











1. What area are you from?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Asia		19.9%	61
Europe		35.6%	109
North America		40.5%	124
Africa		0.7%	2
Latin America/Caribbean		1.3%	4
Australia/New Zealand (Oceania)		2.0%	6
answered question			306
skipped question			0

2. Approximately how many IETF meetings have you attended (including IETF 76)?

		Response Percent	Response Count
1		9.2%	28
2 - 5		19.7%	60
6 - 10		14.1%	43
>10		57.0%	174
answered question			305
skipped question			1



3. Are you (check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
IESG member	<input type="checkbox"/>	7.3%	14
IAB member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.6%	7
IAOC member	<input type="checkbox"/>	3.1%	6
Nomcom member	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.2%	12
Working Group chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	37.3%	72
Author of active working group draft	<input type="checkbox"/>	79.8%	154
answered question			193
skipped question			113





4. When were you born?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Before 1950	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.6%	20
1950 - 1960	<input type="checkbox"/>	19.6%	59
1961 - 1970	<input type="checkbox"/>	30.2%	91
1971 - 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	36.9%	111
After 1980	<input type="checkbox"/>	6.6%	20
answered question			301
skipped question			5

5. Did you attend IETF 76 in Hiroshima?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		94.1%	288
No		5.9%	18
answered question			306
skipped question			0

6. How long did your travel to Hiroshima take?

		Response Percent	Response Count
< 5 hours		13.1%	35
5 to 10 hours		6.0%	16
11 to 20 hours		41.2%	110
> 20 hours		39.7%	106
answered question			267
skipped question			39

7. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Wireless	0.8% (2)	1.9% (5)	1.9% (5)	39.5% (105)	56.0% (149)	4.48	
NOC	64.6% (168)	0.8% (2)	5.4% (14)	14.2% (37)	15.0% (39)	2.14	
Help Desk	71.8% (188)	1.5% (4)	3.8% (10)	16.4% (43)	6.5% (17)	1.84	
Terminal Room	63.1% (164)	1.5% (4)	5.0% (13)	26.5% (69)	3.8% (10)	2.07	

Explain any dissatisfaction

answered question
skipped question




8. How would you rate WebEx use onsite? The Secretariat supported WebEx sessions were: behave, ippm, kitten, krb-wg, netconf, netmod, opsarea, pim, pwe3, sasl, tls.

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
WebEx onsite	78.5% (208)	8.3% (22)	5.3% (14)	6.0% (16)	1.9% (5)	1.45	

Suggestions for Improvement

answered question
skipped question

9. Should WebEx support be expanded to additional meeting rooms for future meetings?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		39.1%	91
No		9.0%	21
Maybe		51.9%	121
	Comment		45
answered question			233
skipped question			73

10. At the Thursday Plenary a transcriptionist was used to project a real time transcription of the speaker's presentations on screens at the front of the room. If you attended was this useful to you?

	Did not attend	Not Useful	Neutral	Useful	Very Helpful	Rating Average	Rating Count
Plenary Transcription Service	26.1% (69)	5.7% (15)	15.2% (40)	28.8% (76)	24.2% (64)	3.19	264
	Why was it useful?						64
answered question							264
skipped question							42

11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

	Yes	No	Did not Participate	Rating Count
Was the Speaker Identification Application useful?	94.4% (252)	3.0% (8)	2.6% (7)	267
Was the e-Bluesheet Application useful?	75.1% (196)	18.4% (48)	6.5% (17)	261
Was the Digital Signage Application useful in providing program & general info?	44.6% (115)	13.6% (35)	41.9% (108)	258
Suggestions				87
answered question				267
skipped question				39

12. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	Did not use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Internet service in my room	6.7% (18)	3.0% (8)	4.9% (13)	46.4% (124)	39.0% (104)	4.08	2
Meeting facilities	2.2% (6)	3.0% (8)	10.5% (28)	56.9% (152)	27.3% (73)	4.04	2
Hotel Staff	1.9% (5)	0.0% (0)	7.9% (21)	40.6% (108)	49.6% (132)	4.36	2
Food and beverage	0.7% (2)	7.5% (20)	17.2% (46)	50.6% (135)	24.0% (64)	3.90	2

Explain any dissatisfaction

answered question	2
skipped question	

13. How would you rate the following?

	Did not use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio visual equipment	10.2% (27)	1.9% (5)	6.4% (17)	72.1% (191)	9.4% (25)	3.69	
Power strips availability	1.9% (5)	3.8% (10)	10.5% (28)	47.7% (127)	36.1% (96)	4.12	
Secretariat staff	26.4% (70)	0.4% (1)	4.9% (13)	40.8% (108)	27.5% (73)	3.43	
Registration experience	0.8% (2)	0.4% (1)	5.3% (14)	67.7% (180)	25.9% (69)	4.18	
Letter of Invitation handling	82.3% (218)	0.4% (1)	2.6% (7)	10.2% (27)	4.5% (12)	1.54	
Visa processing	87.5% (231)	1.1% (3)	2.3% (6)	6.1% (16)	3.0% (8)	1.36	

Explain any dissatisfaction

answered question

skipped question

14. How would you rate the meeting Program Book? <http://www.ietf.org/meeting/76/meeting-packet.pdf>

	Did not use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Program Book	39.0% (103)	1.5% (4)	9.5% (25)	41.7% (110)	8.3% (22)	2.79	

Suggestions for Improvement

answered question

skipped question

15. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 76all@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 76Attendees@ietf.org list to share info among attendees. How would you rate their usefulness?

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
NOC Ticket System	89.6% (233)	0.4% (1)	3.1% (8)	6.2% (16)	0.8% (2)	1.28	
mtd@ietf.org	91.6% (239)	0.0% (0)	3.4% (9)	4.2% (11)	0.8% (2)	1.23	
76All	19.8% (52)	0.0% (0)	14.4% (38)	59.7% (157)	6.1% (16)	3.32	
76Attendees	15.0% (39)	3.1% (8)	22.3% (58)	48.8% (127)	10.8% (28)	3.37	

Suggestions for Improvement

answered question

skipped question

16. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

	Did not attend	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Ratio
Newcomers in English	93.9% (245)	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	5.0% (13)	0.8% (2)	1.19	
Newcomers in Japanese	96.5% (251)	0.4% (1)	1.2% (3)	0.8% (2)	1.2% (3)	1.10	
RFC Editor Tutorial	97.7% (252)	0.0% (0)	0.4% (1)	1.2% (3)	0.8% (2)	1.07	
DNS for Programmers Tutorial	93.1% (241)	0.8% (2)	1.9% (5)	3.1% (8)	1.2% (3)	1.19	
MIB Tutorial	97.7% (252)	0.0% (0)	0.8% (2)	1.2% (3)	0.4% (1)	1.07	

Suggestions for Future Tutorials

answered question

skipped question

17. How do you rate the Plenaries?



	Did not attend	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Ratio
Wednesday (Administrative)	40.5% (106)	3.8% (10)	16.8% (44)	35.5% (93)	3.4% (9)	2.58	
Thursday (Technical)	29.5% (77)	5.4% (14)	14.9% (39)	38.7% (101)	11.5% (30)	2.97	

Suggestions for Improvement



answered question

skipped question






18. Registrants were provided an opportunity to provide a link to a profile, like Facebook, LinkedIn, corporate, personal website or blog, for the purpose of improving our knowledge of one another and furthering relationships. Did you provide a profile link?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		30.2%	80
No		69.8%	185
answered question			265
skipped question			41



19. Did you review any profiles?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		19.0%	50
No		81.0%	213
answered question			263
skipped question			43



20. How would you evaluate the usefulness of the Profiles?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Did Not Use		51.5%	136
Not useful		5.7%	15
Neutral		25.0%	66
Useful		15.5%	41
Very informative		2.3%	6
answered question			264
skipped question			42

21. Sessions have been added to Friday afternoons to provide more session time for working groups. If something that you are interested in is scheduled on Friday afternoon, would you attend?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		66.2%	155
No		33.8%	79
Maybe:			45
answered question			234
skipped question			72

22. Did you attend a Friday afternoon session at IETF 76?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		36.1%	96
No		63.9%	170
answered question			266
skipped question			40









23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

	Response Count
	58
answered question	58
skipped question	248

24. How did you access Hiroshima

		Response Percent	Response Count
Air from Narita (NRT) to Hiroshima (HIJ)		10.9%	27
Air from Haneda (NRT) to Hiroshima (HIJ)		13.7%	34
Rail from Tokyo to Hiroshima		27.0%	67
Rail from Kansai (KIX) to Hiroshima		41.1%	102
Direct international flight to Hiroshima (HIJ)		7.3%	18
	Other (please specify)		25
		answered question	248
		skipped question	58




25. What did you enjoy/find useful among the measures arranged as a welcome to IETF attendees in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Welcome posters and banners around the city		72.5%	185
Hiroshima Dreamination 2009 (illumination along Peace Boulevard)		71.0%	181
Transportation guidance (announcements inside streetcars, signs, posters, etc.)		48.6%	124
Clerks wearing the 76th IETF T-shirt who welcomed you at information desks and shops		29.8%	76
Friendliness of local citizens		83.5%	213
Events such as The Hiroshima Brand Fair in the underground shopping arcade		18.0%	46
Rental bicycle, e-cycle Hiroshima		29.4%	75
Guide maps of restaurants, shops, etc.		65.1%	166
	Other (please specify)		45
answered question			255
skipped question			51


26. Any ideas for improvements?

	Response Count
	31
answered question	31
skipped question	275



27. Did you find it easy to use public transportation when moving around the downtown Hiroshima area?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		60.2%	156
No		1.9%	5
Did Not Use		37.8%	98
Can you provide specific examples that made transportation easy or difficult to use?			42
answered question			259
skipped question			47










28. What did you enjoy during your stay in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Peace facilities such as Hiroshima Peace Memorial Park, A-bomb Dome, etc.		82.7%	206
Sightseeing spots such as Hiroshima Peace Memorial Museum, Miyajima, etc.		73.5%	183
Hiroshima specialties such as Saijyo Sake, Okonomiyaki, etc.		63.9%	159
Restaurants and shopping in the downtown area		77.1%	192
	Other (please specify)		26
answered question			249
skipped question			57




29. If you registered for the Social Event, you received a PASPY card (IC card used on local transport). Did you use your PASPY card?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		49.8%	109
No		50.2%	110
If yes, in what manner (on public transport/to enter the Peace Museum etc.)			74
answered question			219
skipped question			87




**30. If you participated in the Social Event, which attractions did you find interesting?
(multiple selections possible)**

		Response Percent	Response Count
Taiko drumming performance		68.2%	120
Koto performance - (13-string zither)		49.4%	87
Okonomiyaki - Hiroshima savoury pancake		52.8%	93
Tea ceremony		30.1%	53
Dressing in traditional "yukata"		20.5%	36
Tasting "sake" from Saijo Sake Breweries		73.9%	130
Learning to fold a paper crane		25.0%	44
Exhibit on Hiroshima City's ICT Initiatives and "The Hiroshima Brand"		16.5%	29
Kendama - Japanese Toy catching and spearing the ball		29.0%	51
answered question			176
skipped question			130




31. Will you be attending IETF 77 in Anaheim?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		71.2%	188
No		5.7%	15
Undecided		23.1%	61
answered question			264
skipped question			42








32. Will you be attending IETF 78 in Maastricht hosted by SIDN?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		64.0%	169
No		3.4%	9
Undecided		32.6%	86
answered question			264
skipped question			42



33. Will you be attending IETF 79 in Beijing hosted by Tsinghua University?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		43.2%	114
No		5.7%	15
Undecided		51.1%	135
answered question			264
skipped question			42

34. If you did not attend IETF 76, why not? (Check all that apply.)

		Response Percent	Response Count
Agenda not relevant		6.3%	1
Expense		43.8%	7
Distance		25.0%	4
Location		18.8%	3
Corporate decision		37.5%	6
Could not get a Visa		6.3%	1
Other (please specify)		18.8%	3
answered question			16
skipped question			290



35. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location using the Jabber room and/or audio streaming?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		41.2%	7
No		58.8%	10
answered question			17
skipped question			289

36. How would you rate the audio streaming and Jabber rooms in support of your participation

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
Audio stream	53.3% (8)	0.0% (0)	6.7% (1)	33.3% (5)	6.7% (1)	2.40	
Jabber room	57.1% (8)	7.1% (1)	7.1% (1)	28.6% (4)	0.0% (0)	2.07	
answered question							
skipped question							




37. Did you participate in one or more sessions from another location (not Hiroshima) using WebEx.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		23.5%	4
No		76.5%	13
answered question			17
skipped question			289




38. How would you rate the WebEx application in support of your remote (not Hiroshima) participation?

	Did Not Use	Unsatisfactory	Neutral	Satisfactory	Exceeded Expectations	Rating Average	Rating Count
WebEx	71.4% (10)	0.0% (0)	7.1% (1)	21.4% (3)	0.0% (0)	1.79	
Other (please specify)							
answered question							
skipped question							




39. Will you be attending IETF 77 in Anaheim?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		35.3%	6
No		5.9%	1
Undecided		58.8%	10
answered question			17
skipped question			289

40. Will you be attending IETF 78 in Maastricht hosted by SIDN?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		29.4%	5
No		5.9%	1
Undecided		64.7%	11
answered question			17
skipped question			289

41. Will you be attending IETF 79 in Beijing hosted by Tsinghua University?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		17.6%	3
No		35.3%	6
Undecided		47.1%	8
answered question			17
skipped question			289

Page 3, Q7. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

1	Printing was difficult ... the helpdesk just directed me to the other room, where I could not get it to work. I eventually gave up...	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
2	excellent job!	Dec 12, 2009 3:15 PM
3	had considerable problems setting up a printer driver -- wound up wasting about a ream of paper before getting the proper combination	Dec 12, 2009 1:53 PM
4	not sure what NOC refers to, which is the reason why I quoted DNU. I may have used it not knowing it is called NOC.	Dec 12, 2009 7:24 AM
5	Terminal rooms have been a bit hard to reach and were of limited comfort.	Dec 11, 2009 6:30 AM
6	Terminal Room: Struggled without success trying to print a document	Dec 10, 2009 9:07 PM
7	The terminal room was too small.	Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
8	Lack of English-speakers at helpdesk caused issues.	Dec 10, 2009 2:56 PM
9	Printers didn't work first time, and on-site support, although helpful, couldn't fix. Had to put the file on a USB stick and have them print for me, which they did cheerfully.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
10	No easy way to print from own laptop in terminal room's printer, e.g., by uploading file on printer's web interface. Needed to install driver / cups / ...	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
11	Very helpful getting printer working in terminal room.	Dec 10, 2009 12:45 PM
12	There was not always an english-speaking help desk person available. This is my only complaint.	Dec 10, 2009 12:38 PM
13	v6 wireless was unstable early in the week - only issue I noticed.	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
14	I was unable to connect to the wireless network, although that was not particularly inconvenient.	Dec 10, 2009 12:16 PM
15	Wireless network was not reliable as usual.	Dec 10, 2009 12:12 PM
16	I mark neutral for the services I didn't use	Dec 10, 2009 11:59 AM
17	Access from WLAN to ALU Enterprise TEP was blocked for no explainable reason, even though it worked from the Righa hotel and LAN connection.	Dec 10, 2009 11:00 AM
18	Instructions for printing from windows was not easy to find.	Dec 10, 2009 10:48 AM
19	Outstanding!!	Dec 10, 2009 9:48 AM
20	would have preferred that the hotel network stayed on IETF network until Saturday AM	Dec 10, 2009 9:35 AM
21	Sent an email to the Help Desk very late in the week. Did not get a response.	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
22	While I didn't find it to be a big problem, I certainly encountered problems with wireless occassionally.	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 AM

Page 3, Q7. How would you rate the wireless service, the NOC, help desk and terminal room.

