

Current status

Chairs

Status overview

- Architecture draft at the RFC Editor
 - To be published as an Informational RFC
- Base protocol specification closing completion
 - Resolving last open issues, based on interops
- Proposal for ESP extensions (BEET mode)
 - Complete draft; easier HIP implementation
- More work needed on infrastructure issues
 - Multi-addressing, DNS interactions, NAT traversal, rendezvous / proxy

Architecture specification

- draft-moskowitz-hip-arch-05.txt
- Submitted to the RFC Editor on Oct 27th
- Intended to be published as Informational
- Reasons for such early submission
 - Create a snapshot of current thinking
 - Create a starting point for the proposed WG

Base protocol specification

- draft-moskowitz-hip-08.txt
- First complete, fully specified version
- Open issues
 - Appendix containing packet examples
 - Exact bit formats for extension capability
 - Clarification on ESP SA key generation
 - Clarification on D-H key material generation
 - Small bug in state machine description

IPsec ESP extensions

- draft-nikander-esp-beet-mode-00.txt
 - Also discussed at **ipsec** wg and **mobike** bof
- **B**ound **E**nd-to-**E**nd **T**unnel mode
- Transport mode processing with limited tunnel mode semantics
 - Fixed inner addresses, no address ranges
- Translates inner addresses (HITs) to outer addresses on output and back on input

Multi-addressing

- draft-nikander-hip-mm-00.txt
- Security analysis and protocol goals ok
- Proposed solution needs to be reworked
 - Needs better SA handling to take care of different QoS properties of different paths
 - Packet formats must be updated to match the newly added extension capability

DNS interactions

- No drafts yet
- Need a method to store HIs or HITs
- Minimum level: Store HIT in an AAAA like RR
- Better: Store HI in an IPSECKEY like RR
- Maybe: DNS updates secured with HIP

NAT traversal

- No drafts yet
- Work must be aligned with multi-addressing
- Basic idea: Let NATs learn SPIs from HIP messages, setting up SPI based NAT (SPINAT)

Rendezvous / proxy server

- No drafts yet
- Rendezvous server allows fast / simultaneous mobility
 - Dynamic DNS updates are not fast enough
- Proxy allows a HIP host to use multi-addressing when communicating with a non-HIP host
- Functionality fairly similar; a proxy can easily function as a rendezvous server, too