

# Binding Update Backhauling (BUB)

**draft-haddad-mipv6-bub-01**

*Wassim Haddad*

*Lila Madour*

*Suresh Krishnan*

*Alan Kavanagh*

*Ericsson Research Canada*

*Francis Dupont*

*ENST Bretagne*

*S. Daniel Park*

*Samsung*

*Hannu Kari*

*HUT*

# Why BUB...?

- When two endpoints are mobile and the RO mode is used:
  - *Vulnerability on both sides...*
  - *Amount of signaling messages is excessive, i.e., any loss severely affects the latency.*
- BUB is a new mode, which deals with scenarios involving two mobile endpoints using the RO mode.
- BUB improves the security of the BU messages and substantially reduces the amount of signaling messages, i.e., the latency. BUB is immune to the double jumping problem.

# Main Features

- New message (BUBC) to complete the BUB test.  
In order to read the “entire” shared secret (i.e.,  $K_{bm}$  + cookie), the BUB test requires the malicious node *to be simultaneously*:
  - *on the new direct path between the two MNs*
  - *between the two HAs*
  - *between the MN and the other HA*
- The CoTI/CoT and HoTI/HoT messages are eliminated.
- The BU message MAY be duplicated (i.e., one BU goes via the two HAs and another one on the new direct path).

# Main Changes from Previous Version

- Duplication of the 2<sup>nd</sup> DH message. The DH messages are sent on all available paths.
- The alternate care-of address option **MUST NOT** contain a care-of address different than the real one. More information in: draft-dupont-mipv6-3bombing-00.
- The Nonce Index Option **MUST NOT** be used.
- The BA message is sent on the direct path.

# Next...?

- Comments are welcome!
- WG item?
- .....
- **Thank you!**