23	this survey/form does not present well in firefox	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
24	NOC was invisible for me, hence "neutral". Although, that's precisely what a NOC should be: invisible.	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
25	proposed hotel network did not work for me ... but that was no real problem as it was only 5 min from ANA	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
26	The terminal rooms were very small, without windows and very cold.	Dec 10, 2009 7:17 AM
27	Latency on the Internet connection seemed surprisingly high. Connection from a hotel in Tokyo was much faster so it wasn't just a location issue.	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
28	I can't use printer with people working there, even their's laptop doesn't work as well	Dec 10, 2009 7:04 AM
29	Access was inconsistent. When it worked, it worked well, but often it didn't work at all.	Dec 10, 2009 6:58 AM
30	Could not get wireless network to work with my laptop. Probably this was a problem with my laptop since I didn't hear similar reports from others. However, the NOC and Help Desk were unable to help me solve the problem.	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM
31	Satisfaction: I especilally appreciated the "travel agency".	Dec 10, 2009 6:52 AM

Page 3, Q8. How would you rate WebEx use onsite? The Secretariat supported WebEx sessions were: behave, ippm, kitten, krb-wg, netconf, netmod, opsarea, pim, pwe3, sasl, tls.

1	Some waiting for setting up the system, and some problems during the meeting.	Dec 17, 2009 9:49 AM
2	It didn't work consistently. It needs to work well and reliably.	Dec 14, 2009 11:42 PM
3	WebEx vs jabber vs audio stream... we have to pick one.	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
4	When it worked, it worked reasonably well; it had problems in TLS that made it look, for a while, like it wouldn't be usable at all.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
5	The PSTN bridge was flaky for remote presenters -- would hang up/crash, and audio levels were incorrect. Remote listeners, however, all really liked that the slides were synchronized with the streamed audio.	Dec 11, 2009 12:59 PM
6	Should be available for all groups that request to use it.	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
7	Used it on Friday but could see but could not hear	Dec 10, 2009 7:19 PM
8	Each session requires the attention of a single person also assigned with setting up the audio feeds. Mistakes in session scheduling due to time-zone errors, or failed phone bridge connections interfere with the meeting. Having this ability is highly desired, but this feature needs to be better integrated with the current infrastructure.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
9	You might want to check also Alternatives such as Adobe Connect, Dimdim.com (open source). I (and my internal customers) had a good user experience with Adobe Connect, which I was rolling out in a trial recently.	Dec 10, 2009 2:27 PM
10	Presenters should be at the meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 2:14 PM
11	We still have needs dealing with getting things setup correctly. We still need to work out a method of signaling since the visual queues of who is at the mic does not work.	Dec 10, 2009 1:58 PM
12	We need to get the media streams tied together better.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
13	We still have too many surprises, things not working right. This can waste a lot of time.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
14	Didn't really work for the one session I was in that needed it due to remote participants.	Dec 10, 2009 12:46 PM
15	Webex worked well, but audio had problems for some of the speakers and at some point it dropped.	Dec 10, 2009 12:40 PM
16	Basically the audio did not really work. The remote people could not manage to get a word in edgewise. Even though I was in Hiroshima, I went to an different room so I could join one of the meetings "remotely"	Dec 10, 2009 12:04 PM
17	The call failed in the middle of a crucial discussion in the TLS WG and could not be reestablished.	Dec 10, 2009 11:54 AM
18	It would be nice if chairs who know that they will have remote participants can explicitly request webex sessions. It would also be nice for participants to know,	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM

Page 3, Q8. How would you rate WebEx use onsite? The Secretariat supported WebEx sessions were: behave, ippm, kitten, krb-wg, netconf, netmod, opsarea, pim, pwe3, sasl, tls.

	ahead of time, which sessions will be available via webex.	
19	My browser had problems with WebEx site that is being worked on.	Dec 10, 2009 11:05 AM
20	better interconnection with the audio system in the rooms.	Dec 10, 2009 10:25 AM
21	The voice part did not work in several sessions I attended - so the major goal of connecting the remote participants was not achieved	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 AM
22	Although eventually we did what we set out to do (got 2 participants on the phone line), this required heroic efforts by the secretariat and volunteers.	Dec 10, 2009 9:20 AM
23	Link the audio feed to the Webex audio so that there is a choice to listen to the audio through webex. In one meeting we had a participant who could not get the normal IETF feed through their firewall - we turned on sound on a laptop microphone through Skype, but it was not ideal.	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
24	Quality of audio must improve.	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 AM
25	this survey/form does not present well in firefox	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
26	I was not involved directly in any discussions using webex, but I did occasionally sit in a room where webex was used. With this caveat: the experience would improve, if a) there were better audio quality, b) perhaps add video feed. There are many folks from the video conferencing and telepresence industry in the rooms (I count myself as one of these people as well), and the consensus of those folks seem to be that it would be easy and cheap to improve the experience, with minor equipment upgrades on IETF premises AND major discipline and equipment upgrades in the remote audience.	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
27	Needs to be available for all meetings. Indeed for MPLS we set one up ourselves.	Dec 10, 2009 7:48 AM
28	The volume was too low and no tech support to fix it could be found. This made discussion very hard.	Dec 10, 2009 7:14 AM
29	It is hard to discuss through WebEx. I hope presenter must be there.	Dec 10, 2009 7:02 AM
30	I have only used webex during BEHAVE interims. They fail miserably on OSX. However, I use webex successfully almost daily on OSX in various other occasions.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM

Page 3, Q9. Should WebEx support be expanded to additional meeting rooms for future meetings?

1	I am concerned that, the more of this technology we introduce without thinking carefully about what we want from it (as distinct from just "people want it" or "we can do it") we will further reduce meeting effectiveness and the level of attention that people are paying to the meetings sessions.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
2	It might be useful in case someone really needs to present something and is not present at the meeting. For giving out comments or participating to discussion jabber is better as audio setups are quite hard to get working properly (we had problems even in the IETF end, there would be even more problems if people tried to connect webex from other locations).	Dec 17, 2009 9:49 AM
3	We should move toward having it available for all sessions.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
4	Does WebEx use IETF standards?	Dec 12, 2009 1:00 AM
5	WebEx tends to be difficult on some browser/OS platforms, but is widely enough used that it may be worth it.	Dec 11, 2009 9:30 PM
6	I suspect yes, but will defer to those who used it.	Dec 11, 2009 8:51 PM
7	Many presenters do not verbally say "next slide", and it's easier for remote listeners to join webex and just watch versus having to look at a Jabber session hoping someone in the Jabber session is keeping pace with the presenter changing slides.	Dec 11, 2009 12:59 PM
8	It is far preferable for several reasons to have presenters be physically present. WebEx should really be a last resort for v. important presentations from folks who just can't make it to the meeting for whatever reason. I understand that both making the facility available and ensuring it doesn't get 'overused' is a balancing act, and I confess I'm not sure how that can best be achieved, but I do think it needs careful consideration.	Dec 11, 2009 6:29 AM
9	We can join the WG session easily without any trip.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 PM
10	I have never been able to get WebEx to work.	Dec 10, 2009 9:07 PM
11	As I did not use, I am not sure my answer applies here. I am not very familiar with WebEx but I believe it is a great tool nevertheless and I keep hearing much praise about it.	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
12	Maybe evaluate another system. WebEx during netconf was simply unusable. Whilst appreciating Cisco's offer of using Webex for the IETF, that doesn't mean it suits the format of our meetings.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 PM
13	but ensure voice quality	Dec 10, 2009 7:19 PM
14	I prefer that people come to the meetings. Hiroshima was perhaps a special case because of the distance coupled with the economy.	Dec 10, 2009 6:41 PM
15	Requesting WebEx on-site should be avoided as much as possible as it could result in poor quality. It may introduce extra complexity to the audio system in the venue as it provides a bidirectional audio communication channel.	Dec 10, 2009 5:54 PM
16	I don't believe we benefit much by encouraging remote presenters, except for	Dec 10, 2009 5:16 PM

Page 3, Q9. Should WebEx support be expanded to additional meeting rooms for future meetings?

	truly exceptional circumstances. And for other remote participants, the combination of pre-loaded slides and audio streaming plus jabber feedback works very well, both on site and remotely.	
17	The IETF must improve remote participation.	Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
18	Didn't see many people actually use it. Seems like it's sufficient to post the charts.	Dec 10, 2009 3:38 PM
19	Only if better integration with current infrastructure can be achieved.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
20	Have not tried it	Dec 10, 2009 2:08 PM
21	Allowing remote participants full access to slides, two-way audio, and video is really important.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
22	I know of at least two working groups that needed WebEx for this meeting (ECRIT and GEOPRIV) because the down economy and the Asian venue made it impractical for several key authors to make it. On the other hand, looking more long term, I would like in-person participation to be the norm, because it fosters much better relationships and interactions.	Dec 10, 2009 1:23 PM
23	Am using WebEx in my work.	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
24	The quality of the communication would have to be much better. If it could be made better, it'd definitely be a good thing.	Dec 10, 2009 12:42 PM
25	Face to face attendance should be encourage. Remote participation makes the flow of the meeting more difficult. It is also not clear who is in attendance on Webex.	Dec 10, 2009 12:40 PM
26	Or a similar technology. I would prefer one that is open to and interoperates with multiple vendors and is based on open standards.	Dec 10, 2009 12:38 PM
27	Seemed labor intensive for the small gains over audio/jabber	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
28	Need to make it work before expanding.	Dec 10, 2009 12:04 PM
29	In other standards meetings it has been complicated	Dec 10, 2009 11:59 AM
30	A bit buggy, and needs to have local control for admin activities, especially when cisco support is in a significantly different timezone.	Dec 10, 2009 11:57 AM
31	We need remote voice participation, not WebEx. WebEx is too heavy-weight and therefore failure-prone.	Dec 10, 2009 11:54 AM
32	Only if needed for remote presentations.	Dec 10, 2009 10:48 AM
33	It needs to work much better than it did this time. It also should not become an excuse for participants to stays home when the travel is too far.	Dec 10, 2009 10:25 AM
34	To be hones I don't know what WebEx is	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM
35	if the above problem is solved	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 AM

Page 3, Q9. Should WebEx support be expanded to additional meeting rooms for future meetings?

36	We should be eating our own dog food, i.e. SIP.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
37	Not WebEx. But we need reasonable audio (PSTN) access.	Dec 10, 2009 9:20 AM
38	It provides excuses (some would say: incentives) for people to stay home. The meetings are less productive when people stay home.	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
39	There are many people who need to participate (and who's IETF colleagues need to have participate) but who for one reason or another are unable to attend.	Dec 10, 2009 7:48 AM
40	Depends on if/how the audio issues get resolved	Dec 10, 2009 7:28 AM
41	I don't think the IETF should promote the use of a commercial tool, even made freely available, without first going through a thorough study of all the alternative for such tool. Is the WebEx protocol based on publicly available protocol specifications allowing interoperability ? Would the IETF officialy support a food/beverage company distributing free product samples during the meeting ? or a software company free evaluation version of its software ? If yes, what is the limit ? If the choice of a particular product happens to be made at some point after a clear process, distributing information on how it will be used of asking for feedback on the tool should be done by referring to the tool through a generic name, (such as "remote presentation access", for instance) instead of insisting on the commercial name of the product. Just like the IETF refers to email and web tools without referring to a particular mail or web user-agent software.	Dec 10, 2009 7:07 AM
42	cannot use it	Dec 10, 2009 7:03 AM
43	i didn't realize that we could request webex, and we had way too many critical participants who weren't in hiroshima - wish this had been more obvious when i was scheduling working group sessions!	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
44	Webex is an useful tool.. when it works. Could help in a situation where one has to be present on several WGs at the same time ;)	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
45	I would like to try this service and consider it likely to be useful.	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM

Page 3, Q10. At the Thursday Plenary a transcriptionist was used to project a real time transcription of the speaker's presentations on screens at the front of the room. If you attended was this useful to you?

1	It is useful for people who were not on-site. I would suggest to plan it also for other plenaries or for some of the important sessions.	Jan 29, 2010 9:31 AM
2	Even though I'm British, it was sometimes hard to hear given the poor acoustics in the back of the room	Dec 29, 2009 4:36 AM
3	Because it is easier for me to read english than to listen to it	Dec 21, 2009 12:06 PM
4	Unable to see transcript in real time. By the time I did get to see it, I no longer remember what was said.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
5	Thanx for this question ! It was useful because native english speaking folks (some of them fortunately) cannot imagine all the audience is not in this situation and use either specific expressions foreigners are not familiar with or even worse don't make an effort to pronounce clearly what they have to say at the mike ...	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
6	It was great to be able to read the words when I couldn't quite catch what the speaker said or my mind wandered for a few seconds and I missed a sentence or two. The particular speaker I heard was was a native English speaker and his talk was well organized, his voice and diction were clear, etc. So the transcriptionist wasn't that critical. For many speakers, however, a transcriptionist would be a BIG help.	Dec 14, 2009 11:42 PM
7	Didn't catch all the words, reading was easier (I'm not an English native speaker)	Dec 14, 2009 2:51 AM
8	it was interesting to watch for mistakes ... but for me that was it...	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
9	Not native English attendee.	Dec 12, 2009 8:59 PM
10	sometimes missed or could not understand what the speaker had said	Dec 12, 2009 1:53 PM
11	We could look back and see something we missed. It would be even better if it were available in a jabber room (plenary-transcript, or some such).	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
12	I'm no native english speaker, and some speakers are not quite audible/understabdable by a moderately trained ear ;-)	Dec 12, 2009 7:24 AM
13	My attention wanders.	Dec 12, 2009 12:49 AM
14	- easier to follow speakers comments - allowed to catch missed comments Would be great to have this as for auto input for jabber for all WGs. Clearly an inexpensive solution would be need for this...	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
15	Made it possible to catch up on what had been said after a period of inattention.	Dec 11, 2009 6:29 AM
16	It would have been great if that transcription were available also to participants offsite!	Dec 11, 2009 12:44 AM
17	For non native English speakers, transcription helps getting discussion point. What I unsatisfied was the screen was so small that it was very fast to scroll out.	Dec 10, 2009 10:45 PM
18	to clearly understand what the speaker was saying, if his/her accent was different.	Dec 10, 2009 9:43 PM

Page 3, Q10. At the Thursday Plenary a transcriptionist was used to project a real time transcription of the speaker's presentations on screens at the front of the room. If you attended was this useful to you?

