

Generalized MPLS Signaling

draft-ietf-mpls-generalized-signaling-05.txt draft-ietf-mpls-generalized-cr-ldp-04.txt draft-ietf-mpls-generalized-rsvp-te-04.txt

Authors

- Peter Ashwood-Smith (Nortel Networks Corp.)
- Ayan Banerjee (Calient Networks)
- Lou Berger (Movaz Networks)
- Greg Bernstein (Ciena Corporation)
- John Drake (Calient Networks)
- Yanhe Fan (Axiowave Networks)
- Don Fedyk (Nortel Networks Corp.) CR-LDP
- Kireeti Kompella (Juniper Networks, Inc.)
- Fong Liaw (Zaffire Inc.) RSVP-TE
- Eric Mannie (EBONE)
- Jonathan P. Lang (Calient Networks)
- Ping Pan (Juniper Networks, Inc.) RSVP-TE
- Bala Rajagopalan (Tellium, Inc.)
- Yakov Rekhter (Juniper Networks, Inc.)
- Debanjan Saha (Tellium, Inc.)
- Vishal Sharma (Jasmine Networks)
- George Swallow (Cisco Systems)
- Z. Bo Tang (Tellium, Inc.)

Since last meeting

- Per last meeting:
 - Moved (SONET/SDH) technology specifics into TSPEC/Traffic parameters
 - Split SONET/SDH into its own document
 - Issued joint CCAMP and MPLS WG last calls
- Last Call Results:
 - A lot of e-mail
 - Some changes in the specs based on comments (more on this in a moment)
 - Some comments that did not result in changes
 - Some related to out-of-scope topics
 - Notably details on restoration and protection
 - Some comments just needed explanations
 - Others were...





- Reintroduced Switching Type to Gen. Label Request
 - Parameter that indicates type of switching desired
 - Per link, is set hop-by-hop
 - Supports links with multiple switching types
 - Dropped from an earlier rev of draft
- Added Administrative Status Information
 - To address operations issues
- Added support for Data/Control Channel Separation
 - Based on multiple requests

Administrative Status Information



- Provides administrative state
 - Testing and Down bits
 - Interpretation is a local matter (e.g., inhibit alarms)
 - Can be used to support alarm free LSP establishment and removal
- Can be used in by intermediate and egress nodes to request ingress to change state
 - Uses RSVP Notify and LDP REQUEST messages

Control Channel Separation

Supports:

- Identification of data channels
 - When channel is not uniquely identified by control channel
 - Data channels can be identified by:
 - IPv4 or IPv6 address
 - ifIndex
 - Component interface identifier (ala bundling)
- Handling of control channel failures that don't impact data channels
 - Link failures
 - Node failures
 - Handling is protocol specific

Next Steps



- Submit IANA assignment requests for CR-LDP and RSVP-TE
- Issue 1 round of updates as need
 - One minor comment on common draft (G-PID values)
 - One comment on use of suggested label during restart - want to differentiate between suggestion and restart cases
 - Missing one timeout
- Move drafts forward once updated