to Atom than the IETF
- Lots of debate on the mailing list and the Wiki
- We had an Atom community meeting near the end of May
- On the list, most people felt better about staying with the IETF

Atompub Drafts

- Right now we have two, but we'll probably add at least two more before we're finished
- Atom Format: Lots of XML, lots of semantics
- Atom Publishing protocol: HTTP based, allows posting and editing
- Mostly comes from current blogging world
- Both are doing well, already at -01s
- Will soon probably add an implementers' guide

Mailing List

- Incredibly active: 200 messages a week, 15-20 active posters, mostly implementers
- Diverse group: Software implementers, Feed creators, Feed processing services
- Few IETF regulars

Face-to-face meetings

- Not meeting here this time. Expected <10 people, including some lurkers. We're doing fine on the mailing list so far
- So far, almost no IETF regulars. But having some folks with a history of understanding problems would be useful

Next steps

- Close the big open issues
- Cycle the docs a few more times
- Maybe create an implementer's guide in parallel
- Do some online interop testing (test scenarios already developed)
- Declare victory and avoid future versions of core unless really needed

Major Technical Issues 1

- Dates: prior art is patchy, behavior of software is inconsistent, major semantic gaps between publishing models
- Protocol: Should it subset HTTP to enable dumb devices? Should there also be a SOAP/ WSDL version?
- Extensibility and versioning: MustIgnore and MustUnderstand semantics

Major Technical Issues 2

- Autodiscovery: Where is the feed for this page?
- Identifiers (for feeds and entries): Use URIs? What flavors? Issues of uniqueness and permanence.
- Content Packaging: Arbitrary MIME types? XML escaping?
- XML Religion: Schemas or not? What flavor?

The Atom Project

Tim Bray, Sun Microsystems Paul Hoffman, IMC