19	should use draft mode. do not page.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 PM
20	Because i am not native English speaker.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
21	sometimes I can't catch all the content by presentation speaking, while reading the screen is OK.	Dec 10, 2009 8:57 PM
22	It was not useful to me, personally, but I strongly support the activity for people whose primary language is not English!	Dec 10, 2009 8:41 PM
23	This has been discussed in the attendees mailing list and I believe it is an awesome tool for non-native speakers. Especially in Asian countries where people have a very different accent and are too polite to ask people to say something again. They are much better at reading	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
24	I hope that every a transcriptionist could every meeting session.	Dec 10, 2009 8:10 PM
25	I'm sure it was useful for the non-native-English speakers.	Dec 10, 2009 6:41 PM
26	Mainly if I lost track of a point, I could read it on the screen a moment later. My reading comprehension is better than my listening comprehension, and the transcription helps immensely.	Dec 10, 2009 6:05 PM
27	Some missing portion can be confirmed with the screen.	Dec 10, 2009 5:54 PM
28	It is helpful for people for whom English is not the native language.	Dec 10, 2009 3:44 PM
29	Very useful when you miss a word due to accent or because you got sidetracked into reading email :-). Also VERY useful to cut-n-paste from to justify your time / cost to PHB.	Dec 10, 2009 3:07 PM
30	It made it easier to understand what was being said.	Dec 10, 2009 2:56 PM
31	In particular for non-native English speakers this helps a lot to understand what has been said during the meetings. Even better would be to offer the transcriptions additionally in a jabber channel, so that users can scroll.	Dec 10, 2009 2:27 PM
32	I personally did not use it but I could hear comments from others that said it was great.	Dec 10, 2009 2:10 PM
33	made it easier to follow the presentation	Dec 10, 2009 2:08 PM
34	It was more entertaining than useful	Dec 10, 2009 1:58 PM
35	As a non native english speaker, it helped me to check my understanding of what was said (some speakers are more difficult to understand than others).	Dec 10, 2009 1:53 PM
36	The disparate English skills are leveled out with the transcription. By the way, the transcriptionist was absolutely incredible!	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
37	Because it's sometimes not easy to understand regional dialect mumbling when you are a non-native speaker. The screen got me re-synchronized quickly whenever that happened.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM

Page 3, Q10. At the Thursday Plenary a transcriptionist was used to project a real time transcription of the speaker's presentations on screens at the front of the room. If you attended was this useful to you?

38	It both helped to be able to understand people with accents different from mine as well as being able to quickly recap the last few seconds of dialog. Yes, most of us have some ADD traits. :-) I would find it more useful if the text was denser--not so many short or blank lines. Then the visible transcript would cover more time. Also, I would love to be able to see this on my computer and be able to scroll back through the transcript as far as I would like.	Dec 10, 2009 12:38 PM
39	there are always occasions that one's brain just skipped a second so you lost the last sentence of what speaker said, the transcript provided backup.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
40	As a non native english speaker transcription helped in understanding every single word.	Dec 10, 2009 12:12 PM
41	I'm an English speaker and I suspect this might be more useful for the ESL folks.	Dec 10, 2009 12:04 PM
42	It is helpful when the sound system fails you or when the speaker does not properly use the microphone. It is also helpful for understanding non-native English speakers. I'd like to say it's helpful when you're doing your email and a keyword or two attracts your attention and you need to read back a sentence or two to get contact, but that would be rude. :-)	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
43	On the occasions that I misheard or missed a word or phrase, the transcriptionist tended to get it correct -- so I was able to check the screen to figure out what I had missed. English is my first language.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM
44	This was one of best innovations at IETF for a few years. It was excellent.	Dec 10, 2009 11:26 AM
45	It was helpful if I had trouble understanding a speaker, or if my attention wandered. It would be nice to be able to get a real-time network feed of it.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
46	Occasionally, when temporarily distracted, one tends to miss part of what the speaker is saying. A quick glance at the transcript suffices to get back on track in those cases	Dec 10, 2009 10:53 AM
47	can read up if speaker was not clear, can backup if wanted	Dec 10, 2009 10:25 AM
48	Aids in understand speakers. Some speakers are talking too fast, others have a really have accent.	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM
49	helps non-native english like me when speaker: - is non-native english speaker - has significant accent - speaks fast (we have a few of them in the IETF...)	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
50	My English and hearing are fairly good so I don't have a big use for a transcriptionist. But I see how it could be tremendously useful for others. I fully support more use of transcriptionists.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
51	It would be helpful if the transcript notes could be posted promptly after the plenary session.	Dec 10, 2009 8:57 AM
52	Especially for non-native speakers it is sometimes hard to understand the person at the mic. This transcript served as a bridge whenever the speaker was hard to follow.	Dec 10, 2009 8:40 AM

Page 3, Q10. At the Thursday Plenary a transcriptionist was used to project a real time transcription of the speaker's presentations on screens at the front of the room. If you attended was this useful to you?

53	where is the "did not attend" radio button?	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
54	Sometimes i can't understand all that is said as English is not my mother language. It was great to correlate what i heard with that i read. It was very helpful.	Dec 10, 2009 7:59 AM
55	I personally did not follow the transcription often, but was generally impressed by the accuracy, speed and general usefulness of the service for a vast amount of participants.	Dec 10, 2009 7:55 AM
56	This has been extensively discussed on ietf@ietf, and I agree with all the pro arguments made there.	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
57	It helps me not miss stuff: if I drop a word, I can go back and re-read it, just like when reading text. I'd like to have the transcription logs available in real-time. And I encourage hiring even better transcriptionists.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
58	because as non-native speaker some of the speakers' pronunciation was difficult to understand and the writing improved very much	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
59	There were a number of speakers who were hard to understand.	Dec 10, 2009 7:14 AM
60	Native English speaker, so not of any use, sorry :)	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
61	Besides deaf and hard of hearing people can understand the meeting. It does support non native speakers as well. And when it is noisy.	Dec 10, 2009 7:03 AM
62	Very usefull for non native english speaker to get 100% of the discussions.	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM
63	Easy to catch parts I missed.	Dec 10, 2009 6:52 AM
64	Sometimes you miss what the speaker says	Dec 10, 2009 6:48 AM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

1	Reliability was only about 80% and it needs to be higher but it was useful	Dec 29, 2009 4:36 AM
2	Take privacy issues seriously.	Dec 21, 2009 12:06 PM
3	We should not try to depend on the technology until it becomes <u>much</u> more reliable. There were many occasions in which the badge readers were apparently not able to read particular badges, resulting in a lot of frustration and lost time.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
4	Would be even more useful if the speaker identification would be repeated to the jabber room too. Also as we now have some of those RFID tags, it would be useful if we could reuse them in the future, and use them for example in the registration (i.e. next time print out the RFID tag number on the card, and participant can write that to registration form when registering, and then it can speed up the registration process, and we do not waste resources by giving out new RFID tag every time).	Dec 17, 2009 9:49 AM
5	The idea is pretty good, but needs to be improve a bit. Sometimes the RFID reader wasn't working at all ... It should (must ?) be used as people enter the session room. This means, there is no valid reason people refuse to identify themselves	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
6	I did not recognize many of the speakers so the speaker ID was very helpful, especially in the cases where I was jabber scribe and needed to let folks know who was speaking. Ideally, the software would announce the speaker's name. A lot of time the scribes don't record who's speaking.	Dec 14, 2009 11:42 PM
7	RFID is great, but usage was difficult: often speakers spend a fair amount of time trying to get their names read by the RFID reader.	Dec 14, 2009 8:23 AM
8	this was great. Now just link this to the jabber room to display who is speaking and we're set.	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
9	Unless someone volunteered to be the microphone "wiper", too often the names remained displayed even with the next speaker at the mic. No idea how to <u>technically</u> improve this.	Dec 13, 2009 7:53 PM
10	Improve the RFID readers - people often seemed confused where exactly to place their RFID ID cards at the reader.	Dec 12, 2009 3:15 PM
11	Speaker ID: It needs to be set up for multiple speakers, showing who's at the front of the room AND who's at the floor mic. Bluesheet: I have no idea how useful it is or isn't; we haven't seen the result. Theoretically, it would be very useful, making us not have to physically scrawl something illegible onto the blue sheets. Digital Signage: I was (and still am) unaware of this.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
12	Get rid of the archaic paper blue sheets, and move to the 'e-Bluesheet' system permanently. There's no point in having 'e-Bluesheet' if we're still required to sign the paper blue sheet.	Dec 12, 2009 1:00 AM
13	Two bluesheets, one RFID reader -- not good. Must pass around reader with bluesheet.	Dec 12, 2009 12:49 AM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

14	Mechanics of swiping and passing e-blue-sheet reader around were difficult. Mechanics of swiping at mike was difficult. RFID can be useful, but should be swipe-free. e-blue-sheet reader(s) should be at door(s) to meeting room.	Dec 11, 2009 9:30 PM
15	This worked better than I expected. I found it quite useful to know who was speaking and who was asking questions at the mic.	Dec 11, 2009 8:51 PM
16	The idea was fine, but it just didn't work well enough - too many errors, lot of ergonomic problems. We should try it again though if people believe they've learned from the problems and think they can do a lot better on the next try.	Dec 11, 2009 2:02 PM
17	Biggest problem with Speaker Identification: MANY, MANY people wasted valuable meeting time struggling to get the RFID to read instead of verbally stating their name. Perhaps this is just a training issue, but I found it annoying (as chair, and as WG participant) to wait literally 5 or 10 seconds for someone to struggle with getting a successful read. It is valuable -- it helps Jabber scribes get names correctly because a lot of people with difficult names do not clearly enunciate their name into the microphone.	Dec 11, 2009 12:59 PM
18	Speaker identification was useful, but quite disruptive in this incarnation. I would like to see a more robust system in place for future use. The e-Blusheet application was useful, and would make a good replacement for the legacy dead-tree-based system.	Dec 11, 2009 6:29 AM
19	It seemed to work for others, but it never worked for me. It needs to be more reliable.	Dec 11, 2009 12:44 AM
20	eBlusheet is easier to pass around and faster than normal bluesheets... It is useful, provided that it can be used in place of the legacy bluesheets, not in addition (leaving choice to everyone to use one or the other).	Dec 10, 2009 11:24 PM
21	The digital Bluesheet could be set up in the back of the room so as attendees walk in they can swipe their tag rather than wait for the sheet to come around.	Dec 10, 2009 10:25 PM
22	Speaker Identification was useful when it worked. The e-Blusheet Application was not useful because we still had to complete paper Bluesheets *as well*.	Dec 10, 2009 9:07 PM
23	The e-Blusheet may be better placed at or near the door, rather than passed around the room. A few problems with the Speaker Identification system were discussed on the mailing list and should be addressed.	Dec 10, 2009 8:41 PM
24	I would really love to see this carried on as an additional service. I don't think people are ready to switch from bluesheet to e-blusheet now, but there is a clear interest at least for newcomers to discover who is who when speaking at the microphone.	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
25	I think all of above especially Speaker Identification Application is useful.	Dec 10, 2009 8:10 PM
26	The e-Blusheet was not useful as there was still a paper bluesheet to sign. Get the electronic version working reliably and make it the only system and it will be useful.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 PM
27	We want e-Blusheets! If not RFID, then perhaps via scanning the bar codes on	Dec 10, 2009 6:41 PM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

the back of our badges.

28	Loved the speaker-ID app. Except for the times it did not work, where it was a hassle.	Dec 10, 2009 6:05 PM
29	If it could be made 99% reliable, with the reader at the door, we could automate the blue sheets. I have no sympathy with people who refuse to sign the blue sheets; participating an an IETF session is a public action and must be logged.	Dec 10, 2009 5:16 PM
30	The e-bluesheet could be installed at the room entrance.	Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
31	Very useful, esp. for speaker ID at the front of the room. Confusing to have this AND bluesheets - useful to allow users to use either one at their choice, or to just shift to digital bluesheets.	Dec 10, 2009 3:38 PM
32	As often as not, there were problems with the blue sheet version for me.	Dec 10, 2009 3:22 PM
33	Integration with Jabber sessions might also help remote participants.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
34	RFID was great -- yes, some folks have privacy concerns, they can just ignore it.	Dec 10, 2009 3:07 PM
35	Put the e-Bluesheet by the door so that I can swipe-in as I enter the room. Passing the device around is prone to errors and "missing" it. I know I missed it at least once.	Dec 10, 2009 2:56 PM
36	RFID was *marginally* useful to identify speakers. Seems like 1/2 the time it didn't actually work. It needs to be much more reliable to be truly useful. Loved it for a blue sheet replacement. Great for those of us with shoddy penmanship!	Dec 10, 2009 2:26 PM
37	The speaker id at the microphone did not always work. the e-bluesheet is useful only as a replacement, at this meeting you had to do both	Dec 10, 2009 2:08 PM
38	The speaker id was extremely useful in those sessions that I took notes. People I did not know had names in the notes for minutes. I would have chosen neutral on the e-Bluesheet application if available. I did not see any use, but nether id I think it was not useful.	Dec 10, 2009 1:58 PM
39	Make it easier to "apply" the RFID tag to the microphone pad.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
40	The electronic bluesheets need to be acceptable as the "real" sign-in.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
41	Another participant and I also did some security evaluation on the RFID system. In general, we found it to be pretty good, with servers not offering extraneous services and the readers and projection laptops on a segregated network. However, there was a major vulnerability in the fact that the reader platform (iPod Touch) had a completely open USB interface -- a more prepared attacker could have re-flashed the reader and used it as a platform to obtain greater control of the system. If an RFID system is implemented in the future, the system used at IETF 76 would be a good model, as long as the physical security on the readers was better.	Dec 10, 2009 1:23 PM
42	This was buggy - hopefully will improve in the future. By the way, it's hard to know how well the RFID Bluesheet worked as I have seen no comparison with the paper bluesheets.	Dec 10, 2009 1:22 PM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

43	Make it more reliable and get rid of the paper signups. Have a swipe reader at the entry as people enter, that is quick and efficient (no long lines). This will make it more reliable and less intrusive than it is right now to register for the meeting during an arbitrary middle point of the meeting. Also, sometimes the signup sheets don't reliably get to every part of the meeting room and it misses people, and sometimes people walk in later and they are missed.	Dec 10, 2009 1:18 PM
44	make RFID the way to identify speaker and sign bluesheet. Self speaker identification and paper blue sheet should be optional, for those who forgot RFID or don't want to participate. RFID speaker identification should read name aloud with voice synthesis on the audio stream for remote attendants.	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
45	Most speakers held the RFID card to the little screen and not to the reader. This is an obvious usability problem that should not be too hard to solve.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
46	The e-Bluesheet was not useful because you had to do the paper bluesheet and the e-Bluesheet.	Dec 10, 2009 12:45 PM
47	Maybe the speaker identification app could announce the speaker for the audio-only participants?	Dec 10, 2009 12:38 PM
48	The double bluesheet procedure was not well explained, I think that there will be major dis-connects in the two data sets for any given meeting	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
49	I don't know how to evaluate the "e-Bluesheet Application" since the physical blue sheet was still required. I don't remember the Digital Signage application at all. Use of the RFID for entrance into the Peace Memorial Museum was great!!!	Dec 10, 2009 12:27 PM
50	Digital signage was fine, just not important. Bluesheet would have been much better if it were stationed at the door and you could use it as you entered the room.	Dec 10, 2009 12:21 PM
51	Since the e-Bluesheet did not replace the paper Bluesheet, I gained no benefit from it.	Dec 10, 2009 12:16 PM
52	I did participate in the e-bluesheet use, but I cannot tell if it was useful.	Dec 10, 2009 12:13 PM
53	Displaying the name when speaking stumbled here and there, so the details need to be ironed out. It would be very helpful to get the speaker information inserted in to the Jabber room at the same time.	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
54	Both the speaker ID system and the e-Bluesheet showed significant promise. The Speaker ID implementation, however, was rife with flaws and idiosyncrasies. It has more than a few bugs to be worked out: as often as not, it would refuse to register a speaker's badge. Attempts to clear the name with a "wipe" badge were only very occasionally successful. The packaging of the badge readers did not indicate where the badge was to be placed, leading most speakers to ineffectually wave it at the iPod screen. The badges were handed out in tandem with an "RFID blocking" badge, which many participants diligently left right next to their RFID card all week. Most people didn't know or care about the blocker cards, and they caused no end of problems -- these should have been made available separately, and on request. I have no idea what you mean by "Digital Signage Application."	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

