# Introducing IPv6-only in the Internet:

## Balkanisation... or Translation?

Alain.Durand@sun.com

# When will IPv6-only deployment happen? <u>Hypothesis 1</u>



IPv6-only deployments will happen <u>after</u> all IPv4 nodes are converted to speak also IPv6.

# When will IPv6-only deployment happen? <u>Hypothesis 2</u>



happen before all IPv4 nodes are converted to speak also IPv6.

#### Balkanization ?

- Early IPv6-only deployment (hypothesis 2) is very likely to happen.
- What will happen when an IPvX node will try to communicate with an IPvY node?

# Even simple things are complex

- Hypothesis: IPv6 only nodes use IPv6 applications and only 'need' to talk to IPv6 nodes.
- Sounds nice, but:
  - When node A (IPv6) wants to "communicate" with node B (IPv6), some initial setup involving 3rd parties may be necessary:
    - DNS, LDAP request
    - MAIL relays
    - SIP gateways
  - Some of those 3rd parties may be IPv4 only and things get sour.

### Example of problems

Dual stack web server



The IPv6 only node wants to browse the dual stack web server.





#### Administrative Solution 1



## Example 1bis

Dual stack web server



The IPv4 only node wants to browse the dual stack web server.



# Example 1bis



#### Administrative Solution 1bis



User on A wants to sent mail to user on B





IPv6-only node

The IPv4 only SMTP relay can not talk to the IPv6 only best MX for B.

IPv4 only SMTP relay

A

IPv4 node

IPv6 only best MX



IPv6-only node

## Administrative Solution 2

All best MX must have IPv4 connectivity



## Example 2bis

User on B wants to sent mail to user on A





IPv6-only node

## Example 2bis

The IPv6 only SMTP relay can not talk to the IPv4 only best MX for A.

Best MX









### Administrative Solution 2bis

All SMTP relays must have IPv4 connectivity



User on A wants a SIP-controlled session with user on B







#### Observations/1

- There are similarities in the problems faced by DNS, SMTP, (LDAP), SIP....
- Administrative solutions are possible to implement in the early phases of deployment for some applications.
- However those solutions have scaling issues
- Administrative solutions do not work for SIP-like applications.

#### Observations/2

- It is probably interesting to explore a L3 solution instead of a per application ad-hoc solution.
- IPv4 installed based is virtually impossible to change, so NAT4->6 is much more complex than NAT6->4
- ALG will be needed to assist NAT6->4 and NAT4->6

# Exploring technical solutions

- Problem statements:
  - Scalable solution to enable IPv6 client to communicate with any unmodified IPv4-only server on any unmodified IPv4-only node on the public Internet with minimum configuration in the network and without introducing any new security problems.
  - Scalable solution to enable unmodified IPv4 client running on an unmodified IPv4 node to communicate with any IPv6 server in the public Internet with minimum configuration in the network and without introducing any new security problems.

#### $IPv6 \rightarrow IPv4$

- NAT-PT has serious issues

   draft-durand-natpt-dns-alg-issues-00.txt
- Solution 1: patching NAT-PT DNS ALG – draft-hallin-natpt-dns-alg-solutions-00.txt
- Solution 2: removing DNS ALG
  - NAT64
  - draft-durand-ngtrans-nat64-nat46-00.txt

#### $IPv4 \rightarrow IPv6$

- Much more difficult problem
- DNS ALG "near" the IPv4 node
   NAT46
  - draft-durand-ngtrans-nat64-nat46-00.txt
- Other approaches ???