Rechartering the mobileip WG

- Suggestion from ADs to recharter at this time
- Current charter/milestones outdated!
- Desire for better focus
- Chairs suggestion based on realization that MIPv4 versus MIPv6 are quite different activities

MIPv4 versus MIPv6 activities

- Different maturity levels
 - MIPv4 base spec done for years
 - MIPv6 just nearing that stage
- Different goals
 - MIPv4: Deployment (and fixing some stuff)
 - MIPv6: Finishing basic functionality (and optimizatiouns)
- (Mostly) different constituencies
- Current WG has a split personality

Toposai. Spin into two wos

- Mobile IP Deployment WG mipdep
 - Operator issues
 - Other standard organizations' requirements for deployment
 - NOT MIPv4 specific!
- MIPv6 WG mipv6
 - Finishing basic functionality
 - Extensions for optimisations (somewhat experimental but required for the future)
- Pro: Narrower charters, better focus ("divide and conquer")
- Cons: Each WG may loose some participants (or

Mobile IP Deployment w G Activities

- Deployment and operational concerns
 - VPN internetworking for MIPv4
 - LPAS refinements for MIPv4
 - NAI extensions for MIPv4
 - Challenge-response for MIPv4
 - Registration revocation for MIPv4
 - MIB's (for both v4 and v6)
- Other future ones prompted by operational concerns
- Experimental efforts (lmm, regional reg's, fmipv4)
- Current focus in MIPv4, but MIPv6 also ok

MIPVO WU

- Basic functionality
 - MIPv6 spec and HA-MN IPsec spec
 - Bootstrapping: MN starting away from home, etc
 - Later: MIPv6 spec into smaller documents
- Some Optimizations (experimental by default)
 - HMIPv6
 - FMIPv6
 - ND modifications: done by IPv6 wg with our help/coordination/harassment? Joint document?
 - Movement detection
 - Simultaneous bindings?
 - Optimistic/Fast DAD, router discovery, etc

Questions?

- .Will my draft now have to be reapproved?
 - Drafts will mostly be moved over and adopted automatically, but we will use this opportunity to reconsider work items.