55	Sometimes it did not work very well. The sensitivity seemed to be a little low.	Dec 10, 2009 11:26 AM
56	The E-bluesheet devices kept running out of power in the afternoons.	Dec 10, 2009 11:05 AM
57	The rfid experiment showed potential, but there were some issues with the reader location being wrong.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
58	Continue RFID experiment in future meetings to eliminate remaining quirks.	Dec 10, 2009 10:53 AM
59	I like the speaker identification tool A LOT. This will help solve a longstanding challenge in the IETF of matching names from list activity with speakers. The e-bluesheet would have been a mildly useful convenience if it was a substitute for the written bluesheet. As it was, I saw no added value.	Dec 10, 2009 10:47 AM
60	There was some complaint that the technical issues with the RFID badges was a bad thing, but the fact that we were able to clearly identify every speaker, regardless of accent or complexity of name or degree of mumbling, saved a lot more time than the technical difficulties consumed.	Dec 10, 2009 10:19 AM
61	Ignore whiners like Randy	Dec 10, 2009 10:10 AM
62	It had too many hick-ups to come to a final conclusion about how useful it is	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM
63	I am not sure what the Digital Signage Application refers to.	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 AM
64	An "opt in" program where the Chairs say, "You must swipe your card" is not much of an opt-in program.	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
65	interesting experiment. would be useful if it worked better and more seamlessly. not sure what to suggest to improve, but it was a bit annoying sometimes.	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
66	Enforce everybody using e-Bluesheet, as sometimes it never reached me.	Dec 10, 2009 9:49 AM
67	If it's done again, I would participate. The e-Bluesheet is only useful if it replaces the need to manually sign the traditional bluesheet.	Dec 10, 2009 9:48 AM
68	Since the equipment did not work completely, not all the speaker's were identified - would have been very helpful to get this aspect working	Dec 10, 2009 9:37 AM
69	The "who is at the mic" is sooo useful that it should become mandatory. This may require: - better readers - no option for blocking or not registering RFID	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
70	The speaker identification needs to work smoother with less issues.	Dec 10, 2009 8:35 AM
71	Speaker identification should be automatized in future meetings.	Dec 10, 2009 8:28 AM
72	guessing as to placement of the "yes" radio buttons - this survey/form is not parsable under firefox.	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
73	Make it mandatory. Replace "blue sheets".	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
74	Please please please can we have this in future. It could be RFID, or barcode based, but it's so much better for the note takers.	Dec 10, 2009 7:48 AM

Page 3, Q11. There was an RFID experiment at IETF 76 involving several applications.

75	If you're going to do this again, please provide accurate details about the system in advance. The details provided here were inaccurate.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
76	The E-Bluesheet application would have been useful if it had replaced the paper version.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 AM
77	I cannot tell if the e-Bluesheet application is useful, I am not the one who use the data ;-p	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 AM
78	to combine e-bluesheet with traditional ones or have a e-station at room entrance to register if you like	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
79	Sometimes, the RFID reader did not work. This should be improved.	Dec 10, 2009 7:17 AM
80	e-Bluesheet didn't always work flawlessly though.	Dec 10, 2009 7:16 AM
81	Reliability of the readers on the microphones seemed poor, took people a long time to work out how to use it. Working better towards the end, but still not great. It's a good idea, so don't give up on it - just needs improvement.	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
82	All would have been better without the bugs, but this is a very interesting initiative that should be further explored and used in next meetings.	Dec 10, 2009 7:07 AM
83	always use it.	Dec 10, 2009 7:03 AM
84	please do not project affiliations. we beat new participants over the head with "we're all individuals", and saying "fred from cisco" just flies in the face of IETF culture as I understand it.	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
85	it's a good idea. e-bluesheet was no use, but only because you still had to sign physically! i've no idea what the 3rd question means	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
86	excellent idea.. just needs some more tuning to get it working a bit better.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
87	It didn't work consistently enough. When it worked, it was quite nice. e-Bluesheet isn't useful, if you still have to do the paper one.	Dec 10, 2009 6:58 AM

Page 3, Q12. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

1	Lunch food should be improved. It's not always easy in a foreign country to find out a place (cheap if possible) where you can have a lunch in a short period of time (regarding the schedule of the meeting)	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
2	Drinks were *very* expensive in the bar on the 22nd floor.	Dec 14, 2009 11:40 AM
3	it felt cramped...	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
4	Internet service in room the best ever! Food and beverage (e.g. breakfast) in hotel restaurant was worlds better and healthier than the usual IETF breakfast buffet with predominantly sweets.	Dec 12, 2009 3:15 PM
5	Floor mics in the Acacia rooms were too close together to be useful. There should just have been one floor mic there. In general, one floor mic per aisle, plus a wireless travelling mic, is probably the best setup. The travelling mic is useful for very full rooms, for people who are channeling from jabber, and for situations where there's an exchange going among two or three participants from the floor.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
6	Coffee wasn't always available during the 'beverage breaks'	Dec 12, 2009 1:00 AM
7	The bento boxes provided by the hotel were *fantastic*. Priced right, proper amount of food, tasted good, and very very fast. There was never a line to get a bento box -- which is a considerable improvement from other venues with sandwiches that often have a 15-minute line of people paying, making change, and etc. The cold drinks (e.g., coca cola) were cold, which is better than a lot of other venues. And of course the food in Hiroshima's restaurants was awesome. I would even say better food than Montreal, maybe?	Dec 11, 2009 12:59 PM
8	The meeting facility provided only little space for 'private' discussion / seatings + tables to be used for individual work.	Dec 11, 2009 6:30 AM
9	Meeting Rooms seems little bit small.	Dec 11, 2009 1:50 AM
10	smart grid BOF was in a room that was way too small , and too hot. in the future, BOFs on leadership thecnologies need to be in very large rooms.	Dec 10, 2009 11:07 PM
11	needed much coffee	Dec 10, 2009 9:56 PM
12	it was a small coffee.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 PM
13	The lobby was small and crowded.	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 PM
14	In-room Internet was excellent during the meeting, and terrible afterwards.	Dec 10, 2009 8:41 PM
15	The internet service was good whilst WIDE were providing it. The hotel's own systems (I was in the ANA prior to the meeting and the Sunroute subsequent to it) would have been poor if they'd been the only in-room provision during the meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 PM
16	the room was too small.....	Dec 10, 2009 7:19 PM
17	Limited on-site food options (2 entrees). Would have been useful to have 3 or 4	Dec 10, 2009 3:38 PM

Page 3, Q12. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

	options, esp.to vary over different days.	
18	Meeting rooms were packed too densely... Adding more chairs doesn't help when the chairs are already too close together. Providing more chair and row spacing might result in a higher percentage of the chairs being used.	Dec 10, 2009 3:22 PM
19	Hotel internet sucked until IETF replaced it, then better :-P	Dec 10, 2009 3:07 PM
20	I had some ports blocked in my hotel room when I arrived (notably Kerberos!). The NOC was able to get the hotel to open them up after a couple days.	Dec 10, 2009 2:56 PM
21	cookies and other snack food was very poor.	Dec 10, 2009 2:52 PM
22	There was no place to sit outside the meeting rooms	Dec 10, 2009 2:08 PM
23	1. When I got back to my hotel room, my Internet service was not working. This turned out to be a major inconvenience since I really needed access at that time. The food service was variable -- sometimes very good, sometimes not good. And the box lunches seemed pretty expensive.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
24	In-room Internet was noticeably worse when the IETF NOC gave up control. Also, enjoyed the free sodas over the lunch break.	Dec 10, 2009 1:23 PM
25	Too small common areas. It was overcrowded and uncomfortable in the common areas.	Dec 10, 2009 1:18 PM
26	Food not so great for vegetarians. A general Japan problem!	Dec 10, 2009 12:45 PM
27	The lunches were really horrible. Fruit was good during the break time but other snacks went too fast.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
28	the connectivity in the room was poor.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
29	box lunches were limited, a japanese option would have been nice	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
30	Meeting rooms were ok. Hallway space was much too small and had almost no seating.	Dec 10, 2009 12:27 PM
31	Missing wifi access in my room @ANA	Dec 10, 2009 12:26 PM
32	Some rooms were cramped, with lots of people sitting on floor. There should be more chairs in the corridor and more desk space (sitting with laptop on one's lap the whole week is not ideal and less productive).	Dec 10, 2009 12:22 PM
33	Box lunches were a good alternative. Even overflow hotels had IPv6!	Dec 10, 2009 12:16 PM
34	Food/Drink tended to run out, but near-constant availability of coffee/tea outside of break times was appreciated. Venue was a bit small for us, especially the common areas during breaks. Staff was friendly and helpful.	Dec 10, 2009 11:57 AM
35	Although the language difference stood out here and there, the staff were always ready and able to figure it out.	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM

Page 3, Q12. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

36	Explained before	Dec 10, 2009 11:00 AM
37	The food and beverage in the ANA itself was expensive and fair at best. OTOH, there was a lot of inexpensive and far better food nearby if one had time to leave the hotel. My in-room network was great until the IETF network shutdown, after which it was pretty bad.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
38	Some of the meeting rooms were too small.	Dec 10, 2009 10:47 AM
39	The IETD network was great, the hotel network was abismal	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM
40	Chairs were harder than normal. Oh well.	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 AM
41	At ANA Crowne Plaza the internet connection every night at midnight was off in the bedrooms.	Dec 10, 2009 9:59 AM
42	Internet service was great while it was provided by the IETF. The last night I stayed with the hotels own network, it broke down completely.	Dec 10, 2009 9:50 AM
43	the chairs were too short and too hard to be sitting on for 2-3 hour long meetings	Dec 10, 2009 9:35 AM
44	Plenty of snacks, but Western sugar-snack requirements not met.	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
45	I was often lacking a place to put a laptop on (besides the lap).	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 AM
46	Internet in room was good during the actual meeting. Afterwards it was unsatisfactory, especially friday evening.	Dec 10, 2009 8:35 AM
47	coffee was limited during breaks and no snacks.	Dec 10, 2009 8:32 AM
48	more guessing... generally hit the very high quality of a japanese run meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
49	I have only one complaint. My room was smoking room and it smelled horribly. And I could change a room because hotel was full.	Dec 10, 2009 8:15 AM
50	While I do enjoy Japanese food, the single lunch box I had was gajjin quality---at best. (I didn't miss IETF breakfast, nor US-style cookies).	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
51	vegetarian options limited	Dec 10, 2009 7:43 AM
52	Great power distribution. Not enough seating in the meeting rooms nor in the hallways. Cookies weren't very tasty.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
53	Recently, There are no breakfast.	Dec 10, 2009 7:32 AM
54	the venue was a little small (the concourse was quickly saturated during breaks)	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 AM
55	there was only temorary and very low WLAN coverage the overflow hotel	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
56	There was only a short UTP cable provided in the room, and no wireless. I had to buy and hook up my own base station.	Dec 10, 2009 7:10 AM
57	Please flog hotels for using transparent SMTP proxies - they can't authenticate	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM

Page 3, Q12. The IAOC uses survey results in its evaluation of the meeting venue's performance. How would you rate the following?

with my mail server because they don't have my credentials, but that doesn't stop them from trying! this was mostly fine during the IETF meeting itself, but before/after the meeting was grim...

58	the Sunshine hotel was quite grotty	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
59	I was not in the main meeting hotel.. and the web-based price for the same hotel was better than IETF price.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
60	not enough space to have group discussions/editing sessions	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM
61	May be I escaped to late from the WG, but I never got any food.	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM
62	Insufficient access to drinking water no IETF cookies	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM
63	Hallways were a bit crowded. Other than that, this venue was great! The helpful attitude of the hosts and hotel staff was amazing!	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM
64	Hiroshima venue is too crowded.	Dec 10, 2009 6:54 AM

Page 3, Q13. How would you rate the following?

1	Did not seem to be enough power strips. A couple of times I entered a meeting room and it took a few minutes to find a seat near one.	Dec 14, 2009 11:40 AM
2	au contraire: power distribution was best for a long long time; many outlets and always close to a seat; well done	Dec 13, 2009 7:53 PM
3	IETF registration was very good, as usual. Hotel registration was a bit convoluted, with many successive steps and english language approximate at best	Dec 12, 2009 7:24 AM
4	Secretariat has been booking hotel rates that are higher than normal rates available via the internet. Would prefer locations that offer convenient/easy air travel more than 'interesting' sightseeing.	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
5	This was the second time I got a visa to travel to Japan. First time the visa was obtained for the Yokohama IETF and at a different consulate. Whether the difference is in time or consulate, but this time it was a distinct disorganized pain. 1. Letter was sent to the consulate, despite my instructions to send it to me 2. Consulate had no ability to locate the invitation letter 3. Consulate officers were nitpicking about really minor details of the employer's letter -- we're talking an extra trip to the consulate because of an arguable punctuation error that the admin assistant who drafted the letter committed Something should be done to handle the invitations better. Make them actually come to the people who need them and make them important-enough-looking that it discourages low-level consular officers from pointless nitpicking.	Dec 11, 2009 4:29 AM
6	needed more power outlet	Dec 10, 2009 9:56 PM
7	screen sizes were often too small for the room sizes	Dec 10, 2009 5:22 PM
8	It was not clear how the browser was to be cleared for local registration. With the growing threat of BootKits, using an OS that only boots using UEFI and signed kernel components should be considered.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
9	serious lack of powercords during meetings	Dec 10, 2009 12:22 PM
10	The power strip were not sufficient	Dec 10, 2009 12:12 PM
11	Japan is generally a good country to have these events in, we get good local support, although transport outside Tokyo can be a bit inconvenient, I'd still go back, even if the venue were Hokkaido.	Dec 10, 2009 11:57 AM
12	There were a couple stumbles with the A/V equipment in two different rooms. This is unusual. It was worse because finding someone to get it fixed became problematic.	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
13	While the IETF registration was par for the course, the overflow hotel handling was HORRIBLE. The number of steps required to book a hotel were absolutely insane. The web pages themselves were completely inscrutable and very difficult to use. The ability to handle exceptions (e.g., "one person through Wednesday, two for the remainder of the week") were nonexistent. The actual "receipt" provided for payment was not itemized, and no itemized receipt was available (through either JTB or the hotel) -- this is so far outside the norm that it has led to	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM

Page 3, Q13. How would you rate the following?

an epic, month-long battle with my accounting department to get the cost of the hotel reimbursed. I'm still out of pocket for the cost of the hotel, and may have to personally eat the cost. I understand that this was likely an attempt to make the booking of Japanese hotels more accessible to westerners. This approach did not work -- I would consider it an abject failure. Please figure out a different approach for Beijing.

14	You need to put a placard at each WG chair desk with recommended resolution settings for the projectors. Each WG chair and presenter would spend 5 minutes monkeying with settings, and usually end up at something like 800x600 when the projector supported much better, and this would make their slides look wrong (diagrams not fitting on page, etc)	Dec 10, 2009 9:35 AM
15	Secretarian nor registration desk didn't have a clue about the arrangements made for the receipts of the overflow hotels, and instead spread false information.	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 AM
16	registration was... unexpected. the use of one-day passes was not clearly defined as limited to a single day. so attendance at my two working groups cost me over a thousand dollars in registration fees and late penalties. Not a good value for money - esp when I am paying for this myself.	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
17	I did not need Visa processing because I was already in Japan before the meeting began	Dec 10, 2009 8:11 AM
18	The round-ear microphones used in Stockholm were better than the lapel mikes here.	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
19	(not *that* many power strips)	Dec 10, 2009 7:07 AM
20	there is never enough powerstrips but you cannot really help it ;)	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
21	Still not enough power strips, especially in the back of the rooms.	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM

Page 3, Q14. How would you rate the meeting Program Book? <http://www.ietf.org/meeting/76/meeting-packet.pdf>

1	Overkill. While these increasingly-glossy books are very nice, I think resources could be better spent in other ways.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
2	Many regular attendees probably don't need the meeting packet. I never use the printed agenda, nor any of the other printed materials. Perhaps if we could decline that at pre-registration, fewer of them can be printed.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
3	iphone downloadable schedule? Better interactive meeting scheduler?	Dec 12, 2009 12:49 AM
4	mostly superfluous, probably cost a lot of money	Dec 11, 2009 12:44 AM
5	Updated info (e.g. new schedule) is important. Some modification procedures will be needed.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
6	Printed agendas become out of date and unreliable. Online agendas formatted for mobile devices (or made available as calendars) and kept up to date. The map and general information as printed out are useful.	Dec 10, 2009 8:41 PM
7	Stop killing trees for this.	Dec 10, 2009 5:18 PM
8	I never heard of it :(Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
9	Great compilation of information — I just had no idea it existed. Will look for it next time.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
10	Too much useless cruft, but if it makes the hosts/sponsors happy, then ok, keep taking their money.	Dec 10, 2009 12:46 PM
11	I wonder if we can save some money (and save some trees too) by cutting off the program book printing entirely. This is an Internet meeting, everything is on the web.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
12	Didn't know about it until this very moment. Would have used. Looked useful. A prominent pointer sent with the registration confirmation might be helpful.	Dec 10, 2009 12:21 PM
13	I didn't use the book at the event, but it looks very nice.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM
14	Don't give these out. They're just garbage that people throw in the bin. I don't mean that the content is garbage, just that nobody has time to read them during the meeting, and they are extra weight to carry around, so they get binned. The most you should hand to people when they arrive is the compact agenda, and even that should be voluntary - I never remember to bring it with me, and always wind up either consulting the online agenda, or consulting the agenda posted on the board outside the meeting rooms, which is more accurate anyway. All of the information in the information packet should just go online, in a place where it's dead easy to access using a networked PDA like an iPhone or the like.	Dec 10, 2009 10:19 AM
15	Did this get posted to the 76attendees list? Or the WG chair list? It contains a lot of useful info, but I didn't know about it until this survey. It would have been especially helpful to get this before leaving for the meeting, so maps and room layouts could be printed in advance while at home.	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 AM
16	Information was fine. Don't spend money on extra paper. The pocket agenda is all that needs to be printed.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 AM

Page 3, Q14. How would you rate the meeting Program Book? <http://www.ietf.org/meeting/76/meeting-packet.pdf>

17	These large books are a terrible waste of resources and money. Most/all of this information can be on line. The only benefit seems to be for sponsors (whom we should nurture), but surely there are other ways we can give them space.	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
18	I use the mini-schedule only.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
19	more Japanese idioms and perhaps a culture introduction	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
20	for the environment I prefer the small agenda	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM

Page 3, Q15. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 76all@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 76Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

1	All of these lists are getting very hard to keep track of. If nothing else, the main meetings/ agenda page should show their addresses and intended purposes. As usual, the S/N ratio on nnAttendees is terrible. It may be getting worse.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
2	Perhaps we need to create even more separate lists, for example own list for those soccer players...	Dec 17, 2009 9:49 AM
3	I was unaware of the first two...	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
4	The 76Attendees list is just too noisy to be useful. It's not practical to do this, but to make it more useful we'd really need multiple lists, such as 76ThingsToDo, 76LocalIssues, 76WhinyGripes, and so on. (Yes, this is meant to be silly, but with a large chunk of truth....)	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
5	Way too much spam about irrelevant topics on 76attendees. Any way to moderate the list yet still allow people to post, e.g., once/day?	Dec 12, 2009 12:49 AM
6	I was unhappy about a lot of noise on 76Attendees list, but perhaps unavoidable because of its nature. Will not sign up to this list from next time.	Dec 11, 2009 3:46 AM
7	A search engine for the archives would be convenient.	Dec 10, 2009 11:24 PM
8	"Attendees" list was too noisy this time. Some sub-categorization may be needed.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
9	too much noise on -attendees	Dec 10, 2009 5:22 PM
10	I wish there was a good way to make the attendees list a little bit less verbose...	Dec 10, 2009 3:22 PM
11	the concept makes sense.	Dec 10, 2009 2:27 PM
12	There is too many spam-like email in the 76Attendees list. people should use it more appropriately.	Dec 10, 2009 1:09 PM
13	Too much crap on attendees. Hard to see how to fix that.	Dec 10, 2009 12:46 PM
14	suggest separate chatter list, so that we can filter this (e.g., 500 messages about whether WiFi works or how to get from Tokyo to Hiroshima can then be just for those social butterflies with much time on their hands. More important messages are then not lost in the middle of this chatter.	Dec 10, 2009 12:22 PM
15	I find the logistical discussions that become a focus on the attendees list early in the meeting to be very helpful.	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
16	As usual, the attendees list has a very low signal to noise ratio. But the signal that does exist is usually useful enough to warrant wading through the useless bits.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM
17	The 76 Attendees is too chatty. More and more IETF visitors seem to think it is easier to send mail then to read the available information on the meeting web site.	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM

Page 3, Q15. Multiple email lists and aliases were used to get feedback and communicate to attendees. These include NOC@ietf.org to report network issues, mtd@ietf.org to report non-network meeting problems and provide feedback, 76all@ietf.org for one way admin info and the 76Attendees@ietf.org list to share...

18	There was a great deal of helpful information and answers to questions on the attendees' list, particularly with respect to travel and living in Japan. It would be nice if the list weren't flooded with broadcast emails for things affecting limited communities of interest (e.g., soccer), or 'voting' emails without additional content (e.g., endless '+1' responses to previous email). The overall volume on the list seems to be increasing much faster than the useful content - but still there is a lot of very useful content.	Dec 10, 2009 9:48 AM
19	separate email list for RFID experiment (or any other experiment being run during IETF)	Dec 10, 2009 9:35 AM
20	I sent a message to noc@ietf.org and did not get an answer. The problem it was referring to did not get fixed.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
21	Too much noise on the attendees list. A moderator would have been helpful - but I don't really expect that to happen.	Dec 10, 2009 9:20 AM
22	guessing again as to radio button placement.	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
23	76All had too little traffic to really make a difference. The SNR on xxAttendees is way too high (not your fault, of course), but sometimes you find jewels in all that garbage... Perhaps there would be a value in a moderated/read-only "Ask-your-host" list, where all these questions about restaurants/knife/kimono/soccer-venue/... could be directed to?	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
24	too much unnecessary whining on those lists.. people should grow up a bit.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
25	Disable the meeting related mailing lists shortly (1 week?) after the meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 6:57 AM

Page 3, Q16. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

1	I think it is time for an RTP/RTSP/RTCP tutorial	Dec 29, 2009 4:36 AM
2	Would have liked to attend the DNS for programmers and MIB tutorials, but they conflicted with another meeting. If this is run at the next IETF, I will try to attend.	Dec 14, 2009 11:40 AM
3	SIP, MPLS, Mail, ...	Dec 14, 2009 12:14 AM
4	no presentation uploaded for MIB tutorial and the presenter was arrogant enough to upload that :)	Dec 13, 2009 8:52 PM
5	The DNS session was actually not for programmers but for protocol designers. Another session *really* for programmers would be nice, IMHO -- although this one covered a lot of useful topics already.	Dec 10, 2009 11:24 PM
6	too loose.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 PM
7	I did not attend newcomers session but I heard good word of mouth about it.	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
8	Would love to hear from operators about how PoPs and NAPs are run and managed.	Dec 10, 2009 6:05 PM
9	The title of the class was misunderstanding. Please choose a real name and provide a brief description.	Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
10	Deal more with DNSSEC and PMTU issues.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
11	The DNS for programmers tutorial needs to be renamed. It wasn't a programmer's view, but a protocol designer's view.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
12	how to bring across cross-wg or cross-domain topics (currently, there is lack of coordination, so it seems, between different wg that depend on one another -- or, maybe, I just do not know which route to walk for this with IETF). An example would be 6lowapp, 6lowpan, roll, and smart grid, where viable protocols should exploit some commonalities to keep implementation cost down. Question is how to get various wgs in one room and coordinate things.	Dec 10, 2009 12:22 PM
13	IETF IPR Policy: What the "Note Well" statements mean to you.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM
14	My itinerary made it impossible to attend any tutorials this time, but by all means keep them on the meeting agenda. (Thank you, EDU Team!)	Dec 10, 2009 10:53 AM
15	I would have gone to the Japanese class if I'd known about it. :(Dec 10, 2009 10:19 AM
16	Rearrange timing so first-timer reception doesn't conflict with tutorials. First-time presenter tutorial Chair tutorial - how to support remote participation	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 AM
17	IPv6 for programmers DNSSEC for sysadmin of zones	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
18	The DNS for Programmers Tutorial should be a recurring feature.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
19	1. How to present at the IETF 2. The IETF for non-native speakers	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
20	my sunday meetings were not arranged by the EDU-team	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM

Page 3, Q16. The EDU Team arranged for the following classes during the meeting. Were these classes useful to you?

21	If we were using webex or more sophisticated conferencing tools in the future, it would be helpful if there were a tutorial on how to use these tools efficiently. (That's not UI-explanations, but practical issues of human behavior. For example, how to deal with delay in audio sessions).	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
22	security, mobility, ...	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
23	WG chairs continuing ed on wednesday WAS useful (but isn't on the survey :-)	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
24	more very detailed and narrow scoped presentations.. for example how IPv6 ND really works in very picky detail.. or more programming related presentations.. etc	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
25	I expected DNS for programmers, not generic DNS stuff...	Dec 10, 2009 6:50 AM

Page 3, Q17. How do you rate the Plenaries?

1	I'm frankly quite bored of the long Klensin's rants at each plenary.	Dec 21, 2009 12:06 PM
2	The Wednesday plenary needs rethinking. Very poor information level per hour spent. I can't comment on the Thursday plenary: _I_ was pretty happy with it from the front of the room, but that doesn't count.	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
3	I'd strongly suggest to have shorter plenaries (1 hour or so). The open mike(s) are really boring. The most interesting parts are the contributions like those about IDNs, and technical history and perspective.	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
4	The memorial award ceremony for Itojun was very touching and a lovely idea. Also, thanking the hosts and their staff was well done.	Dec 14, 2009 11:42 PM
5	suggestions for air time regulation should be evaluated and eventually followed	Dec 13, 2009 7:53 PM
6	The technical plenary talk this time was exceptionally good, as was the one in Stockholm. I hope that two in a row means we can keep this quality going.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
7	Technical plenary was too long to explain its main points -- many people missed the main points!!	Dec 11, 2009 12:59 PM
8	Way too much time explaining the problem. Most probably understood the encoding problem in the 1st 5 minutes. The signal got lost in the noise...	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
9	Something mannerism was felt.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
10	Itojun award ceremony was particularly heart moving	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
11	Queue management still needs to be improved on. I like the idea of a single queue for new questions and other queues for follow-ups, but that needs to be policed and the lines at the microphones monitored closely.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 PM
12	(i) Setup a token bucket for access to the audience mic, and (ii) display a clock that displays starting at 2 minutes (or some fixed time), time left until mic must be yielded.	Dec 10, 2009 6:05 PM
13	Plenary sessions should also be audiocasted.	Dec 10, 2009 5:54 PM
14	One plenary is enough	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
15	Character set issues? Again? Really? I mean... really?	Dec 10, 2009 12:45 PM
16	Quick presentations have been suggested. I agree. 5 minute topics. Not sure if either plenary would be the ideal time. Maybe do these during the open area meetings? Or a mix, depending on general applicability.	Dec 10, 2009 12:38 PM
17	Didn't we hear the internationalized domain names last year? Has anything changed?	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
18	Remove the "admin" task reporting from IAB to non-technical session (e.g. RFC ed.)	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
19	The IAB plenary topic was interesting, but it run twice as long as needed to make the point.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM

Page 3, Q17. How do you rate the Plenaries?

20	limit time at mike, limit speakers to one comment and then a special line (only speak again after all others get one chance at mike)	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
21	suggest interchanging technical and admin plenaries, where technical is first.	Dec 10, 2009 12:22 PM
22	Should have time limited people speeches.	Dec 10, 2009 12:04 PM
23	I normally attend the plenaries, but had to go off to a customer visit on Wed and Thu.	Dec 10, 2009 11:38 AM
24	I wish we would not allocate time to the various administrative presentations. All this info could easily be available online for people who are interested.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
25	I'm usually too burnt to attend plenaries by the time they come around.	Dec 10, 2009 10:19 AM
26	this was by far the most BORING technical plenary I have ever attended. That presentation was far too long to convey the material presented, and the discussion of the material was not managed well. Way too many people talking for the pleasure of hearing themselves talk. After as good as the tech plenary was in Stockholm, this was a major disappointment.	Dec 10, 2009 9:35 AM
27	The technical plenary talk would have been suitable for a tutorial. It did not contain new information or new direction from the IAB.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
28	Both plenary possibly to be merged.	Dec 10, 2009 8:59 AM
29	Figure out how we can avoid the room to become totally blind except for one question.	Dec 10, 2009 8:35 AM
30	Did not attend - had to leave tuesday afternoon	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
31	Can we PLEASE stop the procession of dignitaries? At least 5 minutes in each plenary are consumed by seat arrangements on the stage... Also, the suggestions surrounding limitations of mike time make sense to me. Perhaps a limitation of "no more than one white-beard in any plenary mike-line"?	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
32	Both plenaries far too long - can't we restrict them to 2 hours? The main presentation in the Technical Plenary was good, however.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 AM
33	Scrap the admin plenary. Seriously.	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
34	move technical plenary to friday to make some room for WGs during the week. otherwise the friday stays as an useless day. administrative plenary usefulness is questionable to be honest.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM

Page 3, Q21. Sessions have been added to Friday afternoons to provide more session time for working groups. If something that you are interested in is scheduled on Friday afternoon, would you attend?

1	DEFINITELY NOT. At any IETF I will have to leave on Thursday so miss them.	Dec 29, 2009 4:36 AM
2	It depends on the meeting and circumstances. Hiroshima would have gotten a "probably". Anaheim is an "absolutely not"	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
3	I would need to know the Friday schedule long in advance. I had made my travel plans before I found out a meeting I wanted to attend was on Friday.	Dec 17, 2009 12:19 PM
4	As Lothberg said, why not having a standard meeting schedule starting on Monday up to Friday. Now considering most of the attendees have to travel, it could be useful unless fair to start on Monday pm and finish up on Friday noon.	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
5	Depends on the relevance of the session and how flexible the airline timetable is. I stayed for a Friday afternoon session at IETF-74 (San Francisco) as there were several flights back to Europe that day/evening I could use as the return leg of my journey. In Japan, there was only one flight/day I could use for the return journey.	Dec 14, 2009 11:40 AM
6	Yes, if absolutely necessary and with a clear agenda.	Dec 12, 2009 3:15 PM
7	It depends upon travel schedules. I would try to attend, but flight schedules might be such that the cost (in money and personal time) isn't worth it.	Dec 12, 2009 9:55 AM
8	The problem is that I need to make flight arrangements well before the schedule is published, and have repeatedly been stuck at the meeting Friday with nothing to attend. Thus at some point I may stop staying through Friday afternoon. On this occasion I instead went to the Peace museum, which had multiple IETF'ers present and was very much worth seeing.	Dec 11, 2009 8:51 PM
9	Would attend Friday if didn't attend Monday or didn't have to travel on 2 weekends.	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
10	depends on both schedule and interests.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
11	It depends on the length of the return trip. Would appreciate that the wireless would be kept on during these sessions.	Dec 10, 2009 8:57 PM
12	I cannot stay on Fridayds..... Please do not use Fridays	Dec 10, 2009 7:19 PM
13	BAD idea. It's already a very long week. Either you can have 10-hour days or you get Friday afternoon, BUT NOT BOTH. This isn't a marathon.	Dec 10, 2009 3:38 PM
14	I might attend, but it is very unlikely. The IETF week is long enough and adding extra time does not make the meetings more productive. We should rather focus what we allocate time to and get things done. Also, Friday afternoon meetings are not helpful for getting feedback from people other than those really involved. In many cases, small meetings are productive, in others you would want broader participation.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
15	It would depend upon the venue and the level of interest	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
16	This depends also on the personal schedule. Often (not this time) the Friday afternoon sessions collide with the ISOC AC meeting, which is not ideal.	Dec 10, 2009 2:27 PM

Page 3, Q21. Sessions have been added to Friday afternoons to provide more session time for working groups. If something that you are interested in is scheduled on Friday afternoon, would you attend?

17	Depends on how quickly it is necessary for me to get home.	Dec 10, 2009 2:10 PM
18	It would be nicer if the Friday sessions were taken more seriously by all attendees.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
19	If, and only if, the schedule comes out early enough that I know about the meeting *before* I make reservations. Otherwise, I have to guess.	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
20	Well, I *had* to fly home on Fri, so I missed all meetings on Fri. Too bad.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
21	maybe	Dec 10, 2009 12:46 PM
22	Depends on what it was and how easily travel on Saturday was possible.	Dec 10, 2009 12:42 PM
23	Yes, but program shall be known well in-advance.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
24	It would have to be a session I was REALLY interested in. Like most folks, I hate weekend travel -- especially two weekends of travel as would have been necessary in this case.	Dec 10, 2009 12:27 PM
25	Unfortunately, ISOC frequently uses Friday afternoon for one of its meetings and I probably need to give priority there. One of you needs to yield.	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
26	Maybe.	Dec 10, 2009 11:38 AM
27	But I wish we would not have Friday afternoon sessions at all. We lose too many people who simply can't stay for them. If we have more meetings than time slots, we need to simply prioritize better. Also, see my comment about administrative plenary meeting time.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
28	yes in principle, but can not guarantee	Dec 10, 2009 10:25 AM
29	Departed Wed for meeting in Tokyo. People usually want to "get home" after a week of time, late friday times are least likely to be used.	Dec 10, 2009 10:10 AM
30	Depends on site meetings (IRTF etc.) which also happen on Friday	Dec 10, 2009 10:03 AM
31	sorry. this is a mistake and I'm against friday pm. Because travelling inbound cuts the first weekend. With friday pm meetings, this means also travelling outbound cuts the second weekend. therefore, two weekends out of home/family. I will never attend a friday pm meeting. sorry.	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
32	I have and I normally would, with one very major proviso: given the impact on travel time and expenses, it's critical to announce very early which sessions are going to be scheduled for Friday afternoon!!!! It's simply not acceptable to continue to allow for random changes in scheduling, especially on the first and last day of a meeting. No other organization I'm familiar with has the audacity to disclaim all responsibility for setting a firm schedule of events before attendees need to make their travel plans.	Dec 10, 2009 9:48 AM
33	Plenaries eat too much time.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
34	...If known enough in advance. Depending on location I might prefer for a shorter	Dec 10, 2009 8:42 AM

Page 3, Q21. Sessions have been added to Friday afternoons to provide more session time for working groups. If something that you are interested in is scheduled on Friday afternoon, would you attend?

	than full week presence.	
35	Prefer not to.	Dec 10, 2009 8:32 AM
36	i will try and attend sessions for things i am working on, regardless of the day. we used to have more things on friday.	Dec 10, 2009 8:20 AM
37	I have always booked Sun-Fri, and frequently change flights to fly back earlier if it turns out that there is no interesting session for me on Friday (or even Thursday). However, it's perhaps more easy for me then for some other folks, as my employer is smart enough to pay me a business seat on long flights...	Dec 10, 2009 7:54 AM
38	It depends on my plans after the meeting. In Anaheim, I have other local meetings on Saturday, so Friday sessions are fine. In Maastricht, I have plans back in the US on the weekend, and I'll be leaving town on Thursday or early Friday.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
39	From most locations there are flights home Friday evening - in venues where flights home depart earlier, I would probably not attend.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 AM
40	depends in which direction I am flying	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 AM
41	depends on travel arrangement	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
42	Depends when my flight leaves.	Dec 10, 2009 7:17 AM
43	late changes (after booked flight) meant that there suddenly were sessions on friday i'd have liked to have gone to. which was annoying. suggestion would be to try & fix what groups are meeting on fri asap, ie much earlier than rest of agenda	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
44	technical plenary.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
45	For most attendees living far from the meeting, attending friday meeting would require loosing 2 WE with the family. Too much, for the value of gaining a few hours of IETF meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

1	Better audio for Webex sessions.	Jan 29, 2010 9:31 AM
2	Avoid Fridays	Dec 29, 2009 4:36 AM
3	Get rid of Friday sessions after about 11AM. Rethink the Wednesday plenary. Get more serious about asking questions like "does this WG really need to meet" and "does this WG really need to exist"	Dec 20, 2009 1:18 AM
4	I prefer keeping the key meetings including Area meetings on the Monday-Thursday time frame.	Dec 17, 2009 12:19 PM
5	already done, I guess ?	Dec 15, 2009 1:34 PM
6	displayed document shared in real-time (for remote people that could not attend to the meeting)	Dec 14, 2009 4:45 AM
7	Transcriptionist to transcribe into Jabber chat in real-time would be a huge benefit for people trying to participate in more than one session at the same time.	Dec 11, 2009 9:30 PM
8	Probably something useful should be moved to last thing on Friday (although if a plenary, I am concerned that attendance might be very poor).	Dec 11, 2009 8:51 PM
9	- Easier travel to meeting location - meet in/near airport hub cities - Conference hotel room rate should match or be lower than hotel rate for weeks before/after meeting. - Add automated transcription for jabber - Keep RFID and have Speaker IDs automatically sent to jabber - Make webex (or open source equivalent) available to all	Dec 11, 2009 11:13 AM
10	opportunity of newcomer's conference to exchange thier opinions informally.	Dec 10, 2009 9:56 PM
11	better not to schedule meetings on Friday afternoon, better to have some sort of local tour program.	Dec 10, 2009 9:43 PM
12	expand the meeting room space.	Dec 10, 2009 9:30 PM
13	Migration of tools on meeting. There are so many applications on my desktop on THAT time.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 PM
14	I have no idea now.	Dec 10, 2009 8:57 PM
15	Would appreciate that the wireless would be kept on during the Friday afternoon sessions.	Dec 10, 2009 8:57 PM
16	I wish all meetings had a same level of implication from the host city side. Maybe it would be made possible by moving the meetings to smaller cities in US, or to non-capital cities in Europe ?	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 PM
17	More one-hour slots during the week. Plus make it REALLY DIFFICULT for WGs to get more than one slot.	Dec 10, 2009 6:41 PM
18	Bring back Ice Cream Thursday! http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/68attendees/current/msg00440.html	Dec 10, 2009 5:16 PM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

19	Better coordination between the jabber room and the attendees.	Dec 10, 2009 4:31 PM
20	I was a new attendee. More informal gathering should be arranged i.e. with WG chairs etc. to make the new comers experience more useful.	Dec 10, 2009 3:39 PM
21	No more Friday afternoon sessions. Friday morning is already barely attended and adding Friday afternoon makes things worse. It only leads to people flying in Mon/Tue and leaving Sat or flying in Sat/Sun and leaving Thu/Fri. All we get is more fragmentation plus a few unhappy souls.	Dec 10, 2009 3:14 PM
22	* Hiroshima was great! The staff, the facilities, the organization. I enjoyed it very much. - Downsides: Long complicated travel, and shortage in small meeting rooms for smaller side sessions or spontaneous meetings * During meetings in the U.S. on the other hand I often find the following problems: - air condition usually too cold - sometimes cold airflow through the meeting room (e.g. Chicago) - food in coffee breaks too heavy (contains too many calories) (This was no problem at all in Hiroshima.) * I think it is great to spread the meetings more evenly around the globe. Continue this way!	Dec 10, 2009 2:27 PM
23	I'd say things are going quite well. I know attempts are made at every meeting to involve offsite participation, but I think the efforts just have to continue until eventually they succeed. As they say, if you don't succeed for the first 76 tries, then try, try again...	Dec 10, 2009 1:49 PM
24	Make it in places that are easier to get to. Although Hiroshima was interesting as a place to visit as a tourist, during a busy business schedule, being in a hard to get to obscure place was rather inconvenient.	Dec 10, 2009 1:18 PM
25	Even if Hiroshima was a nice city and exceeded my expectations, I'd like to stress the need to select a more "reachable" city. What about an IETF in NY/London?	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
26	Get rid of the friday afternoon sessions. I had to be there because I chaired a session, and almost half of the key participant were absent. This made the whole session useless because we were lacking this people, and also send a wrong signal: going to a session is optional, at least it seems so to the remaining participants since half of the key participants are gone. I do not expect anybody to bother to show up next time and will thus cancel any friday afternoon session. I can also recommend getting rid of the friday morning session altogether since they already start to force people to travel back on the 2nd weekend. If additional meeting time is required, take it on the first sunday, the first weekend is already wasted by travel plans...	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
27	This one was so incredibly perfect. Ask me again after a bad meeting like Dallas (Anaheim?).	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
28	Same amount of sake at all meetings.	Dec 10, 2009 12:46 PM
29	No meetings on Friday at all.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
30	Post all Wed Plenary op reports early (IAOC/TRUST/IAD/RFC-ED/etc) and present a 2 minute abstract of each and take questions. Save time for special issues that need community input and the IESG.	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

31	Drop the Friday afternoon sessions, it's a failed experiment.	Dec 10, 2009 11:57 AM
32	Scheduling meeting sessions has this awkward mix of "established meetings on established days" versus "get what you can" or "take what you can get". It's time for some documented known rules and a clear strategy for "sharing the pain" (i.e., Friday afternoons).	Dec 10, 2009 11:42 AM
33	With the exception of the overflow hotel fiasco I describe above (and a related problem with the unavailability of non-smoking rooms), this meeting was pretty much ideal. I have no suggestions for improvement.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 AM
34	To provide more refreshments. I was busy in meetings and missed out on two afternoons. Some people are very greedy and take many items.	Dec 10, 2009 11:26 AM
35	Make it harder for WG to schedule a slot by requiring that there be something besides document status updates. Cancel meetings that do not provide agenda a week in advance.	Dec 10, 2009 11:05 AM
36	While I really enjoyed Hiroshima itself, the travel ate up two days before and after. I wish we would try to stick to travel hub cities in general. The Friday afternoon BoF I attended was missing several key participants because they could not stay for Friday afternoon. I think expanding the Friday meeting slots is a mistake.	Dec 10, 2009 10:56 AM
37	Nothing comes to mind at the moment	Dec 10, 2009 10:53 AM
38	I'd really like to be able to replicate the experience of attending without having to actually fly to remote locations. This seems like an impossible task, but they would have said that about the Internet a hundred years ago.	Dec 10, 2009 10:19 AM
39	Place RFID reader at door, Less obscure RFID username/pw access, perhaps integrate to IETF profiles/authentication to prevent having "yet-another-un/pw" combo to keep track of.	Dec 10, 2009 10:10 AM
40	sorry. this is a mistake and I'm against friday pm. Because travelling inbound cuts the first weekend. With friday pm meetings, this means also travelling outbound cuts the second weekend. therefore, two weekends out of home/family. I will never attend a friday pm meeting. sorry.	Dec 10, 2009 9:52 AM
41	There should be more rooms available for off-line meetings of groups that want to advance work in specific areas.	Dec 10, 2009 9:37 AM
42	Shorten and combine the plenaries, and move them to Friday afternoon.	Dec 10, 2009 9:25 AM
43	More "break-out" space. Corridor chats are massively important to the functioning of the IETF. We need resources to nurture these in a semi-private environment. The sofa area that we had at a previous IETF was excellent.	Dec 10, 2009 8:58 AM
44	I think we need more interaction between speakers and audiences.	Dec 10, 2009 8:28 AM
45	No changes as of now. The IETF76 was so good.	Dec 10, 2009 8:11 AM
46	Please require all meetings to be held at a hub city. I was traveling for nearly 24 hrs on the way back. Maarstrict and Hiroshima are very difficult cities to reach,	Dec 10, 2009 7:48 AM

Page 3, Q23. Tell us what changes you would like at the Meetings.

and this is a factor in people not attending.

47	I'd like to see more real-time captioning. Please use duller colours for the T-shirts; black would be great.	Dec 10, 2009 7:42 AM
48	It would be great if food from multiple regions is available	Dec 10, 2009 7:36 AM
49	Shorter plenaries, in order to accommodate WG sessions by Friday noon. Smoke free hotels - my room at the Sunroute had a smell of smoke all week, despite requesting a no-smoking room. I consider this a violation of general cleanliness requirements.	Dec 10, 2009 7:25 AM
50	remove the blue sheet and keep only the RFID system	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 AM
51	the meeting of "Smart Metering" is informed too late. And pls avoid noon session since I may be interested but do not have time or feel a little tired then.	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM
52	Scrap the admin plenary, make Fridays a real day (i.e. any WG can appear on Friday - get over it).	Dec 10, 2009 7:09 AM
53	just better wg chair understanding of webex availability. AFTER the meetings, I'd appreciate it if you guys left the meeting website up for a couple of weeks while i write my trip report and try to plan with my co-chairs - it seems like the website usually morphs while I'm still on the plane traveling home!	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
54	Social event: arrive bit late for social event & as usual the food had more-or-less run out. The drumming was FAR too enthusiastically loud [no chance to comment later on social event]	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
55	reconsider the usefulness of the administrative plenary.	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
56	use the same timeframe for sessions each day, two sessions in the morning, two sessions in the afternoon, one session late afternoon.	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM
57	a transcriptionist in each WG room. breakfast in hotel (rather than in the IETF) is better (more space, more freedom to chose the time, more choice of food...)	Dec 10, 2009 6:56 AM
58	We should eliminate the Friday afternoon sessions. Many people will not attend.	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM

Page 4, Q24. How did you access Hiroshima

1	Air from USA to Narita, bus to Haneda, air to Hiroshima	Dec 14, 2009 11:43 PM
2	International through Seoul Incheon with connection to HIJ	Dec 13, 2009 7:56 PM
3	Bus	Dec 12, 2009 12:13 AM
4	Air from Narita (NRT) to Osaka (ITM), then train to Hiroshima.	Dec 11, 2009 9:34 PM
5	Air from Hanada(HND)	Dec 11, 2009 4:31 AM
6	Air from Haneda (HND) to Hiroshima (HIJ)	Dec 11, 2009 3:48 AM
7	living in Hiroshima	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 PM
8	Rail from domestic home	Dec 10, 2009 9:34 PM
9	I'd been in Korea for a meeting the previous week and flew from Incheon straight to Hiroshima.	Dec 10, 2009 7:29 PM
10	via Seoul	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 PM
11	The airport switch between NRT and Haneda was very uncomfortable.	Dec 10, 2009 4:35 PM
12	Then air from HIJ back to NRT	Dec 10, 2009 2:59 PM
13	Arrival: Air from SFO to NRT, Air from NRT to HIJ, taxi. Departure: bus to HIJ, Air from HIJ to HNJ, bus from HNJ to NRT, air from NRT to SFO	Dec 10, 2009 1:22 PM
14	Rail from Fukuoka (FUK) to Hiroshima	Dec 10, 2009 12:23 PM
15	I took NRT->HIJ on the way there, and a Shinkansen to NRT on the way back.	Dec 10, 2009 11:32 AM
16	Layover in Osaka	Dec 10, 2009 11:08 AM
17	Air from Haneda to Osaka Itami, Rail from Osaka	Dec 10, 2009 10:21 AM
18	Air from NRT to HIJ incoming, Air from HIJ to Haneda, bus from Haneda to NRT, air from NRT on return	Dec 10, 2009 9:51 AM
19	I was lucky enough to be in Shanghai the week before.	Dec 10, 2009 9:29 AM
20	"Indirect" international flight to Hiroshima (HIJ)	Dec 10, 2009 9:02 AM
21	NGO-Hiroshima-KIX	Dec 10, 2009 8:48 AM
22	Used both direct flight arriving and Rail to KIX for departure.	Dec 10, 2009 8:37 AM
23	Shin-Osaka (Shinkansen station) to Hiroshima	Dec 10, 2009 8:29 AM
24	LHR->NRT->HAN->HIJ...HIJ->HAN->NRT->Frankfurt->LHR	Dec 10, 2009 7:52 AM
25	And HIJ-NRT on my way back	Dec 10, 2009 7:18 AM

Page 4, Q25. What did you enjoy/find useful among the measures arranged as a welcome to IETF attendees in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

1	The bicycles were great and the guide of restaurants very useful for someone who does not read Japanese.	Dec 21, 2009 12:07 PM
2	Nice city	Dec 20, 2009 1:30 AM
3	City of Hiroshima did an outstanding job.	Dec 17, 2009 12:28 PM
4	The wireless was superb. The lunch boxes were good and convenient. Everyone everywhere was VERY helpful, courteous, and friendly. The hosts did a sensational job.	Dec 14, 2009 11:43 PM
5	All the local efforts and hostility of Hiro was outstanding and exceeded my expectations!	Dec 12, 2009 3:19 PM
6	Local train system was very good.	Dec 12, 2009 1:38 PM
7	The most useful to me were the e-cycle and transportation guidance. The others items I've ticked were nice, but not must have. I also took part of the translation service by cellphone, but this was only moderately helpful to me due to long call waitings, basically I found other ways of solbing the problems while waiting for the operator.	Dec 12, 2009 7:30 AM
8	As a long-time IETFer, I'm used to being being in cities that don't notice we're there nor care about what we do. So it was startling to see welcome signs and banners, and t-shirts worn by clerks.	Dec 11, 2009 9:34 PM
9	Every politician in the world should be expected to attend the Hiroshima Peace Museum.	Dec 11, 2009 8:55 PM
10	The banners really made us feel special.	Dec 11, 2009 4:31 AM
11	The JTB desk in hotel's hall with information about Miyajima and such was also very useful. Very good idea to give free access to the peace museum with the IETF badge.	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 PM
12	I found an article on local newspaper.	Dec 10, 2009 10:48 PM
13	coupons for some sightseeing venues.	Dec 10, 2009 9:34 PM
14	Everything was very awesome and I just regret I could not try the bicycle rental facility for lack of time.	Dec 10, 2009 8:16 PM
15	A couple of (smaller) restaurants were aware of the IETF from reading local newspapers, that was an interesting experience, but I still like to think we're a group of geeks that really should try to 'go under the radar!' (I know, with 1400 people that will be ... hard.)	Dec 10, 2009 7:29 PM
16	The city was fantastic!	Dec 10, 2009 3:41 PM
17	The organizers did probably the most stellar job I have ever seen for an IETF (and my count is nearing 50).	Dec 10, 2009 3:18 PM
18	Japan was super clean, polite, civilized.	Dec 10, 2009 3:09 PM

Page 4, Q25. What did you enjoy/find useful among the measures arranged as a welcome to IETF attendees in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

19	- umbrellas provided by hotel (if I just knew about this before... ;-)	Dec 10, 2009 2:35 PM
20	The hotel staff was fantastic in helping with anything they could.	Dec 10, 2009 2:14 PM
21	The warmth of the people of Hiroshima was wonderful. At my hotel, the ANA Crowne, the staff was the best at any hotel I have ever stayed at. I hope you get the Olympics in 2020!	Dec 10, 2009 1:59 PM
22	Social was great.	Dec 10, 2009 1:52 PM
23	The residents of Hiroshima completely changed the perception I had of Japan as being polite but unfriendly -- it turns out that was just my experiences in Tokyo. Thanks to everyone in the city for being so welcoming, friendly, and cheerfully helpful.	Dec 10, 2009 1:52 PM
24	The city of Hiroshima was exceptionally welcoming, and that was really appreciated.	Dec 10, 2009 1:25 PM
25	I found the citizens of Hiroshima very welcoming. I rarely felt that my lack of the Japanese language was a real hindrance and only once was I not able to get what I wanted because of this lack. Thanks to all of Hiroshima, the staff of the hotel, and, especially, WIDE as hosts of the meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
26	This was by far the best visitor-friendly IETF meeting I've ever attended out of the many many that I've been to. Well done!	Dec 10, 2009 12:44 PM
27	Lots of good food options close to the venue.	Dec 10, 2009 12:42 PM
28	I appreciated the hospitality of the city!	Dec 10, 2009 12:37 PM
29	Beauty of the city and surroundings, the wonderful food, and the ease of travel.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
30	On-site travel agent helped me with transportation leaving Hiroshima.	Dec 10, 2009 12:18 PM
31	Wonderful welcoming city!	Dec 10, 2009 12:15 PM
32	This City did the best job *ever*. It rocked. The free bicycle rental blew me away and I was shocked and delighted by the welcome IETF signs around town.	Dec 10, 2009 12:06 PM
33	Availability of umbrellas at the hotel was excellent.	Dec 10, 2009 11:40 AM
34	I've never seen a city roll out the red carpet quite like Hiroshima did. That was an amazing welcome.	Dec 10, 2009 11:32 AM
35	- Welcome address of mayor of Hiroshima (wearing IETF T-shirt!) at social event - Lots of helpful hints from local IETF'ers on 76 attendees mailing list	Dec 10, 2009 11:08 AM
36	Free entrance to the Hiroshima Memorial Museum	Dec 10, 2009 9:39 AM
37	Safety of streets for walking at any time. Convenience of very many local eateries.	Dec 10, 2009 9:02 AM
38	Even by Japanese standards, Hiroshima is an extraordinarily friendly city.	Dec 10, 2009 7:56 AM

Page 4, Q25. What did you enjoy/find useful among the measures arranged as a welcome to IETF attendees in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

39	I loved how Hiroshima rolled out the red carpets for us. The guidance signs in the train station were especially useful.	Dec 10, 2009 7:44 AM
40	ietf internet access @hotel	Dec 10, 2009 7:26 AM
41	social event	Dec 10, 2009 7:21 AM
42	I was incredibly impressed by the welcome of the City - many thanks to the Mayor.	Dec 10, 2009 7:11 AM
43	honestly, I enjoyed most good sushi and okonomiyaki ;) but those I managed to find myself.	Dec 10, 2009 7:02 AM
44	Discount at Peace Museum	Dec 10, 2009 6:57 AM
45	Travel agency provided at the hotel hosting the IETF meetings	Dec 10, 2009 6:55 AM

Page 4, Q26. Any ideas for improvements?

1	While the city and meeting were delightful in every respect, the lack of convenient accessibility from a major international airport is bad news. The need to spend 45 minutes at Kansai negotiating train tickets and then take a long train ride, with a change of trains in the middle, after an exhausting set of international flights, is really abusive of IETF attendees. For different reasons in each case (and as always, affecting some attendees more than others), Anaheim, Masstricht, and Quebec City aren't going to be much better. The community has repeatedly told the IAOC and its predecessors that it has a strong preference for meetings close to international, multi-carrier, airline hubs. It needs to be taken seriously, even if participants are willing to put up with less convenient sites at intervals.	Dec 20, 2009 1:30 AM
2	Make the IETF ID badges identical on both sides so that you can easily read the person's name even when the badge has flipped over. I seem to recall that the name was on both sides, but on one side it was in much smaller print.	Dec 14, 2009 11:43 PM
3	Latitude,longitude for restaurants/buildings of interest would be useful.	Dec 12, 2009 1:02 AM
4	better ways to hook up groups for meals out.	Dec 12, 2009 12:51 AM
5	Make it easier to find non-smoking restaurants.	Dec 11, 2009 9:34 PM
6	Meet in the summer!	Dec 11, 2009 8:55 PM
7	Encourage the Crown Plaza to update their instructions for traveling from the Crown Plaza to Miyajima. I got there successfully, but the two people I talked with at the desk actually modified the pre-printed instructions because they knew of a simpler (if perhaps slightly longer, duration-wise) route to get me to the proper stations.	Dec 11, 2009 1:05 PM
8	Meet in or closer to airport hub cities	Dec 11, 2009 11:15 AM
9	would be great if all things were translated in English	Dec 11, 2009 12:36 AM
10	would needed local guides to assist attendees' interesting.	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 PM
11	no	Dec 10, 2009 9:05 PM
12	I believe the english interpreter service, that is not mentioned in question 25 would have benefited from an earlier announcement. However, it might not have been ready at that time	Dec 10, 2009 8:16 PM
13	can we do it once in Israel? We always travel around 24 hours to get to the IETF meetings...	Dec 10, 2009 7:22 PM
14	No, Great Meeting!!!!	Dec 10, 2009 5:19 PM
15	Impossible. It was the best ever local organization.	Dec 10, 2009 5:18 PM
16	To make it easier to get receipts of local transportation like airport bus and street cars.	Dec 10, 2009 4:39 PM
17	I can't even imagine how to make it better - it was a great experience.	Dec 10, 2009 3:41 PM

Page 4, Q26. Any ideas for improvements?

18	I hope all future meetings are done as nicely.	Dec 10, 2009 1:52 PM
19	Only one thing I can think of: Cell data service on my iPhone was flakey, even when I had full cell service. I'm not sure what exactly was going on--it didn't have to do with the amount of IETF attendees using the cell data service as it happened all over town. This is something that we might want to check during future site surveys and pre-meeting trips as we may be able to work with the cell providers to get better service.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
20	Eliminate the 4-hour, each-way extra leg to a secondary city	Dec 10, 2009 12:29 PM
21	If any other city can do half as good a job as Hiroshima, they will get an A+ in my books.	Dec 10, 2009 12:06 PM
22	I didn't get around too much so I didn't see any clerks wearing IETF t-shirts. I missed the announcement (was there one) to watch for this. I wish I had known as I would have made a point of visiting those shops. I would have assumed they at least speak English if they were wearing a t-shirt and that would have been very helpfu.	Dec 10, 2009 11:47 AM
23	No, the hotel was excellent and the entire event was very well organized. Great job!	Dec 10, 2009 11:40 AM
24	I visited the "Hiroshima Brand Fair" and it was not very good. It was very hard to determine what was on offer and many items were inappropriate to take home (e.g. fresh biscuits), glass jars etc.	Dec 10, 2009 11:31 AM
25	Hard to improve on an outstanding job like this!	Dec 10, 2009 11:08 AM
26	Why does the hotel have to be so very, very expensive?	Dec 10, 2009 9:02 AM
27	No suggestions for now.	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 AM
28	Hiroshima host site was very informative and nice. Generally better than the average ones fo rmeetings in america.	Dec 10, 2009 8:08 AM
29	Provide paper restaurant maps, with more specific recommendations.	Dec 10, 2009 7:44 AM
30	The restaurant guide was not helpful. I'm really looking for a useful printout that has categories. A big issue in Hiroshima seemed to be finding restaurants to seat > 4 people.	Dec 10, 2009 7:11 AM
31	i sent this to iaoc, but ... the directions TO Hiroshima were almost turn-by-turn, with the expectation that an engineer of average wit and skill could reverse the directions homeward. I arrived through HIJ directly but departed through Narita, and there are a few things you encounter on the way out (like, two international terminals with minimal signage about which airlines are in which terminals) that you couldn't grok from the inbound directions. the guy i was traveling with also got lost outbound, and he HAD arrived through Narita, so I think "average wit" suffers after a few days of round-the-clock meetings!	Dec 10, 2009 7:04 AM

Page 4, Q27. Did you find it easy to use public transportation when moving around the downtown Hiroshima area?

1	Fairly easy. Some outlying stations are hard to find and suffer from the signage problems typical of big city public transport systems -- the signs are useful iff one knows either the system or the city's geography and terminology fairly well.	Dec 20, 2009 1:30 AM
2	Prior to the meeting, someone had sent a PDF file with Japanese (with English translations), which I printed and used when getting on buses, and the two taxi rides I took. Having the Japanese tourist agents in the meeting hotel made my purchasing a train ticket to Nara very easy.	Dec 17, 2009 12:28 PM
3	The guide on using street car that was available before the meeting was very helpful.	Dec 15, 2009 4:08 AM
4	After having read the information booklet, the public transport was simple to use.	Dec 14, 2009 10:33 AM
5	english signage and announcements in street cars.	Dec 12, 2009 7:30 AM
6	Yes, I took public transportation from the Crown Plaza to Hiroshima station, and from Hiroshima station to Miyajima, and the ferry to Miyajima island. (I was proud of my ability to do that by myself! I felt like a child that can't read!)	Dec 11, 2009 1:05 PM
7	There have been severe problems with the trains from Kansai airport to Osaka ... we experienced large, unpredictable disturbances on both ways of travel.	Dec 11, 2009 6:33 AM
8	No problems because I'm a Japanese native.	Dec 11, 2009 3:48 AM
9	maps and easy to read directions	Dec 11, 2009 12:36 AM
10	Getting the bus from the airport to Hiroshima Central was really easy, then with a little help from staff i was able to board the right tram that took me straight to my hotel.	Dec 10, 2009 10:28 PM
11	I'm Japanese.	Dec 10, 2009 9:34 PM
12	The provided Paspy cards were very useful, though running out of funds on them while on a bus was a minor difficulty with the language barrier.	Dec 10, 2009 8:43 PM
13	The provided PASPY card got me to train station so easily. Also the english signs were very helpful to find the way around, especially given the language barrier.	Dec 10, 2009 8:16 PM
14	As I mentioned above, it was difficult to get receipts.	Dec 10, 2009 4:39 PM
15	Too easy to walk most places I went.	Dec 10, 2009 3:41 PM
16	Understanding which slot in which to enter a pre-paid card was a bit confusing. Bottom when entering, top when leaving, except at the final stop, where you need to find a reader on the platform.	Dec 10, 2009 3:19 PM
17	The rail maps in English made it easy. Although I walked most of the way.	Dec 10, 2009 2:59 PM
18	- the tram is sooooo slow as it has to wait rather long at the stops (due to the ticketing system)	Dec 10, 2009 2:35 PM

Page 4, Q27. Did you find it easy to use public transportation when moving around the downtown Hiroshima area?

19	It rained :-)	Dec 10, 2009 1:52 PM
20	PASPY card was handy, but I couldn't figure out how to refill it, so I had to use cash once it ran out.	Dec 10, 2009 1:25 PM
21	The PASPY card was a nice idea. (Some explanation of the "pay on exit" scheme might have been useful for first-time visitors of Japan.)	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
22	The RFID cards provided to the attendees of the social event were very easy to use.	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
23	The station were to stop was announced by the streetcar No need to buy tickets in advance (coins are sufficient)	Dec 10, 2009 12:37 PM
24	the public transportation is good. the only problem I ran into was the difficulty to find an English speaker to ask questions.	Dec 10, 2009 12:37 PM
25	street car map in English was easy to read.	Dec 10, 2009 12:25 PM
26	I visited Miyajima Island using public transport and it was great fun. Very easy.	Dec 10, 2009 11:31 AM
27	Did not use public transport within Hiroshima itself. Communicating with taxi drivers was a bit of a challenge.	Dec 10, 2009 11:08 AM
28	It's difficult for non-locals both to know which exit to take to get to the tram, and then which tram to take to get where they are going. I wound up having to ask the gate attendant to give me a pass so that I could walk back through the station to the other exit. It took me about five minutes of wandering around in the tram area to find a diagram of the tram system that actually made clear which number tram went where. During that time, someone approached me with a map, which was useless, and then got upset at me when I responded to her English with Japanese out of habit. I have no idea what was going on there, but it was quite off-putting.	Dec 10, 2009 10:27 AM
29	The info on web site and in program where a big help	Dec 10, 2009 10:05 AM
30	just walked around with a (paper) map...	Dec 10, 2009 9:54 AM
31	Made my way to Miyajima - with great help from the hotel staff.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 AM
32	It exists! It is frequent and perfectly on time. It is cheap.	Dec 10, 2009 9:02 AM
33	Presence of enough non-Japanese letters in the stops/trams/etc., maps provided in advance through the host's site links.	Dec 10, 2009 8:48 AM
34	Free PASPY card provided. english names on stations.	Dec 10, 2009 8:08 AM
35	Excellent ONE PAGE map provided by hotel.	Dec 10, 2009 7:44 AM
36	the paspy card makes transportation very easy	Dec 10, 2009 7:26 AM
37	Maps and signs clear; Hotel sells cards. All standard stuff but no issues.	Dec 10, 2009 7:11 AM

Page 4, Q27. Did you find it easy to use public transportation when moving around the downtown Hiroshima area?

38	hard to find negative aspects from japanese rail/metro system.	Dec 10, 2009 7:02 AM
39	slow!	Dec 10, 2009 7:00 AM
40	Rental bicycle was great. (very easy for foreigners, much easier than learning about public transport system)	Dec 10, 2009 6:59 AM
41	I was only at the meeting for a few days and was in a hurry so I used taxis.	Dec 10, 2009 6:57 AM
42	Description of the fare system on the website sounded rather complicated (or probably just unusual for Europeans). Since hotel was in walking distance from station, I decided to just walk.	Dec 10, 2009 6:50 AM

Page 4, Q28. What did you enjoy during your stay in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

1	The friendliness, helpfulness, preparations, etc. were beyond anything one would expect. The city/hosts/hotel made me feel very welcome and made everything go well! The Peace facilities are remarkable but I wouldn't say I "enjoyed" them. They were without doubt the most depressing, grim memorials I've ever seen.	Dec 14, 2009 11:43 PM
2	Great food at reasonable prices!	Dec 14, 2009 8:24 AM
3	mazda plant tour	Dec 12, 2009 1:56 PM
4	biking around visit of the castle	Dec 12, 2009 7:30 AM
5	Loved the food halls at the SOGO department store. Stunning variety and quality, and all non-smoking.	Dec 11, 2009 9:34 PM
6	Miyashima Island	Dec 11, 2009 2:04 PM
7	While enjoyable, is not the point of going to IETF.	Dec 11, 2009 11:15 AM
8	plaing soccer of futsal.	Dec 10, 2009 10:01 PM
9	large and delicious oysters!	Dec 10, 2009 9:34 PM
10	I did not have enough time for sightseeing, however I could have a stroll to the a-bomb dome. Hiroshima city is a very serene city.	Dec 10, 2009 8:16 PM
11	(It is a bit difficult to ask if you "enjoy" the peace memorial museum, but I surely visited it.)	Dec 10, 2009 3:18 PM
12	nice and interesting new experience	Dec 10, 2009 2:35 PM
13	I went to Mure to see the museum; Miyajima; and Kyoto by trains and the transportation from the JR Hiroshima Station was very comfortable and easy to use. I have recommended Hiroshima to everyone that I know as a wonderful place to visit. My only regret is that my wife was unable to come with me on this trip.	Dec 10, 2009 1:59 PM
14	Outstanding. Especially the lights. Thanks much.	Dec 10, 2009 1:52 PM
15	did not do any of the above.	Dec 10, 2009 12:37 PM
16	Shopping on friday! Carp souvenirs and food items to take home.	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
17	Christmas present shopping!	Dec 10, 2009 12:15 PM
18	Restaurants had much less English available than in Kyoto or Tokyo.	Dec 10, 2009 11:56 AM
19	Mazda Museum	Dec 10, 2009 10:29 AM
20	There was a nice vegetarian restaurant in a yoga studio near the hotel. I went there every day except friday. It made the experience much nicer--it's very hard to get vegetarian food in Japan if you don't speak Japanese well. I would have liked to try the Okonomiyake, but getting vegetarian Okonomiyake was well beyond my very limited Japanese. I think that culturally, Japanese people don't	Dec 10, 2009 10:27 AM

Page 4, Q28. What did you enjoy during your stay in Hiroshima? (multiple selections possible)

really understand what westerners mean by "vegetarian." This would be worth investigating. It's very easy as a vegetarian to get everything you need at a breakfast buffet in Japan, but very hard to tell a Japanese person what you want when ordering off the menu.

21	The downtown area in general is very pleasant.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 AM
22	Nice cycleways, even downtown (tried the bike rental).	Dec 10, 2009 8:48 AM
23	oysters	Dec 10, 2009 8:22 AM
24	I mainly stayed in Hotels.	Dec 10, 2009 7:21 AM
25	Everything was close and convenient	Dec 10, 2009 6:57 AM
26	Mijyama island was wonderful!	Dec 10, 2009 6:50 AM

Page 4, Q29. If you registered for the Social Event, you received a PASPY card (IC card used on local transport). Did you use your PASPY card?

1	Enter Peace Museum. Would have used it for public transport on the Saturday preceding the meeting, but didn't have it yet.	Dec 20, 2009 1:30 AM
2	transportations and Peace Museum entrance	Dec 15, 2009 1:37 PM
3	On public transport	Dec 15, 2009 4:08 AM
4	On public transport.	Dec 14, 2009 11:43 AM
5	transportation	Dec 14, 2009 2:52 AM
6	transit	Dec 14, 2009 12:16 AM
7	Public transport	Dec 13, 2009 10:10 PM
8	peace museum	Dec 13, 2009 7:56 PM
9	Public transport	Dec 12, 2009 9:01 PM
10	public transportation	Dec 12, 2009 1:56 PM
11	enter the Peace Museum (several times), used street car to railway station on my return trip.	Dec 12, 2009 7:30 AM
12	around town, to Miyajima	Dec 12, 2009 12:51 AM
13	on public transport (street car)	Dec 12, 2009 12:13 AM
14	public transportation.	Dec 11, 2009 1:05 PM
15	public transport	Dec 11, 2009 4:00 AM
16	to enter Peace Museum, street cars	Dec 11, 2009 12:36 AM
17	Unfortunately it was not accepted in the combini where I tried... :-)	Dec 10, 2009 11:28 PM
18	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 9:05 PM
19	For trains, trams, buses and ferries, and to enter the Peace Museum.	Dec 10, 2009 8:43 PM
20	Public transportation from ANA hotel to train station on the way back.	Dec 10, 2009 8:16 PM
21	public transport, train to Miyajima	Dec 10, 2009 6:09 PM
22	Peace Museum and public transportation. I also attended the Museum of Modern Art! It was a very nice idea!	Dec 10, 2009 4:35 PM
23	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 3:48 PM
24	To enter Peace Museum.	Dec 10, 2009 3:43 PM
25	I stopped going to the social events a few years ago. IETFers are rude and obnoxious as a social group. I would love to experience many of the items	Dec 10, 2009 3:41 PM

Page 4, Q29. If you registered for the Social Event, you received a PASPY card (IC card used on local transport). Did you use your PASPY card?

below, but never with an organized group of IETFers.

26	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 3:18 PM
27	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 3:08 PM
28	Public Transport	Dec 10, 2009 2:59 PM
29	tram, ferry to Miyajima, Peace Museum	Dec 10, 2009 2:35 PM
30	They collected the Paspy card at the social event so taking the bus provided for the event is all I used it for.	Dec 10, 2009 2:14 PM
31	Streetcar out to Miyajima	Dec 10, 2009 1:25 PM
32	Public transit, Peace Museum	Dec 10, 2009 1:12 PM
33	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 12:54 PM
34	Public transport.	Dec 10, 2009 12:53 PM
35	Yes and yes. I loved the card, especially for public transport. Thanks again to WIDE for providing them!	Dec 10, 2009 12:49 PM
36	Public Transport	Dec 10, 2009 12:42 PM
37	Peace Museum entrance and tram ride	Dec 10, 2009 12:35 PM
38	Street car, ferry to Miyajima, and musuem	Dec 10, 2009 12:34 PM
39	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 12:25 PM
40	Public transport (street railway).	Dec 10, 2009 12:18 PM
41	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 12:15 PM
42	Public transit.	Dec 10, 2009 12:06 PM
43	Peace Museum, Trolley	Dec 10, 2009 11:59 AM
44	I did not use it to enter the Peace Museum (my only sightseeing opportunity) because I wanted to contribute directly rather than take advantage of a free ride. it was well worth it. I offered my PASPY card to a random stranger on the street.	Dec 10, 2009 11:47 AM
45	Transport from the hotel to the rail station to connect to the Shinkansen	Dec 10, 2009 11:32 AM
46	No idea how to use it. I tried on the streetcars, but gave up. It was a very complex system to understand. No one on the streetcars was able to help.	Dec 10, 2009 11:31 AM
47	Bus to airport	Dec 10, 2009 11:08 AM
48	Public Transport	Dec 10, 2009 11:02 AM

Page 4, Q29. If you registered for the Social Event, you received a PASPY card (IC card used on local transport). Did you use your PASPY card?

49	Public transportation from the central rail station towards the hotel, and to enter the Peace Museum.	Dec 10, 2009 10:29 AM
50	peace museum	Dec 10, 2009 10:27 AM
51	Both on public transport and to enter the peace museum.	Dec 10, 2009 10:27 AM
52	Public transport	Dec 10, 2009 10:05 AM
53	Peace Museum entry	Dec 10, 2009 10:02 AM
54	enter the Peace museum	Dec 10, 2009 9:32 AM
55	I tried using my other RFID card to enter the museum. I don't remember if it worked. I was told by staff to enter.	Dec 10, 2009 9:29 AM
56	Tram.	Dec 10, 2009 9:23 AM
57	transport.	Dec 10, 2009 8:48 AM
58	Public transport	Dec 10, 2009 8:37 AM
59	Peace Museum entrance	Dec 10, 2009 8:17 AM
60	both for streetcar and peace memorial museum	Dec 10, 2009 8:17 AM
61	I did not register for the social event	Dec 10, 2009 8:13 AM
62	Public transport	Dec 10, 2009 8:02 AM
63	public transport as well as museums.	Dec 10, 2009 8:00 AM
64	Transport to Miyajima.	Dec 10, 2009 7:56 AM
65	Bus - around town	Dec 10, 2009 7:30 AM
66	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 7:26 AM
67	peace museum	Dec 10, 2009 7:24 AM
68	Peace Museum	Dec 10, 2009 7:21 AM
69	Tram	Dec 10, 2009 7:15 AM
70	public transport	Dec 10, 2009 7:13 AM
71	Public transport and peace museum.	Dec 10, 2009 7:11 AM
72	Peace museum.	Dec 10, 2009 7:05 AM
73	To enter the Peace Museum	Dec 10, 2009 6:57 AM
74	Enter peace museum	Dec 10, 2009 6:51 AM

Page 7, Q34. If you did not attend IETF 76, why not? (Check all that apply.)

1	(work + family) constraints	Dec 10, 2009 12:31 PM
2	Conflict with business meeting.	Dec 10, 2009 12:30 PM
3	personal conflict (wife's son's wedding)	Dec 10, 2009 12:27 PM

Page 7, Q38. How would you rate the WebEx application in support of your remote (not Hiroshima) participation?

1	what RFC_STD does WebEx implement again?	Dec 10, 2009 12:42 PM
---	--	-----------------------